

CDM FEDERAL PROGRAMS CORPORATION a subsidiary of Camp Dresser & McKee Inc.

February 8, 1994

Ms. Donna McGowan
TES VII Regional Project Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
841 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

PROJECT:

EPA CONTRACT NO: 68-W9-0004

DOCUMENT NO:

TES7-C03153-EP-DRBL

SUBJECT:

Work Assignment C03153

Toxicological Data Management Bell Landfill Risk Assessment TES7-C03153-RT-DRBM

Dear Ms. McGowan:

Please find enclosed the Bell Landfill Risk Assessment report submitted as partial fulfillment if the reporting requirements for this work assignment. The report incorporates comments received from Reginald Harris of the Technical Support Section on December 12, 1993.

If you have any comments regarding this submittal, please contact me at (215) 293-0450 within two weeks of the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

CDM FEDERAL PROGRAMS CORPORATION (CDM Federal)

Mark diFeliciantonio Regional Manager

Ausan M. frett.

---8------

cc:

Dawn Ioven, EPA Work Assignment Manager, CERCLA Region III
Reginald Harris, Technical Support Section, CERCLA Region III

Jean Wright, TES VII Zone Project Officer (letter only) Constance V. Braun, CDM Federal Program Manager

#### TOXICOLOGICAL DATA MANAGEMENT BELL LANDFILL RISK ASSESSMENT

#### Prepared for

# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Office of Waste Programs Enforcement Washington, D.C. 20460

Work Assignment No. : C03153

EPA Region : III

Site No. :

Contract No. : 68-W9-0004

CDM FEDERAL PROGRAMS

CORPORATION Document No. : TES7-C03153-RT-DRBM

Work Assignment Project Manager : Susan Insetta
Telephone Number : (215) 293-0450
Primary Contact : Dawn Ioven
Telephone Number : (215) 597-1309
Date Prepared : February 8, 1994

AR300120

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIS	T OF TAI	BLES	• • • • • • • •		• • • •	• • •	• •	• •			• •	, iii
1.0												
	1.1			REPORT								
	1.2			• • • • • • • • • • • • •						•		
2.0	DATA E	EVALU	ATION				• •	• •	• • •	••		3
3.0	EXPOSU	JRE AS	SESSMENT	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•					. 23
	3.1			F EXPOSURE PATHW								
	3.2			OF EXPOSURE								
	3.3	•		F EXPOSURE ASSESS								•
	3.0	01101					•		•••	• •	• •	•
4.0	TOXICI	TY AS:	SESSMENT.				• •	•••	· • •			. 42
5.0	RISK CE	HARAC	TERIZATION									47
J.0	5.1											
	J.1											
		J.1.1	5.1.1.1	Inadvertent Ingestion .								
			5.1.1.2	Dermal Absorption								
	•	512	Surface Soil									
		3.1.2	5.1.2.1	Inadvertent Ingestion .								
			5.1.2.2	Inhalation of Dust								
		5.1.3										
		5.1.5		(Residential Wells)		•						
			5.1.3.1	Ingestion								
			5.1.3.2	Dermal Absorption								
		3.1.4										
			5.1.4.1	Inadvertent Ingestion .						-		
			5.1.4.2	Dermal Absorption								
		5.1.5										
			5.1.5.1	Inadvertent Ingestion .								
			5.1.5.2	Dermal Absorption								
				lisk Summary								
	5.2				• • •	• • •		• •	• • •		• •	
		5.2.1										
			5.2.1.1	Inadvertent Ingestion .					• •			
			5.2.1.2	Dermal Absorption				• • •	• •	• •		
		5.2.2	Surface Soil									. 58
			5.2.2.1	Inadvertent Ingestion .								. 58
' .	•		5.2.2.2	Inhalation of Dust								58

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

5.	.2.3	Groundwater (	(Monitoring Wells)
		5.2.3.1	Ingestion
		5.2.3.2	Dermal Absorption 60
		5.2.3.3	Inhalation of Vapors 60
5.	.2.4	Surface Water	r
		5.2.4.1	Inadvertent Ingestion 60
		5.2.4.2	Dermal Absorption 60
5.	.2.5	Sediment	
		5.2.5.1	Inadvertent Ingestion 61
		5.2.5.2	Dermal Absorption 61
5.	.2.6	Exposure to I	ead 61
			isk Summary 63
			•
REFERENCES			
APPENDIX A	•	Toxicological	Profiles of Contaminants of Concern
APPENDIX B		Dick Calculati	ions for Current Use Scenario
ALFERDIA D		RISK CAICUIAU	ions for Current use scenario
APPENDIX C		Risk Calculati	ions for Future Use Scenario
APPENDIX D		Uptake/Biokin	netic Model Results

### LIST OF TABLES

1	Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
2	Contaminants of Concern
3	Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Leachate Seeps
4	Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Surface Soil
5	Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Groundwater
6	Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Surface Water
7	Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Sediment
8	Equation and Example Calculation for Reasonable Maximum  Exposure Concentrations
9	Contaminant Summary Residential Wells 40
10	Cancer Slope Factors, Tumor Sites and EPA Cancer Classifications for Contaminants of Concern
11	Reference Doses, Target Sites and Confidence Levels for Contaminants of Concern
12	Summary of Cancer and Noncancer Risks by Exposure Route Current Use Scenario
13	Summary of Cancer and Noncancer Risks by Exposure Route Future Use Scenario
14	Projected Blood Lead Levels in Children Future Use Scenario

## APPENDIX B

## LIST OF TABLES

BI	Equations and Example Calculations for Ingestion Exposure to Leachate
B2	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Leachate
В3	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Leachate
B4	Equations and Example Calculations for Dermal Exposure to Leachate
B5	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Leachate
В6	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Leachate
В7	Equations and Example Calculations for Ingestion Exposure to Soil or Sediment
<b>B</b> 8	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Soil
B9	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Soil
B10	Equations and Example Calculations for Inhalation Exposure to Particulates
B11	Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Soil
B12	Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Soil
B13	Equations and Example Calculations for Ingestion Exposure to Groundwater
B14	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident C Child Exposed to Groundwater
B15	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident C 24-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater
B16	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident C 30-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater
B17	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident D Child Exposed to Groundwater
B18	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident D 24-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater
B19	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident D 30-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater

## APPENDIX B

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

B20	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident F Child Exposed to Groundwater
B21	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident F 24-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater
B22	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident F 30-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater
B23	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident A Child Exposed to Groundwater
B24	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident A 24-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater
B25	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident A 30-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater
B26	Equations and Example Calculations for Dermal Exposure to Groundwater
B27	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident C Child Exposed to Groundwater
B28	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident D Child Exposed to Groundwater
B29	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident F Child Exposed to Groundwater
B30	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident A Child Exposed to Groundwater
B31	Equations and Example Calculations for Ingestion Exposure to Surface Water
B32	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Surface Water
B33	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Surface Water
B34	Equations and Example Calculations for Dermal Exposure to Surface Water
B35	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Surface Water
B36	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Surface Water
B37	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Sediment
B38	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Sediment

#### APPENDIX B

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<b>B</b> 39	Equations and Example Calculations for Dermal Exposure to Sediment
<b>B40</b>	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Sediment
B41	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Sediment

## APPENDIX C

## LIST OF TABLES

CI	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Leachate
C2	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Leachate
C3	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Soil
C4	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Worker Exposed to Soil
C5	Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Soil
C6	Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Worker Exposed to Soil
C7	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Groundwater
<b>C8</b>	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for 24-yr Adult Resident Exposed to Groundwater
<b>C9</b>	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for 30-yr Adult Resident Exposed to Groundwater
C10	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Worker Exposed to Groundwater
C11	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Groundwater
C12	Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for 24-yr Adult Resident Exposed to Groundwater
213	Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for 30-yr Adult Resident Exposed to Groundwater
C14 ·	Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Worker Exposed to Groundwater
C15	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Surface Water
C16	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Surface Water
C17	Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Sediment
C18	Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Sediment

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

CDM FEDERAL PROGRAMS CORPORATION (CDM Federal) received Work Assignment No. C03153 from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the TES VII Contract (EPA Contract No. 68-W9-0004). As part of this assignment, CDM Federal was tasked to perform portions of the human health risk assessment (RA) for the Bell Landfill Superfund Site (the "Site"). Specifically, EPA requested that CDM Federal perform the following tasks:

- prepare analytical summary tables for the Contaminants of Concern (COCs)
- provide pertinent information about the COCs, including frequency of detection and range of detected concentrations
- perform statistical analyses for the COCs, including the calculation of averages, standard deviations and 95th percent Upper Confidence Limits
- quantify risks based on a site conceptual model provided by EPA (Ioven 1993a).

#### 1.2 REPORT FORMAT

This report is divided into five sections. Section 1.0, this section, is the introduction. Section 2.0 is the data evaluation. Data from the RI are tabulated, showing the occurrence and distribution of contaminants in the environmental media. From this list of organic and inorganic substances detected at the site, COCs are selected. Section 3.0 is the exposure assessment. Actual and potential exposure points, migration pathways and receptors are identified in the conceptual site model. Reasonable Maximum Exposure point concentrations (RMEs) are calculated for the pathways judged to be potentially complete. Section 4.0 is the toxicity assessment. EPA-approved toxicity factors are presented for the COCs. Section 5.0

is the risk characterization. The results of the data evaluation, exposure assessment and toxicity assessment are combined to quantify the current and future risks to human health posed by contaminants at the site.

#### 2.0 DATA EVALUATION

Data evaluation entails the identification of substances present at the site that will be used in the risk assessment. The 33 acre site has been divided into two operable units (OU). OU-1 is comprised of two former municipal waste landfills and associated leachate collection drains and tanks. OU-2 encompasses two areas referred to as the debris area and drum area, sitewide groundwater and soil and two adjacent off-site surface water tributaries.

The remedial investigation (RI) field work for both OU-1 and OU-2 was conducted in September 1992. Data reported in the "Draft RI Report for Operable Unit One," December 18, 1992 (ERM, 1992), and the "Draft RI Report Operable Unit One, Addendum One," March 19, 1993 (ERM, 1993) were evaluated for the baseline risk assessment. Samples were collected from 13 surface soil locations, 3 leachate seeps, 2 leachate tanks, 8 surface water/sediment stations, 6 monitoring wells and 5 residential wells.

The organic and inorganic analyses of these samples and associated field blanks were performed by Lancaster Laboratories, Inc., Lancaster, Pennsylvania. Samples were analyzed for EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) target compound list (TCL) volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), pesticides/PCBs and target analyte list (TAL) inorganics. All CLP sample analyses were performed according to protocols specified in the CLP statements of work for organic and inorganic analyses.

Data from these analyses were reviewed for adherence to the specified analytical protocols by Environmental Resources Management, Inc., Exton, Pennsylvania. The results were validated or qualified according to the "Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organic and Inorganic Analyses," (EPA 1988 and July 1991a). Based on this review, it was concluded that both the organic and inorganic analyses were performed acceptably; however, some qualifying statements were required. Specifically, all tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were marked with a "JN" qualifier to indicate that they are quantitative estimates; all compounds that were qualitatively identified at concentrations

below their respective contract required detection limits (CRDLs) were qualified with a "J" to indicate that they are quantitative estimates; and some results were qualified with a "J" due to matrix interferences, comparisons to blind duplicates, positive interferences, instrument calibration response, duplicate analysis, precision criteria, or poor CRDL standard recoveries. Positive results for common laboratory contaminants that were detected at less than or equal to 10 times the method, field and/or travel blank contamination level were considered qualitatively invalid. Positive results for uncommon laboratory contaminants that were detected at less than or equal to 5 times the method, field and/or travel blank contamination level were considered qualitatively invalid. (This is an interpretation of the language in the QA report which refers to common laboratory contaminants when uncommon contaminants (i.e., gamma-chlordane) are presumably meant). Finally, dilutions of some samples to prevent instrument overload or due to poor spike recoveries resulted in high quantitation limits for some VOCs, SVOCs, and metals.

Data that were judged usable based on the validation process are summarized in Table 1 showing all inorganic and organic parameters that were detected in at least one sample. Included in this group were unqualified results and results which were qualified with a "J" which means the chemical was present but the concentration was estimated. These values were used as actual detected concentrations which may have the effect of under- or overestimating the actual value. Sample results that were qualified with an "N", indicating presumptive evidence of the presence of that chemical in the sample, were not included in the list of chemicals of potential concern, since tentatively identified compounds (TICs) are not quantified and therefore not included in the risk assessment.

Table 1 shows the background concentration levels, the range of detections above the sample quantitation limit (SQL) by sample medium, arithmetic means of positive detections above the SQL, the number of detections above the SQL and the number of sample locations for each medium. Constituent concentrations for samples collected as duplicates were consolidated into a single value using the higher of the two detected concentrations. All other results represent a single sampling event.

Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Media (1)	Minimum	Maximur	Average (3)	Detects (4)	Samples (5)	Backgrour	MCL (7)	1E-6 / HG 0.1 Risk Level (8)	သ
SS	8850		11005	13	13	12900			
87	4000	_	8247	e	60	<b>Y</b>	ž	110,000	
	1500	<del>-</del>	1500	-	-	¥		ž	
AS T	(0.61)QN		ž	0	•	ND(19.0)		110,000	
CS .	6440		9172	<b>6</b> 0 (	<b>1</b> 00 1	7610		230,000	
AN C	1330 ND(44.0)	35	<u>-</u>	o c	O K	2450 AN		000'1	<b>:</b>
200	MD/1 2-1 8	VZ VZ		0	2	A DON		9	
3 <u>«</u>	(0.1   5.1) (0.1   5.1)	2	2	o c	2 6	F. CAN		; =	
3 <u>-</u>	926	228	226	· -	0 0	¥ ×		2 2	
	a		a	•	· •	O S/ON		15	
8	ND(3.6-7.3)	Ž	₹	•	•	ND(4.4-5.7)		: E	
XX.	ND(14.1)		ž	0	100	ND(14.1)	•	5.	
RES	ND(16.0)	ž		0	9	Y.	•	÷.	
88	2.0			13	13	3.1		2.3	Xex X
S1	9.0			၈	8	¥.		Ξ	۶
=	7.7		-	-	-	ž		<b>\S</b>	
AS _	2.7			2	***	(0.1)QN		=	
S	2.6			•	•	2.0		83	
***	4.2			*	10	•	8	7.	*
RES	1.2		1.0			<b>₹</b>		<b>:</b>	٤
SS	94			13	13	. 92		550	Xes
81	151	2360			6	¥		2,600	
ב -	1830		8		8	¥		ž	
AS	29.4			•	•	28		2,600	
OS -	66.2		127	8	8	116		2,500	
¥	53.3				16	2		<b>580</b>	<u>*</u>
RES	37				S	¥	2,000	280	
SS	0.12		0.45		13	0.44		0.15	٤
-S	(0.1)QN			0	90	¥	-	0.16	
	ND(1.0-10.0)		· · · = ·	0	a	Ž		<b>≨</b>	
SW	(0.1)QN				•	(0.1)QN		0.16	
OS .	0.26				•	0.58		5.	
AW.	1.2	2.2	2:0		<b>10</b>	ND(0.3)	<b>*</b>	0.016	<u>.</u>
RES	(0.1) (0.1)	¥			5	¥	4	0.016	
88	4.6 4.0	134	35	€0	10	ND(0.23)		œ.	<u>:</u>
2		166	28	۲۷	60	₹:		<b>S</b>	•
	231	-	231	-	8	≨ '		≨	
AS .	(0.1)QN		ž	0	•	(0.1)QN		•	•
OS	8.	7.3	3.3	9	9	1.9		66	
WW	ND(2.8)	,	₹	0	10	ND(2.8)	0	<del>6</del> .	
RES	ND(4.0)		¥ <sub>N</sub>	0	5	¥.		1.8	
88	1880	18800	7370	13	13	465			
67	15100		345033	60	60	YZ.	≨ —	₹	
<del>د</del>	320000	_	685000	8	8	¥Z			
AS.	18800		20733	•	9	19800			
S	1140		2003	9	9	1670			
¥	39000		29000	S	រេ	41800			
	28700	39300	35080	<u>.</u>	ñ	Ž	_		

Table 1 (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

200	ž ,	<b>.</b>			<u>,</u>	:			_		_			_	_			χ••			_	_									_		*	_			×.	?				-		
1E-6 / HG 0.1 Risk Lavel (8)	en con	20:	<b>≨</b> \$	201	OAC .	<b>6 6</b>	2	. <b>\$</b>					200	43.	NAN .	1,400	2,900	9	140	160	730	¥.	730	1,600	2 2		ž					T	-	<b>₹</b>	_					¥	<b>1</b>		·	
MCL (7)				_	<u>ڊ</u> 	3 2	3	ž		_				_	_		(MCLG)	1,300	1,300			_	_	Ę	88		ž	,	•							E	15	5		ž		_		
Background	<b></b>	₹:	Ž	(0.1.0) 7.7	7.7	19.2 V	7.8	O. A.	ž	ND(2.0)	0 (	2.7 NA	2	0 4	<b>₹</b>	(0.5)QN	80	8	YN.	(S.O)QN	Z.	AN S	ND(2.5)	ND(0.35-0.44)	<b>Ž Ž</b>	16400	NA	ž	1300	18300	2680	Y S	12.5	<b>X X</b>	(0.1)CN	ן מיויטייי מ	0.55	NAN	2580	Y.	ž	2665	2540	-
Samples (5)	13	တ	ณ์เ	<b>0</b> 4	<b>6</b>	n va	61	<u>.</u> 60	N	•	<b>6</b> 0 1	<b>40 40</b>	0 6	2 60	2 (4)	• •	, =	. ฉี	2	13	<b>9</b> (	~ (	6 (	<b>6</b>	<del>0</del> 16	2 6	2 60	N	60	•	10 1	-	<u>.</u>	ຕົ້	- 6	0	- ¥	O 40	13	୍ଟି	8	Ś	9	) (
	<u>6</u>	<u>හ</u>	N C	<del>5</del> '	<b>6</b>	7 6	200	2 00	N	0	•	₹ 0	2 6	<u> </u>	? <del>.</del> .	. 0	<del>, _</del>	- (1)		0	α.	<del>-</del> (	0 (	00	00	265	2 00	N	100	•	<b>10</b>	-	<u></u>	e +	<u> </u>	<b>-</b>		• 0	13	9	N	•	<b>1</b>	5.
	25.5	106.0	176.7	₹ 1 2 c	9.7	0.00 V	48	587	1358	¥	9.7	13.0 AN	* #C	28.1	44.5	? ₹	2.6	158.0	42.6	YN.	4	6.0	Z:	Z Z	¥ ₹	20584	359200	598500	353	20617	23694	838	440	0.55 6.60	900	2.2.4	. 6. 6.	<b>R ≨</b>	3723	89597	190400	2037	Ē	- Kasa
Maximum (2)	39.1	227.0	275.0	Ž Š	e .	0.10	117	1440	2500	₹ Z	13.6	31.2 NA	144	4.1.4	140 14 K	O K	2.6	241	42.6	YZ	6.1	6.0	¥:	₹:	Z Z	JEIN	766000	921000	582	27800	00869	838	7840	တ္တ ဧ	en c	2.2.4	A C. C.	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	4780	244000	322000	4030	3390	17200
Minimum (2)	15.9	17.4	78.4	¥	4.6	8.9 8.00	140/0/1	2 4	216	¥Z	10	8.5 NOVA 01	וויים אין	4.0.4	7117	ND(5.0)	100	74.6	42.6	ND(0.28-0.47)	3.55	6.9	ND(2.5)	ND(0.31 -0.57)	AN CON	(C:2)ON	14800	278000	206	13300	1650	838	9.4	6.1	9 6	2.2	74.6	0.93 ND/1.0 - 5.0)	ND(1.0-5.0)	4490	58800	2040	0000	5770
Media (1)	88	9	_ 5	- AS	28 -	AW Dug	100	2 81	-   5 -	MS _	GS .	WW	200	200	3±	- MS	 ; &	_  ≩ 	RES	88	61	_ : _	AS .	GS .	MA DE	טטט	2 c	 35	- MS	ි ග	- MM	RES	88	 ទ: 	 ] ]	¥ 6	33	ANV	SS	S1 	는   5 	MS -	 	- A
Perameter	Chromlum						Cohet	ישמי		•		•		Copper						Cyanide							uol				`		read						Magnesium					

Tab( (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

၁၀၁	•	<b>.</b>		* *	<b>.</b>	×.	<b>X</b>	• •							>	•										<b>.</b>																			
1E-6 / HG 0.1 Rek Level (8)	39	180	2	180	280	₽	18	23	= ;	≨	=	23			88	8 42	<u> </u>	3 6	7		160	730	≨	730	1,600	73	5)	Ž						g (	8 2	5 6	360	. 62	•	39	180	≨	180	390	œ (
MCL (7)		ž											<b>CU</b> (	2	5	Ş				•						8 5	3	¥										25	S		ž				
Background (6)	1340	¥	Ž	194.7	2060	. 136	42	90.0	¥:	₹	ND(0.10)	ND(0.05-0.08)	ND(0.06)	¥	≨:	<b>S S</b>	<b>S 2</b>	<b>* *</b>	<b>\S</b>	ž	14.4	¥	≨	(0.4)QN	12.7	4.6	42	g <b>₹</b>	ž	951	576	1390	¥	ND(0.24)	2	S LON	ND(0.27 - 0.34)	ND(1.8)	N.	2.2	Ž	¥Z.	(0.9)QN	19.4	ND(3.1)
Samples (5)	13	e	~	•	•	10	80	5	0	0	•	•	<b>4</b> 1	2	0 (	N 6	<b>V</b> C			•	=	•	8	*	•	<b>.</b>	•	2 02	N	•	•	<b>.</b>	2	<u>.</u>	9 0	<b>.</b>	•	10	10	13	8	8	8	€	<b>10</b> 1
Detects (4)	13	n	8	8	•	in.	2	-	0	0						N 6		0	C	0	=	6	2	0	•	₩ (	9	n e	8	•	•	<b>10</b>	2	4.0	<b>S</b>	· C	-	0	-	13	2	2	0	-	0
Average (5)	3246	60883	118200	190	1585	1014	\$	98.0	≨:	<b>₹</b>	<b>\$</b>	0.11	<b>\$</b> :	¥	Ž	1770	N.	<b>\\ \\ \</b>	Ž	2	30.5	161	304	¥	14.2	49.0	Y S	992	182100	1419	765	5808	1210	16.0	<b>S 2</b>	2	C 10	Ž	3.2	9.6	67.7	2	ž	6.7	¥ :
Meximum (2)	10100	108000	163000	796	3620	1920	24	2.40	¥ :	Š	¥	0.13	¥:	ž	¥ C	1800	9 N	¥ ×	<b>Y</b> 2	ž	43.5	306	488	¥2	17.8	9 :	\$	1600	338000	2120	948	15500	1800	0.37	<u> </u>	2	( NC	e e	3.2	5.9	97.1	101	¥ Z	6.1	Y :
Minimum (2)	36.5	2860	73400	2.6	287	58.6	31.2	0.02	60 (	80	ND(0.10)	90.0	ND(0.06)	ND(0:10)	¥	770	2	2	2	Ž	17.3	12.8	122	(0.4.0)	9.5	9.4	ND(V:U)	676	26200	834	254	1220	619	0.29	ND(1,0~3.0)	(0.01 = 0.0) CN	- C	(8.1-8.0)CN	3,2	1.9	38.3	59.4	ND(8.0)	1.9	ND(3.1)
Media (1)	88	87	ב	8%	8	ž	RES	တ္တ	ອ :	ב	₩	S	<b>≥</b>	RES	8 .	3 -	- 30 00	5 8	3	RES	88	S	ב	MS	SD	X C	242	න <u>-</u>	3 5	Mg	SD	MM	RES	တ္တ -	2 -	- 6	\$ 60 60	3	RES	88	Ls	ב	8W	SD	MW.
	Manganese							Mercury							Molybdenum	•					ZEKEL							Potessium						Selenium		,			_	Silver					

Table 1 (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Parameter	Media (1)	Minimum	Maximun	Average (5)	Detects (4)	Samples (5)	Background (6)	(ng/t.)	1E-6 / HQ 0.1 Risk Level (8)	<b>9</b>
Sodium	SS	218		. 607	1	1	8	<b></b> -		
	<b>8</b> 7	2000	925000	367000	en .	en 1	Ž	₹ Z	<b>≨</b>	
	ב	189000	1300000	744500		CV	YN .			
	₩S	2800	183000	35765	8	•	2960			
	8	56.9	139	80	81	0	66.6			
,	<b>≱</b> [	4920	22700	9760	io k	n K	4050 4050			
	200	0024 00 44 0/014	AN	AL OB	0	2	(8) O/ON		0.63	
Inalium	20 -	ND(0.44-0.67)	£ 5		9 6	2 €	(0.0)UN	•	3.5	
	3 -	ND(2:0-10:0)	Y Y	Z Z	0	) (V	Z Z	4	2	
	, r	ND(2.0)	<b>\</b>	× ×	0	· -	ND(2.0)		6.2	
	S	ND/0.47-0.91)	Ź	ž	0	•	ND(0.54-0.67)		6.0	
	*	ND(1.9-3.8)	ž	¥	0	10			0.29	
	RES	ND(2.0)	Y.	¥	0	2			0.29	
Vanadlum	88	12.2	23.2	17.71	13	13			\$6 ;	
	rs S	17.3	51.3	35.8	<b></b>	Ø (		<b>£</b>	560	
	ב	23.1	115	50.1	N (	~ (	¥ 3		¥ 8	
-	ð. S	ND(2:0)	¥ ;	¥ ;	<b>5</b> (		(0.5)UN		097	
	S	6.	21.8	16.4	ю •		200		2 2	, ,
	Ž	300	45.8	25.0	* 6	0 4	7 6 7		8 8	
	HES	ND(5.0)	2	\$ .	2		2		0000	
Zinc	SS	68.5	512	166	200	2		3	2,300	
	g :	500	0001	0 2 2 2	N •	<b>V</b>			3	
	ے ان د	2430	0042	0047	- (	<b>V «</b>			2 2	
	<b>3</b> 6	2.0.2	0.5	9.5	4 6	- e			200.50	
	2		72.1	2	) (C	, K	18.3		1.100	
	E E	(O'E)GN	Ž	Ž	0	N			1.100	
4 4 4 Teleblara ath and	90	0.6	7.0	47	•	65	8.0		700,000	
	) o	ND/10-2500)	Ž	ž	00				1,300	
	15	ND(10-500)	ž	¥Z	0	~			¥	
	MS.	(01)QN	ž	¥	0	•	(01)QN		1,300	
	8	ND(12-24)	ž	¥	0	•	oz -		2,000,000	
	×	ND(10)	Y.	₹:	0	10 1	OE ON	8 8		
•	HES	1.2	7.2	1.2		9	Z		780 000	
1,1 - Dichloroethane	တ္တ	24	N (	N 8	-	2 6		**	20,00	· 
	S :	2	2 4	3 8	- (	2 6		_	2 8	
	ا ا	2000	20 2	2	v C	4 6	QN		<b>3</b> 10	
	£ 0	(0) C (1) C (1)		2	o c	•	Š		7.800.000	
	3	(12-21)UN	2	Z Z	0	, IO			10	
	B B	(OL)ON	Ž	<b>\S</b>	0	10			20	
1 2-Dichlomethene (total)	SS	ND(11-18)		¥	0	13	ND(12)		70,000	
	S	280		280	-	6		•	52	<b>\$</b>
	1.1	72	8	. 79	8	2			ž	
	SW.	ND(10)	¥:	Ž	0	•	ND(10)	7.1.4		
•	QS .	ND(12-24)	Y.	V.	<b>5</b> C	יי פי	ND(14-18)	(CIS/ICAITS)	100,000 2,5	·
	Z U	2 ;	2 :	<u>.</u>	л 	·				

Table (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Belt Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

ב נ

Minimum (2)
1500 8200 ND(10) NA 16 62 ND(10) NA ND(5.0) NA
73 73 73 240 240 ND(10) NA ND(10) NA ND(10) NA ND(10) NA ND(10) NA ND(10) NA NA ND(10) NA
15 65 380 380 280 490 ND(12-24) NA NA ND(5.0) NA
26 690 3400 5400 4400 6800 ND(10) NA 180 12 12
1 13 21 21 21 21 ND(10) NA ND(12-24) NA 1 1 1
·
3 120 39 620 26 1200 ND(10) NA ND(12-24) NA ND(10) NA

Table 1 (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Belt Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

ည	:	<b>:</b> ×	_		_	_		_	_	_	_	<b>.</b>		- ×	•	_	_	_			¥.		_		• •		* *	1	_	_;	**			_	_		-	_		_		_	_	
HE SE	95,000	<b>4</b> :	≨;	41	850,000	4 4	12.000	11	- <b>\$</b>	=	120,000	7	1.1	1,800,000	0 ×	¥ 82 28 £	16.000.000	75	22.	58,000	9	Ž.	16	280,000	e e	340	340 0.19	ž Ž	0.19	3,400	0.019	16,000,000	12,000	NA NA	12,000	160,000,000	1,200	1,200	2,700	5.23	Y & C	2.5	0.28	7
MCL (7)		_	_	_		o 10		_	_	_		ימי		_	_	_	_		000,		_	_	_		10 10		_	_	-		, N N		_	_	_					ž	_	_		-
Background (6)		<b>Ž</b> :	¥¥	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Q N	(OL)ON	JON			)QN	)QN			ND(12)	¥ 2 2	NDC10)	ND(14-16)			)QN		N.	)QX	Q Q	(01) QX	ND(12)			)QN	<u>Q</u>	(0) ND(10)	JON			Q N	QN.			V)QN			ND(0	2.8 ND(0.10)	להוימוריי
	o	์ ย	8	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b> K	מי מ	0	2 60	ว ณ	9	•	10	<b>S</b>	<u>.</u>	ກີເ	N T	0 4	0 10		13	6	18	•	•	10 K			» «		•	40 K	0 85	2 60	2 64	1 10	9	. To	S	13	e (	2.	•	<b>.</b>	ก๊
	0	OI C	<u> </u>	0	<b>α</b> c	0 0	0	S 6	5 +	- c	00	70	0	€ €	e	N C	<u> </u>	N 61	V 0	N	· 84	CN 1	0	0 0	o c	ם י	o		. 0	0	<b>α</b> c			N 64		, To	20	0		0 (	<del>-</del>	00	<u> </u>	5.
	NA	14290	22024	₹°	e :	<b>Y</b> :	¥2.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	- N	Ž	4.6	¥	41	624	62/	Ž.	5	° Y	•	345	255	Y	YN	24	NA.	<del>\ \ \</del>	22	Y	Y	4 4	NA.	216	2289	NA NA	¥.	<u> </u>	NA	3.1	¥Z	¥N	YN.		F
Maximum (2)	٧N	28000	44000	₹°	e ₹		\$ <b>\$</b>		<b>~</b>	- <u>*</u>	<b>.</b> ₹	5.8	ž	520	020			2 2	V	4	350	490	¥.	¥Z	\$6 Z	Y X	₹ <b>₹</b>	22	¥ N	Z	ν <b>γ</b>	NA Seo	3400	4500			ζ <del>-</del> -	YN.	3.1	Ž	¥:	¥N.	6. F	
Minimum (2)	8	580	47	ND(10)	2	ND(10)	ND(1.0-2.0)	ND(11-18)	(moz_ni)	(01)QN	ND(12-24)	9.6	ND(1.0)	60 1	51	470 NO.191	(01)0N	200	(0.1)QN	17	340	61	(01)QN	ND(12-24)	23	(0.1)ON	ND(11-18)	. 55	(01)QN	ND(12-24)	2	ND(1.0)	170	170	(01)QN	ND(12-24)	112	ND(1.0)	3.1	ND(0.1-1.0)	ND(0.1-1.0)	ND(0.10)	1.4 101 010N	ND(0.10)
Media (1)	SS	s:	_ 	No.	S 3	MM	ACS OB	- 8 -	2 5	- MS	8	· MM	RES	88	2. F	ۇ د	<b>\$</b> €	- MW	RES	SS	- S1	. b	3W	8	MW *	MES	တ္တ တ	- 35 -	- MS	8	WM and	FES	200	25	- AS		- MM	RES	SS	- 87 -	5	AS C	G ₹	RES
Paramotor	Methylene Chloride	_		-	-		Talpachineseshan	Tetrachloroethene	_		_			Toluene			_	_		Trichloroethene				~			Vinyl Chloride	_		_		V. Jane 11-1-11	Xylene (total)	_		<u>-</u>	-	-	4,4'-DDD		-	_	•	

Tabe 1 (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Beit Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Parameter	Media (1)	Minimum (2)	Maximum (2)	Average (3)	Detects (4)	Samples (5)	Background (6)	MCL (7)	1E-6 / HO 0.1	၁၀၁
					1			(MB/L)	Rick Lavel (8)	
4,4'~DDE	88	=======================================	-		_	<u>.</u>	(0.4.0) ND(4.0)		-,900	
•	<u>8</u>	ND(0.1-1.0)	ž	Ž	0	•	¥	ž	~	
	5	ND(0.1-1.0)	¥ Z	ž	0	Q	¥Z		¥	
	<b>8</b> 6	(0.10) ND(0.10)	&Z	ž	0	8	ND(0.10)		~	
	gs G	2,1	2.1	2.1	-	•	ND(4.6-6.0)		19,000	
	¥	ND(0.±0)	Ž:	¥:	0	<b>6</b> 0	ND(0.10)		0.2	_
	HES	¥	¥	¥	0	0	¥		0.2	
4,4'-DDT	88	*	8	10.0	*	5	0.79		1,900	
	81	0.05	0.50	0.28	2	60	<b>\frac{1}{2}</b>	<b>₹</b>	ત્ય	
	ב	ND(0.1-1.0)	ž	ž	0	Ø	ž		ž	
	AS.	ND(0.10)	42	¥	0	•	ND(0.10)		84	
•	OS OS	-	12	9.9	64	10	22		19,000	
	¥¥	ND(0.10)	ž	ž	0	16	ND(0.10)		0.5	
	RES	¥	¥	ž	0	0	¥		0.2	
Aldrin	88	19.5	82	21.3	8	13	ND(2:0)		8	
•	9	ND(0.05-0.5)	ž	¥:	0	€7	Ž	ž	5.5	
	5	ND(0.05-0.5)	₹:	Z	•	~	*		ž	
	₩S	ND(0.050)	≨	¥	0	•	ND(0.050)		5.0	
	S	ND(2.1-4.0)	Ž	ž	0	•	ND(2.4-5.1)		980	
	Ž	ND(0.050)	¥	Ž	0	<b>1</b> 0	ND(0.050)		0.004	
	HES	¥	≨	N	0	0	NA NA		0.004	
Aroclor - 1242	88	73	73	73	1	13	(04)GN		83	
	rs	ND(1.0-10)	¥	ž	0	60	Ž	_	0.087	
	17	ND(1.0-10)	¥	¥	0	84	AX.		¥Z	
	AS.	(0.1)QN	ž	ž	0	•	(0.1)QN		0.087	
	S	ND(41-78)	¥ Z	Ž	0	•	ND(46-60)	,	830	
	<b>≥</b>	(6.1.)QN	Ž	ž	0	<b>10</b>	(0.1.0)	S. 6	0.0087	
	HES	Ž	¥	Ž	0	0	¥N.	0.5	0.0087	
Dieldrin	88	0.71	0.85	0.78	2	<u> </u>	ND(4.0-6.0)		\$	
	2	ND(0.1-1.0)	ž	¥.	0	eo.	₹:	ž	0.042	
	5	ND(0.1-1.0)	ž	ž	0	8	≨		<b>≨</b>	-
	MS SM	(0:10) (0:10)	ž	ž	•	•	ND(0.10)		0.042	
	S	ND(4.1-7.8)	Ź	Ž	0	•	ND(4.6-6.0)		8	
	M	ND(0.10)	Ž	¥ :	0	<b>S</b>	(0.10) (0.10)		0.0042	
	HES	Y.	42	2	0	2 9	V 0000		70046	
Heptachlor epoxide	99 .	(Z.8-8.2)	2 00	¥ 70	<b>&gt;</b> •	2 •	(0.2)QL	. 0	2 6	\ \ \
	3 5	0.021 NO 042-0-51	NAN	NA.	- c	9 6	Ž	į	NA N	
	3 8	(C.D. SEC.O)CIN	2	2	) C	1 10	ND/0.050)		0.012	
	6	MD(0.1-4.0)		2	· c	•	ND(2.4-3.1)		200	
	3	ND/0.050)		Ž	0	10	ND(0.050)	0.2	0.0012	
	RES	NA.		Ş	0	0	Ž	0.2	0.0012	
Methoxychlor	88	3.6		3.6	1	13	ND(20)		39,000	
	87	ND(0.5-5.0)		ž		8	¥2		<b>28</b>	
	נל	ND(0.5-5.0)	ž	¥	0	N	ž		<b>\</b>	
	ЖS	0.048	0.048	0.048	-	9	ND(0.50)		081	
	SO	ND(21-40)	₹N	¥	0	8	ND(24-31)	•	390,000	
	×	(05.0) QN	¥.	₹ Z	0	9	(0.20) (0.20)	Q :	₩ ;	
	RES	¥.	N	AN	0	0	Z	2	91	

Table 1 (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

၁၀၁		:										T		_			_										_	_				• •										-
1E-6 / HQ 0.1 C Rick Level (8)	┝	¥ Z				769	200	N.SZ	0.52	4,900	0.052	20052	370	2	370	7,000,000	37	97	390,000	008	Z &	3.900.000	180	180		¥ Z					0		¥ \$	700	390,000	0 •	100	2300	NA N	2.300	4,800,000	l nec
MCL (7) (49/L)		<u>₹</u>				1					o o	2					8	900		<u>-</u>						<u></u>			•			<u>¥</u>				-,			<u> </u>			-
Background (6)	ND(2:0)	¥ :	(050'0)QN	ND(2.4-3.1)	(050'0)QN	AN SOL	ND(2:0)	<b>\{</b>	ND(0.050)	ND(2.4-3.1)	ND(0.050)	AN SOLVE	(004)0N AN	<b>\$ \$</b>	(01)QN	ND(460-600)	(01)QN	¥	ND(400)	Z Z		ND(460-600)	(10) DN	NA	(004)QN	¥ S	Z C Z C Z	ND(460-600)	(01)QN	NA	(00+)QN	¥.	NA SOL	(פון)טא	ND(460-600)	(a)	VN (000)/CIA	(DODI) CN	42	ND(25)	ND(1200-1500)	NOVOR
	13	တင	N ec	9 60	10	0	<u>n</u> •	n 0	0	•	<b>10</b> (	0 4	<u>n</u> e	50	0	•	8	2	<u>6</u>	e) (	N &	9 6	9 40	S	13	e) (	N 4	•	10	100	13	e) (	ิ (	0	<b>6</b> 0 i	O 4	n	2 0	2 6	V 60	φ	S
Detects (4)	9	2 +	- 0	0	0	0	0 0	5 0	0	0	0	0	o c	5 6	• •	0	0	-	<del>-</del>		- (	<b>5</b> 6	0	0	-	0 (	0 0	<b>5</b> C		00	60	0	O C	0	0		0	<del>-</del> 6	> 6	<b>&gt;</b> C	0	0
Average (3)	4.4	4.0	2.6. X	Z	ž	¥.	<u> </u>	\$ <b>\$</b>	ž	**	Ž	¥		<b>S S</b>			ž		Ž	80	8 :	<u> </u>	₹ <b>₹</b>	ž	490	Ž	<b>\frac{2}{2}</b> :	<b>X X</b>	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Ž	428	2650	2200	<b>S</b>	¥.	N	YZ G	086	<b>\</b>	<b>X X X</b>		AN AN
Meximum (2)	7.6	4.7	2 X	Š	ž	YZ Z	Ž	<b>₹</b> ₹	ž	¥	Ž	<b>X</b> :	<b>X X</b>	\$ <b>4</b>	<b>\</b>	¥	Ž	0.2	YZ	86 6	2 3	<b>Z Z</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	Ž	490	<b>S</b>	≨ :	₹ <b>₹</b>	Ž	\$ <b>\$</b>	750	3800	2800	ž	ž	2	¥	089	¥ S	Z 2	Z Z	AN.
Minimum (2)	1:1	0.17	5.3 ND(0.050)	ND(2.1 -4.0)	ND(0.050)	¥	ND(1.9-2.5)	ND(0.050-0.50)	ND(0.050)	ND(2.1-4.0)	ND(0.050)	¥	ND(380-610)	ND(10-1000)	(00) ND(10)	ND(410-780)	ND(10-20)	0.2	(019-08E)QN	80	8 3	ND(10)	ND(10-20)	ND(5.0)	490	ND(10-500)	ND(200-1200)	(01)QN	ND(10-20)	ND(5.0)	53	1500	1600	(01)QN	ND(410-780)	~	ND(5.0)	530	ND(25-1200)	ND(500-3100)	ND/1000 - 2000)	ND(25-50)
Media (1)	SS	S :	- A	8	MW	RS	g :	<u> </u>	3 € 88	8	MM	RES	g -	3 =	. §	8	MM	RES	SS	ខ្ម	- ان دا	<b>કે</b> 6	3 ₹	RES	SS	81	֝֝֟֝֝֝֝֟֝ <del>֡</del>	<b>₹</b>	2 ₹	RES	88	ទា	ב	AKS	8	MW	RES	88	9 :	; ;	5 6	WM
Parameter	delta – BHC						alpha-Chbrdane						1,2-Dichlorobenzene	-		-			2-Methylphenol						2-Nitrophenol				-		4-Methylphenol			-	-			4 - Nitrophenol	_			

Tab (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Minimum (2) Maximum (2) 66 66
ND(10-500)
(01)QN
ND(410-780) ND(10-20)
ND(5.0)
120
ND(200-1200)
(01)QN
240
ND(10-20) ND(5.0)
230
ND(10~500)
ND(10)
40
ND(10-20)
O'CON NO.
ND(10-500)
ND(200-1200)
(01)QN
ND(410-780)
ND(5.0)
ND(380-610)
ND(10-500)
ND(200-1200)
ND(410-780)
ND(10-20)
ND(380 - A10)
ND(10-500)
ND(200-1200)
(01)QN
26
ND(10-20)
ND(5.0)
(019-086)QN
R7 4
- TO 1
ND(410-780)
ND(10-20)
ND(5.0)

Table 1 (continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

-6 / HQ 0.1 COC	310,000	1,500	<b>*</b>	1,500	3,100,000	02	150	310,000	000,	× 5	000,000	150	35	130,000	140	¥:	140	1,300,000	<u> </u>	310.000	1500	N N	1.500	3,100,000	150	150	310,000	1,500	£	1,500	3,100,000		4,700,000	22,000	₹	22,000	47,000,000	2,200	230,000	1 100	3	Y Z
) MCL (7) 1E-6 (ug/L) Riek	L	¥.	¥				<b>X</b> :						~	L	¥	<b>«</b>					<b>X</b>		_					¥		•	•			¥ 2						42		
Backgro	) ND(400	,		(01)QN		ğ —	W 1007/CIA	NC(40)	¥ 2		NOTARO - ROOM	NDC10	Ž	ND(400)			(01)QN	ND(460-600)	(01)UN	ND/400	N N	2	ND(10)	ND(460-600)	(01)QN	. ₹	ND(400)	₹	≨ :	ND(10)	ND(460-600)		(00) UN	<b>Y</b>	¥	ND(10)	ND(460-600)	(10) (10) (10)	NOVADO	(DOL)CIT	2	
Samples (5)	13		- 2	•										13					0 4		•			•			-					0 10						en u			200	
Detects (4)							2 +												<b>-</b>			· o						-		0		-						0			) c	
Average (3)									<b>X X X</b>															¥	¥	¥	133	Ž	Ž	Z	¥ :	ZZ	190	2150	1780	¥:	¥ :	Ž	80	0 N	Z 2	
Maximum (2)	73	ž	¥ Z	ž	47	¥:	2	5 5	<b>X X X</b>		2	ž	₹ Z	3	ž	Z:	₹ :	₹ :	Z Z	270	7		ž	¥	¥	¥	210	¥Z	ž	Ž	¥ :	Z Z	250	3200	2600	¥ :	¥:	Ž	140	2 2	¥ 2	
Minimum (	19	ND(10-500)	ND(200-1200)	ND(10)	47	ND(10-20)	(0.0)UN	200	ND(10-500)	(003) -003)011	ND(410-780)	ND(10-20)	ND(5.0)	<b>3</b> 5	ND(10-200)	ND(200-1200)	(0L)QN	ND(410-780)	(02-01)UN	09	7	ND(200-1200)	ND(10)	ND(410-760)	ND(10-20)	ND(5.0)	88	ND(10-200)	ND(200-1200)	ND(10)	ND(410-780)	(02-01)0N	160	1100	98	(01)QN	ND(410-760)	ND(10-20)	(n:e)ON	NO.40 - KOON	ND(200-1200)	
Media (1)	SS	87	_ 	3₩.	8	¥ (	200	3 9	2 -	- S	5 6	¥	RES	SS	F.3	ב	AS C	os:	MM	88	8	15	AS.	S	AW.	RES	88	8J	ב	Mo :	8	RES	SS	S.	ב	AS C	8	A Succession	Sec	3 9	3 5	
	•													N-Nitrosodiphenylamine													2				,											
Parameter	Fluoranthene		•				Clipton						٠	N-Nitrosodi						Nanhthalana							Phenanthrene					,	Phenol						D. Contract			

Table continued)
Occurrence and Distribution of Contaminants Bell Landfill Site

Towanda, Pennsylvania

1	Hisk Level (8) 200 46,000 48 NA 48 480,000 4.8 48
Beckground (R)	ND(400) NA NA NA ND(10) ND(10) ND(10) 6 ND(10) 6
daximum (2) Average (3) Detects (4) Samples (5)	0 1 0 1 0 0 1
Im (2) Average (3)	NA N
lia (†) Minimum (2) Maxim	ND(200-1200) ND(200-1200) ND(410-780) ND(10-20) 21
Me	s(z~Emylhexyl)phthalate SS LS LS LT SW SD MW RES

SS is surface soil. LS is leachate seep. LT is leachate tank. SW is surface water. SD is sediment. MW is monitoring well; the results reported are from filtered samples. RES is

Minimum / maximum detected concentration above the sample quantitation limit (SQL). Units are: mg/kg for inorganic soil samples; ug/kg for organic soil samples; and ug/L for inorganic and organic water samples. Inorganic monitoring well results are reported as total (unlitered) metals. 

(3) Arithmetic average of constituent detections above the SQL

(4) Number of times constituent was detected above the SQL. Sample results from duplicates were consolidated into a single sample result, using the higher detected concentration

(5) Number of samples taken and analyzed for the constituent. Sample number varies based on number of usable results (invalid results were not counted).

(6) Background samples are: surface soil, BGSS-1; surface water, the mean of SW-4; sediment, the mean of SD-1 and SD-4; monitoring wells, MW-1. No backgrour

(7) Maximum Contaminant Level (federal drinking water standard) in ug/L.

(8) U.S. EPA, 1993. "Risk – Based Concentration Table, Fourth Quarter 1993," Roy L Smith, PhD, EPA Region III Senior Toxicologist, October 15. Units are ug/L for organic

COC Contaminant of Concern

MCLG Maximum Contaminant Level Goal

TT Treatment Technique

NA Not applicable

ND( ) Not detected. The number (or range) is the SQL.

B Result is qualitatively invalid since this analyte was detected in a blank at a similar concentration.

\* February 23, 1993 results

\*\* Included per Region III guidance

Contaminants of concern (COCs) were selected from this list of positively identified chemicals in accordance with EPA Region III Technical Guidance. Region III EPA has developed risk-based concentrations for nearly 600 chemicals by combining toxicity values derived from IRIS, HEAST, OHEA and other EPA sources with "standard" exposure scenarios (EPA 1993a). In cases where a scenario does not apply (i.e., exposure to leachate), professional judgment was applied to determine levels of potential concern (Ioven 1993b).

The detection limits for several compounds were higher than risk-based concentrations and higher than Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs). Because the detection limits were higher than the evaluation criteria, these compounds could not be considered in the selection of COCs. Even though these compounds were not evaluated in the risk assessment, it should be noted that if they occur in environmental media, they may present a human health concern. The following table lists the compounds where detection limits were higher than evaluation criteria in groundwater.

# Parameters with Health-Based Levels Less Than SQLs Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

#### MONITORING WELLS

Antimony \*
Cadmium

Thallium \*

1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane

1,1,2-Trichloroethane

Thallium

1,2-Dichloroethane

1,2-Dichloropropane

Benzene

Bromodichloromethane

Bromoform

Carbon Disulfide

Carbon Tetrachloride

Chlorobenzene

Chloroform

Chloromethane

Dibromochloromethane

Methylene Chloride

Tetrachloroethene

Trichloroethene

Vinyl Chloride \*

cis-1,3-Dichloropropene

trans-1,3-Dichloropropene

Aldrin

Aroclor-1016

Aroclor-1221 \*

Aroclor-1232

Aroclor-1242

Aroclor-1248

Aroclor-1254

Aroclor-1260

Dieldrin

Heptachlor

Heptachlor epoxide

Toxaphene

alpha-BHC

1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene

1,4-Dichlorobenzene

2,4-Dinitrophenol

2.6-Dinitrotoluene

2-Nitroaniline

3.3'-Dichlorobenzidine

3-Nitroaniline

4-Nitroaniline

Benzo(a)anthracene

Benzo(a)pyrene \*

Benzo(b)fluoranthene

Benzo(k)fluoranthene

Carbazole

Dibenz(a,h)anthracene

Hexachlorobenzene \*

Hexachlorobutadiene

Hexachlorocyclopentadiene

Hexachloroethane

Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene

N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine

Nitrobenzene

Pentachlorophenol

bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether

bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate \*

#### RESIDENTIAL WELLS (1)

Antimony \*
Arsenic
Beryllium
Cadmium
Thallium
1 1 2 2-Tetrachle

1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethene

1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane \*

1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dichloroethane 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene Benzene

Bromochloromethane Bromocichloromethane Carbon Tetrachloride

Chloroform

Dibromochloromethane

Vinyl Chloride

cis-1,3-Dichloropropene trans-1,3-Dichloropropene

2,4-Dinitrophenol
2-Nitroaniline

3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine
Benzo(a)anthracene
Benzo(a)pyrene \*
Benzo(b)fluoranthene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
Hexachlorobenzene \*
Hexachlorobutadiene

Hexachlorocyclopentadiene

Hexachloroethane

Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine

Nitrobenzene

Pentachlorophenol \* bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether

#### Source of Risk-Based Levels:

"Risk-Based Concentration Table, Fourth Quarter 1993," Roy L Smith, PhD, EPA Region III Senior Toxicologist, October 15.

- (1) Residential wells were not analyzed for pesticides and PCBs.
- SQL Sample Quantitation Limit
- MCL Maximum Contaminant Level
- \* SOL exceeded MCL

<u>Leachate</u> - The COCs for leachate were selected if they exceeded the health-based levels for exposure to tap water by at least one order of magnitude. Based on this criterion, the following parameters were selected as COCs for leachate:

arsenic cadmium cobalt chromium manganese molybdenum 1,2-dichloroethene acetone benzene methylene chloride trichloroethene vinyl chloride heptachlor epoxide 4-methylphenol heptachlor epoxide delta-BHC

<u>Surface soil</u> - The following contaminants exceeded health-based levels (direct contact, residential), per Region III Technical Guidance:

arsenic
barium
beryllium
cadmium
chromium
lead
manganese
mercury
benzo(a)pyrene

<u>Groundwater - Monitoring Wells</u> - The following contaminants exceeded health-based levels (tap water, residential), per Region III Technical Guidance:

aluminum arsenic barium beryllium chromium copper
lead
manganese
nickel
vanadium
1,2-dichloroethene
benzene
tetrachloroethene
trichloroethene
vinyl chloride

<u>Groundwater - Residential Wells</u> - The following contaminants exceeded health-based levels (tap water, residential), per Region III Technical Guidance:

Resident A

manganese

Resident C

bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate

arsenic

Resident D

arsenic

Resident F

manganese

Surface Water - Region III Technical guidance does not address selecting COCs in surface water. Therefore, the following criteria were applied to select COCs: If health-based levels for exposure to tap water were exceeded by at least one order of magnitude, then the surface water constituents were selected as COCs. The only contaminant that exceeded these criteria is presented below:

manganese

Sediment - Region III Technical Guidance does not address selecting COCs in sediment.

Therefore, the following criteria were applied for accomplishing this task: If health-based levels for exposure to soil under a residential scenario were exceeded by at least one order of magnitude, then sediment constituents were selected as COCs. The only contaminant that

Table 2
Contaminants of Concern
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Sediment	Manganese
Surface Water	Manganese
Groundwater (Residential Wells)	Arsenic Manganese bis(2 – ethylhexyl)phthalate
Groundwater (Monitoring Wells)	Aluminum Arsenic Barium Beryllium Chromium Copper Lead Manganese Nickel Vanadium 1,2-Dichloroethene Benzene Trichloroethene Vinyl Chloride
Surface Soil	Arsenic Barium Beryllium Cadmlum Chromium Lead Manganese Mercury Benzo(a)pyrene
Leachate	Arsenic Cadmium Cobatt Cobatt Chromium Manganese Molbydenum 1,2-Dichloroethene Acetone Benzene Methylene Chloride Trichloroethene Vinyl Chloride Heptachlor Epoxide 4-Methylphenol detta-BHC

#### 3.0 EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

Exposure assessment is conducted to identify pathways whereby human receptors may be exposed to Site contaminants and to estimate the frequency, duration, and magnitude of such exposures. Exposure assessment involves (1) characterization of the exposure setting; (2) identification of complete pathways of exposure; and (3) quantification of exposure. The exposure setting is discussed in the Remedial Investigation report being prepared separately by consultants to the potentially responsible parties (PRPs). The remaining elements of the exposure assessment are discussed in this section.

#### 3.1 IDENTIFICATION OF EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

Exposure routes evaluated in the risk assessment are:

- Inadvertent ingestion of leachate
- Dermal absorption of leachate
- Inadvertent ingestion of soil
- Inhalation of dust
- Ingestion of groundwater
- Dermal absorption of groundwater
- Inhalation of vapors evolved from groundwater
- Inadvertent ingestion of surface water
- Dermal absorption of surface water
  - Inadvertent ingestion of sediment
- Dermal absorption of sediment (Ioven 1993b).

#### 3.1.1 Current Use

Current exposure pathways were evaluated according to the existing land use in the site vicinity. Current receptors include child trespassers, adult hunters, and residents in the area who use private wells. Exposure assumptions used to calculate risks for these receptors are outlined below.

Inadvertent Ingestion of Leachate - Child Trespasser - Leachate outbreaks may pool to such

an extent that a child trespasser or adult hunter may become exposed via incidental ingestion or dermal contact. Exposure assumptions used to calculate oral exposure to leachate were adapted from the method used to calculate ingestion of chemicals in surface water while swimming (EPA 1989a). To reflect the low likelihood that this exposure route is complete, the consumption rate of 50 ml/hr was halved. Exposure was considered from age 7 through age 16 for a total exposure period of 10 years. Exposure assumptions for the child trespasser scenario are:

- 1. Contact rate 25 ml/hr (conservative assumption)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr, based on 1 day/week for 26 weeks (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 10 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 37 kg (Harris 1993a)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 10 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Leachate - Adult Hunter - Exposure assumptions for the adult</u> hunter scenario are:

- 1. Contact rate 25 ml/hr (conservative assumption)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 30 years (Harris 1993a and b)
- 5. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 30 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Dermal Contact with Leachate - Child Trespasser</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child trespasser scenario are:

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 3,560 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1985)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 10 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 37 kg (Harris 1993a)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 10 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Dermal Contact with Leachate - Adult Hunter - Exposure assumptions for the adult hunter scenario are:</u>

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 8,620 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1989a)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (based on 1 day/week for 26 weeks, conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 30 years (Harris 1993a and b)
- 5. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 30 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Soil - Child Trespasser</u> - Exposure was considered from age 7 through age 16 for a total exposure period of 10 years. Exposure doses were based on the following assumptions:

- 1. Ingestion rate 100 mg/day (conservative assumption)
- 2. Fraction ingested from contaminated source 100 percent (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/year (estimated)
- 4. Exposure duration 10 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 37 kg (Harris 1993a)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 10 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Soil - Adult Hunter</u> - Exposure assumptions for the adult hunter scenario are:

- 1. Ingestion rate 50 mg/day (conservative assumption)
- 2. Fraction ingested from contaminated source 100 percent (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/year, based on 1 day/week for 26 weeks (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 30 years (Harris 1993a and b)
- 5. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 30 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

Inhalation of Fugitive Dusts - Child Trespasser - Inhalation exposure to dusts may be estimated based on an equation which relates the contaminant concentration in soil with the respirable particulate concentration (PM<sub>10</sub>) in the air from fugitive dust emissions (EPA 1991c). As a conservative approach, the default particulate emissions factor (PEF), which assumes no vegetative cover, was used. Exposure doses were based on the following

#### assumptions:

- 1. Exposure duration 10 years (estimated)
- 2. Exposure frequency 26 days/year, based on 1 day/week for 26 weeks (estimated)
- 3. Inhalation rate 20 m³/day (estimated)
- 4. Body weight 37 kg (Harris 1993a)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 10 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

## <u>Inhalation of Fugitive Dusts - Adult Hunter</u> - Exposure assumptions for the adult hunter scenario are:

- 1. Exposure duration 30 years (estimated)
- 2. Exposure frequency 26 days/year (estimated)
- 3. Inhalation rate 20 m³/day (EPA 1991b)
- 4. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 30 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

#### Ingestion of Groundwater - Child Resident - Several private wells exist in the area.

#### Exposure assumptions for a child are:

- 1. Ingestion rate 1 L/day (conservative estimate)
- 2. Exposure frequency 350 days/yr (EPA 1991b)
- 3. Exposure duration 6 years (EPA 1991b)
- 4. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 6 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

## Ingestion of Groundwater - Adult Resident - Exposure assumptions for an adult resident are:

- 1. Ingestion rate 2 L/day (EPA 1991b)
- 2. Exposure frequency 350 days/yr (EPA 1991b)
- 3. Exposure duration 24 or 30 years (EPA 1991b, Harris 1993a)
- 4. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 24 or 30 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

## <u>Dermal Contact with Groundwater - Child Resident</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child resident scenario are:

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 7,200 cm<sup>2</sup> (Ioven 1993c)
- 2. Exposure time 0.33 hr/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 350 days/yr (conservative assumption)

- 4. Exposure duration 6 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 6 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Surface Water - Child Trespasser</u> - Two perennial streams flow adjacent to or through the site. Exposure assumptions for the child trespasser scenario are:

- 1. Contact rate 50 ml/hr (EPA 1989a)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 10 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 37 kg (Harris 1993a and b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 10 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Surface Water - Adult Hunter</u> - Exposure assumptions for the adult hunter scenario are:

- 1. Contact rate 50 ml/hr
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 30 years (Harris 1993a and b)
- 5. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 30 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Dermal Contact with Surface Water - Child Trespasser</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child trespasser scenario are:

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 3,560 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1985)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 10 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 37 kg (Harris 1993a)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 10 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Dermal Contact With Surface Water - Adult Hunter</u> - Exposure assumptions for the adult hunter scenario are:

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 8,620 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1989a)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 30 years (Harris 1993a and b)
- 5. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 30 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Sediment - Child Trespasser</u> - Exposure was considered from age 7 through age 16 for a total exposure period of 10 years. Exposure doses were based on the following assumptions:

- 1. Ingestion rate 100 mg/day (conservative assumption)
- 2. Fraction ingested from contaminated source 100 percent (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/year (estimated)
- 4. Exposure duration 10 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 37 kg (Harris 1993a and b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 10 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Sediment - Adult Hunter</u> - Exposure assumptions for the adult hunter scenario are:

- 1. Ingestion rate 50 mg/day (conservative assumption)
- 2. Fraction ingested from contaminated source 100 percent (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/year, based on 1 day/week for 26 weeks (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 30 years (Harris 1993a and b)
- 5. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 30 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

<u>Dermal Contact with Sediment - Child Trespasser</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child trespasser scenario are:

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 3,560 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1985)
- 2. Adherence factor 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 10 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 37 kg (Harris 1993a and b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 10 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

ermal Contact with Sediment - Adult Hunter - Exposure assumptions for the adult hunter

- scenario are:
  - 1. Skin surface area available for contact 8,620 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1989a)
  - 2. Adherence factor 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> hrs/event (conservative assumption)
  - 3. Exposure frequency 26 days/yr (conservative assumption)
  - 4. Exposure duration 30 years (Harris 1993a and b)
  - 5. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
  - 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 30 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

#### 3.1.2 Future Use

Future use exposure pathways were evaluated by considering changes in land use such that the site is developed for residential or commercial use. Potential receptors include child and adult residents and adult workers. Exposure assumptions for the future use scenario are outlined below.

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Leachate - Child Resident</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child resident scenario are:

- 1. Contact rate 25 ml/hr (conservative assumption)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 104 days/yr (conservative assumption based on 4 days/week for 26 weeks)
- 4. Exposure duration 6 years (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 6 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Dermal Contact with Leachate - Child Resident</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child resident scenario are:

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 1,860 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1985)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 104 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 6 years (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)

6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 6 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Soil - Child Resident</u> - Exposure was considered from birth through age 5 for a total exposure period of 6 years. Exposure doses were based on the following assumptions:

- 1. Ingestion rate 200 mg/day (EPA 1991b)
- 2. Fraction ingested from contaminated source 100 percent (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 350 days/year (EPA 1991b)
- 4. Exposure duration 6 years (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 6 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Soil - Adult Worker</u> Exposure assumptions for the adult worker scenario are:

- 1. Ingestion rate 50 mg/day (EPA 1991b)
- 2. Fraction ingested from contaminated source 100 percent (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 250 days/year (EPA 1991b)
- 4. Exposure duration 25 years (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 25 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a)

<u>Inhalation of Fugitive Dusts - Child Resident</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child resident scenario are:

- 1. Exposure duration 6 years (estimated)
- 2. Exposure frequency 350 days/year (estimated)
- 3. Inhalation rate 20 m³/day (conservative estimate)
- 4. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 6 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inhalation of Fugitive Dusts - Adult Worker</u> - Exposure assumptions for the adult worker scenario are:

- 1. Exposure duration 25 years (EPA 1991b)
- 2. Exposure frequency 250 days/year (EPA 1991b)

- 3. Inhalation rate 20 m³/day (EPA 1991b)
- 4. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 25 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989b).

<u>Ingestion of Groundwater - Child Resident</u> - Groundwater may be developed at the site within the existing contaminant plume. Exposure assumptions for a child in the future use scenario are:

- 1. Ingestion rate 1 L/day (conservative estimate)
- 2. Exposure frequency 350 days/yr (EPA 1991b)
- 3. Exposure duration 6 years (EPA 1991b)
- 4. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 6 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Ingestion of Groundwater - Adult Resident - Exposure assumptions for an adult in the future use scenario are:</u>

- 1. Ingestion rate 2 L/day (EPA 1991b)
- 2. Exposure frequency 350 days/yr (EPA 1991b)
- 3. Exposure duration 24 or 30 years (EPA 1991b)
- 4. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 24 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Ingestion of Groundwater - Adult Worker</u> - Exposure assumptions for an adult worker in the future use scenario are:

- 1. Ingestion rate 1 L/day (EPA 1991b)
- 2. Exposure frequency 250 days/yr (EPA 1991b)
- 3. Exposure duration 25 years (EPA 1991b)
- 4. Body weight 70 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 25 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

Inhalation of Volatiles - Exposure to volatile organic compounds (VOCs) released from water while showering was modeled according to the method described by Foster and Chrostowski, 1987. Input parameters used in the model were the same as those used by the authors with the following exceptions: 12 minute shower (total time in bathroom 20 minutes); air exchange rate 1 hr<sup>1</sup>; and inhalation rate of 20 m<sup>3</sup>/day (Ioven 1993d). Three scenarios were

modeled: 24-yr and 30-yr adult resident and adult worker. Exposure durations were 24 or 30 years for the adult residents, and 25 years for the adult worker.

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Surface Water - Child Resident</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child resident scenario are:

- 1. Contact rate 50 ml/hr (EPA 1989a)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 104 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 6 years (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 6 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Dermal Contact with Surface Water - Child Resident</u> - Exposure assumptions for the child resident scenario are:

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 1,860 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1985)
- 2. Exposure time 2 hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 104 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 6 years (EPA 1991b)
- 5. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 6 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

<u>Inadvertent Ingestion of Sediment - Child Resident</u> - Exposure was considered from age 0 through age 6 for a total exposure period of 6 years. Exposure doses were based on the following assumptions:

- 1. Ingestion rate 100 mg/day (conservative assumption)
- 2. Fraction ingested from contaminated source 100 percent (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 104 days/year (estimated)
- 4. Exposure duration 6 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer effects and 10 years for noncancer effects (EPA 1989a).

<u>Dermal Contact with Sediment - Child Resident - Exposure assumptions for the child trespasser scenario are:</u>

- 1. Skin surface area available for contact 1,860 cm<sup>2</sup> (EPA 1985)
- 2. Adherence factor 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> hrs/event (conservative assumption)
- 3. Exposure frequency 104 days/yr (conservative assumption)
- 4. Exposure duration 6 years (estimated)
- 5. Body weight 15 kg (EPA 1991b)
- 6. Averaging time 70 years for cancer risk and 6 years for noncancer risk (EPA 1989a).

#### 3.2 OUANTIFICATION OF EXPOSURE

Reasonable maximum exposure (RME) point concentrations were calculated for leachate, surface soil, groundwater, and surface water using the lesser of the 95 percent upper confidence limit (UCL) on the arithmetic average for a lognormal distribution or the maximum detected value (EPA 1991d). Where a COC was detected one or more times within a particular medium, one-half the sample quantitation limit was used as a proxy concentration for non-detects in the remaining samples. RME concentrations are presented in Tables 3 through 7. An example calculation is presented in Table 8.

The following samples were combined to calculate RMEs: leachate seeps, US-1, LS-1, MD-1 (leachate tank results were not used to calculate RMEs); groundwater, MW-2, -3, -5, and -6 for inorganics and MW-3 and -6 for organics; surface soil, USSS-1, USSS-2, UTSS-1, UTSS-2, LTSS-1, LTSS-2, LSSS-2, MPSD-1, DPSS-1, DPSS-2, DASS-1, DASS-2; surface water, SW-2, -3, -5, -6, -7, -8; sediment, SD-2, -3, -5, -6, -7, -8. The results from the private well samples were considered individually and were not combined into a single RME. The results for the COCs detected in residential wells are presented in Table 9.

#### 3.3 UNCERTAINTIES OF EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

The exposure scenarios presented in Section 3.1 contribute a considerable degree of uncertainty to the risk assessment. Actual exposure frequencies are unknown; estimates are

### Table 3 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Leachate Seeps Bell Landfill Site Towarda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	Mean of Transformed Data	Standard Deviation of Data	H (Statistic from Table)	Sample Size (1)	UCL (ug/L)	Minimum (ug/L)	Maximum (ug/L)	RME (ug/L)
			a di		.,	3-7	1-37	\- <del>-</del>
Arsenic	2.60	0.73	9.12	3	2E+03	5.9	23.1	23.1
Cadmium	2.59	2.28		3	1E+25	2	166	166
Chromium	4.19	1.29		3	4E+08	17.4		227
Cobalt	5.23	2.34	26.14	3	2E+22	14.6	1	1440
Manganese	10.47	1.57	19.60	3	3E+14	5880	1	108000
Molybdenum	7.48	1	2.75	2	2E+03	1750	1800	1800
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	5.04	2.99	39.23	2 3	2E+40	5	590	590
Acetone	6.11	3.91	52.31	3	5E+68	5	5400	5400
Senzene	3.77	2.95	39.23	3	1E+39	5	13	18
Methylene Chloride	6.07	4.32	58.85	3	7E+84	5	28000	28000
Toluene	5.85	1.66	22.87	3	7E+14	51	920	920
Trichloroethene	4.43	2.44	32.69	3	6E+27	5	350	350
Vinyl Chloride	4.17	2.78	39.23	3	1E+37	5	44	44
Heptachlor epoxide	-2.98	1	1	3	3E+07	0.021	0.021	0.021
4-Methylphenol	5.72	•	1	3	6E+55	5	3800	3800
delta-BHC	-1.30	2.65	32.69	3	4E+27	0:025	4.7	4.7

(1) Sample size based on number of usable results. Invalid results were not counted.

**UCL Upper Confidence Limit** 

SQL Sample Quantitation Limit

Minimum: The lowest detected concentration or 1/2 the SQL (may differ from Table 1 which shows the range of detects)

Maximum: The highest detected concentration.

RME, Reasonable Maximum Exposure (UCL or maximum when UCL is greater than maximum)

NA Not Applicable

## Table 4 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Surface Soil Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	Mean of Transformed Data	Standard Deviation of Data	H (Statistic from Table)	Sample Size (1)	UCL (mg/kg)		Maximum (mg/kg)	RME (mg/kg)
Arsenic	1.70	0.70	2.414	13	11.4	2	25.3	11.4
Barium	5.11	0.72	2.414	13	354	83.9	1320	354
Beryllium	-0.93	0.42	2.026	13	0.55	0.12	0.64	0.55
<sup> </sup> ∩admium	1.81	2.36	6.067	10	11663	0.12		134
Chromium	3.20	0.28	1.927	13	30	15.9	39.1	30
Lead	3.54	1.90	I	13	3166			3166
Manganese	7.46	1.42	i .	13	23655	36.5	l .	10100
Mercury	-2.18	1.23	3.389	13	1	0.035	1	1
Benzo(a)pyrene	NA	NA	NA	1	0.12	0.12	4	0.12

(1) Sample size is based on number of usable results. Invalid results are not counted.

UCL Upper Confidence Limit

SQL Sample Quantitation Limit

Minimum: The lowest detected concentration or 1/2 the SQL (may differ from Table 1 which shows the range of detects)

Maximum: The highest detected concentration.

RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure (UCL or maximum when UCL is greater than maximum)

NA Not Applicable

RME for benzo(a)pyrene based on single positive detection.

Table 5
Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	Mean of Transformed Data	Standard Deviation of Data	H (Statistic from Table)	Sample Size (1)	UCL (ug/L)	Minimum (ug/L)	Maximum (ug/Ľ)	RME (ug/L)
Aluminum	9.20	1.53	7.120	4	16840230	1330	32800	32800
Arsenic	1.86	1.80	8.250	4	176688	0.6	31.3	31.3
Barium	5.81	1,30	6.001	4	69697	53.3	908	908
Beryllium	-0.19	1.18	6.001	4	98	0.15	2.2	2.2
Chromium	3.42	1.27	6.001	4	5562	9.3	161	161
Copper	4.90	0.83	4.062	2	5489	74.6	241	241
Lead	1.69	2.39	11.670	4	906562858	0.25	50.6	50.6
Manganese	6.46	1.64	8.250	. 4	6010984	56.6	1950	1950
Nickel	3.16	1.48	7.120	. 4	30737	4.6	140	140
Vanadium	2.44	1.77	8.250	4	257154	1	45.8	45.8
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	2.43	0.19	3.295	2	21	10	13	13
Benzene	NA.	NA.	NA.	1	NA.	1	1	1
Tetrachioroethene	1.49	0.38	5.220	2	34	3.4	5.8	5.8
Trichloroethene	3.18	0.06	2.750	2	28	23	25	25
Vinyl Chloride	1.15	0.65	9.120	2	1437	2	1	5

(1) Sample size based on number of usable results. Invalid results are not counted.

Inorganics, monitoring wells 2, 3, 5, and 6

Organics, monitoring wells 3 and 6

UCL Upper Confidence Limit

SQL Sample Quantitation Limit

NA Not Applicable

Minimum: The lowest detected concentration or 1/2 the SQL (may differ from Table 1 which shows the range of detects)

Maximum: The highest detected concentration.

RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure (UCL or maximum when UCL is greater than maximum)

Benzene results from 11/5/92 sampling. Other VOCs from 2/23/93 sampling.

## Table 6 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Surface Water Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	Mean of Transformed Data			Sample	UCL (ug/L)	Mînimum (ug/L)	Maximum (ug/L)	RME (ug/L)
Manganese	3.41	2.50	8.339	6	7843587	2.6	796	796

UCL Upper Confidence Limit

SQL Sample Quantitation Limit

Minimum: The lowest detected concentration or 1/2 the SQL (may differ from Table 1 which shows the range of detects)

Maximum: The highest detected concentration.

RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure (UCL or maximum when UCL is greater than maximum)

## Table 7 Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern in Sediment Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	Mean of Transformed Data		H (Statistic from Table)	Sample Size	UCL (mg/kg)	Minimum (mg/kg)	Maximum (mg/kg)	RME (mg/kg)
Manganese	7.15	0.72	2.904	6	4236	587	3620	3620

UCL Upper Confidence Limit

SQL Sample Quantitation Limit

Minimum: The lowest detected concentration or 1/2 the SQL (may differ from Table 1 which shows the range of detects)

Maximum: The highest detected concentration.

RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure (UCL or maximum when UCL is greater than maximum)

# Table 8 Equation and Example Calculation for Reasonable Maximum Exposure Concentrations Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Equation Definition:

$$UCL=e^{(x+0.5s^2+\frac{gH}{\sqrt{n-1}})}$$

where:

UCL = upper confidence limit

e = constant (base of the natural log, equal to 2.718)

 $\bar{x}$  = mean of the transformed data

s = standard deviation of the transformed data

H = H-statistic (e.g., from table published in Gilbert)

n = number of samples

Example Calculation (arsenic in surface soil)

 $UCL = e^{(1.70+0.5(0.70)^2+0.70(2.414)/\sqrt{13-1})}$ 

UCL=11.4mg/kg

Source:

Supplemental Guidance to RAGS: Calculating the Concentration Term, OSWER Publication 9285.7-08, May 1992.

## Table 9 Contaminant Summary Residential Wells Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

bis(2-Ethylhexyl)- phthalate	Arsenic	Manganese
_	_ 	54 —
- - -	2.5 2.6 —	31.2
		phthalate

Results are reported in ug/L for analytes which were detected.

- Not Detected
- (1) This sample is a blind duplicate of Resident D.

based on available guidance. Actual exposure is not expected to exceed the values presented but may be much lower.

Exposure point concentrations and subsequent doses were calculated based on the assumption that the current site conditions would remain stable throughout the exposure period. Natural attenuation processes that would reduce contaminant concentrations and the likelihood of exposure were not considered. The use of conservative assumptions in the exposure assessment is believed to result in an overestimate of risk. Actual site risk may be lower than the estimates presented here but is not likely to be greater.

Constituents which were reported as less than the SQL were listed as ND, Not Detected. Some of the SQLs are greater than risk-based concentration levels or drinking water standards. Therefore, there is some uncertainty associated with the quantification of risk, since some compounds may be present but the detection limit is too high to detect their presence. To minimize the liklihood of underestimating the risk posed by non-detected constituents, one-half the SQL was used as a proxy concentration for non-detects when the constituent was detected in another sample of the same media. In cases where one-half the SQL exceeded the maximum detected concentration, the maximum concentration value was used in the risk calculations. Thus, one-half the SQL was used only when the it was less than the maximum detected value.

The toxicity of delta-BHC (HCCH) was evaluated based on the toxicity values of lindane. Lindane was chosen as a surrogate because it is similar in chemical structure to delta-BHC. Therefore, risk estimate for delta-BHC may be higher or lower than presented since the toxicity value used is an alternate value.

#### 4.0 TOXICITY ASSESSMENT

Toxicity assessment is a two-step process whereby the potential hazards associated with route-specific exposure to a given chemical are 1) identified by reviewing relevant human and animal studies; and 2) quantified through analysis of dose-response relationships. EPA has conducted numerous toxicity assessments that have undergone extensive review within the scientific community. EPA toxicity assessments and the resultant toxicity values will be used in the baseline evaluation to determine both carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic risks associated with each chemical of concern and route of exposure.

EPA toxicity values that are used in this assessment include:

- reference dose values (RfDs) for noncarcinogenic effects
- cancer slope factors (CSFs) for carcinogenic effects

Reference doses have been developed by EPA for indicating the potential for adverse health effects from exposure to chemicals exhibiting noncarcinogenic (systemic) effects. Reference doses are ideally based on studies where either animal or human populations were exposed to a given compound by a given route of exposure for the major portion of the life span (referred to as a chronic study). The RfD is derived by determining dose-specific effect levels from all the available quantitative studies, and applying uncertainty factors to the most appropriate effect level to determine an RfD for humans. The RfD represents a threshold for toxicity. RfDs are derived such that human lifetime exposure to a given chemical via a given route at a dose at or below the RfD should not result in adverse health effects, even for the most sensitive members of the population.

Cancer slope factors are route-specific values derived only for compounds that have been shown to cause an increased incidence of tumors in either human or animal studies. The slope factor is an upper bound estimate of the probability of a response per unit intake of a chemical over a lifetime and is determined by low-dose extrapolation from human or animal studies. When an animal study is used, the final slope factor has been adjusted to account

for extrapolation of animal data to humans. If the studies used to derive the slope factor were conducted for less than the life span of the test organism, the final slope factor has been adjusted to reflect risk associated with lifetime exposure.

The EPA RfDs and CSFs used in this assessment were obtained from EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) database (EPA 1993b). Values that appear in IRIS have been extensively reviewed by EPA work groups and thus represent Agency consensus. If no values for a given compound and route of exposure were listed in IRIS, then EPA's Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST) were consulted for values (EPA, 1993c). Tables 10 and 11 summarize the toxicity values for carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic COCs, respectively. The toxicity information for noncarcinogenic and carcinogenic effects of the chemicals of concern are summarized in Appendix A.

The toxicity values used in this report are associated with significant uncertainty. Most health effects information has been developed using laboratory animals exposed to high doses. Although species differences in absorption, distribution, metabolizing excretion and target organ sensitivity are well documented, available data are not sufficient to allow compensation for these differences. Most laboratory studies strictly control as many factors as possible, yet the human population is genetically diverse and affected by a variety of diets, occupations and other factors. When human epidemiological data are available, a different set of uncertainties is presented. For instance, exposure dose is seldom well characterized in such studies. Additionally, while consideration of the weight-of-evidence is important to interpreting cancer assessments, calculations to characterize cancer risk do not distinguish between Class A, B, and C carcinogens.

There is also considerable uncertainty associated with the toxicity of mixtures. For the most part, data about the toxicity of mixtures are unavailable. Rather, toxicity studies are generally performed using a single chemical. Chemicals present in a mixture can interact chemically to yield a new chemical or one can act independently. The risk assessment assumes that toxicity is additive; cancer and noncancer risk were summed across chemicals.

Cancer Slope Factors, Tumor Sites and EPA Cancer Classifications Contaminants of Concern Towanda, Pennsylvania Bell Landfill Site Table 10

Contaminant	Ö	Cancer Slope Factor / Unit Risk	tor / Unit Ris			Tumor Sites		EPA
of Concorn	C8Fo	Unit Risk (Inheletion)	CSF	CSFd	O.	Inhalation	Dermal	9 8
Acetone	¥	Ϋ́	٧	¥	¥	<b>_</b> ₹	¥.	a
Aluminum	<b>≨</b> ₹	AN SO		AN SO	¥	<b>\</b>	<u>\$</u>	٥
Berlum	LJ .		(x) (x)	S.SETOO (3)	NA N	5 ×	. 4	۵ ۵
Benzene		8.3E-06 (2)	2.9E-02 (2)		Leukemia	Leukemia	¥	<
Benzo(a)pyrene	7.3E+00 (1)	≨ S	ž	1.5E+01 (3)	Forestomach	<b>≼</b> .	Ž:	8
biology Catalogy	4.3E+00 (Z)	2.4E~03 (2)	5.4E+00 (2)		All sites	Bun 1	Ž S	22 S
Dis(z = Euryinexyi)primetate	1.45-02 (2)		<b>§</b> §			Y	<u> </u>	ž á
Chromium III	≦ ≨		6.3E+00 (2)	¥ 2		NA NA	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Chromium VI	ž	1.2E-02 (2)	4.2E+01 (2)	ž	<b>*</b>	Em .	<b>≨</b>	<b>~</b>
Cobalt	ž	ž	ž	ž	ž	, <u>¥</u>	ź	٥
Copper	ž	ž	ž	¥	×	<b>₹</b>	ź	٥
delta - BHC	1.8E+00 (7)	5.16-04 (2)	1.8E+00 (2)	3.6E+00 (3)	Liver	- Fee	<b>₹</b>	젊
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ž				ž	<b>₹</b>	¥	۵
trans - 1,2-Dichloroethene	ž	ž	ž	ž	ž	<b>₹</b>	<u> </u>	۵
Hepatchlor Epoxide	9.1E+00 (2)	2.6E-03 (2)	9.1E+00 (2)	1.8E+01 (3)	Liver	Liver	<u>ş</u>	8
Lead	<b>≨</b>	ž	ž	¥ Z	Kidney	<b>Š</b>	ź.	8
Mengenese (water/food)	<b>₹</b>	¥	ž	š.	₹.	<b>≤</b>	<b>₹</b>	<u>.</u>
Mercury	<b>₹</b>		¥ S		<b>≨</b> ∶	≨.:	≨:	<u>،</u>
Methylene Chloride	7.5E-03 (2)	4.7E-07 (2)	1.6E-03 (2)	9.4E-03 (3)			<b>Ž</b>	22 (
4-Methylphenol (p-cresol)	≨:	<b>Ž</b> :	¥:	ž	Skin papillomas	<b>₹</b> :	≨:	ပ (
Maybaerum	<u> </u>	¥ :	£ :	<b>S</b> :	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>۔</u>	، د
MICK	<b>}</b> }	<b>§</b> ?	<b>§</b> 8		<b>Y</b> .	<u> </u>	<b>2</b> 3	<b>⊃</b> §
l errachioroemene	5.ZE-0Z (4)	3.8E-U/ (4)	Z.UE - U3 (4)	6.5E-02 (3)			€:	<u>0</u>
Tolnene	ž	≨	ž	¥	<b>₹</b> .	<b>≨</b> _	Ž.	۵
Trichlaroethene	1.1E-02 (4)	1.7E-06 (4)	6.0E-03 (4)	1.4E-02 (3)			≨.	<b>É</b>
Venedium	≨	₹	_		<b>≰</b> _	≨	≨.	۵
Viryl Chloride	1.9E+00 (5)	8.4E-05 (5)	3.0E-01 (5)	2.4E+00 (3)	Lung, liver	Live	¥.	<

IRIS (EPA, 1993b) (1) EPA, 1993a (2) IRIS (EPA, 19 (3) Absorption fe

(4) ECAO (EPA, 1992c)
(5) HEAST (EPA, 1993c)
(6) No current position
(7) EPA Region III toxicity values for lindane Absorption factors: 20% – inorganics, 50% – semivolatiles, 80% – volatiles ECAO (EPA, 1992c)

CSFI ~ Cancer Slope Factor (inhalation), (mg/kg/day)-1 CSFd ~ Cancer Slope Factor (dermal), (mg/kg/day)-1 NA ~ Not Applicable CSFo - Cancer Slope Factor (oral), (mg/kg/day)-1 Unit Risk - (ug/cu m)-1

EPA Classification:

A - Human cercinogen B - Probable human cercinogen C - Possible human cercinogen D - Not classifable as a human cercinogen

Reference Doses, Target Sites, and Confidence Levels for Contaminants of Concern Contaminants of Concern Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania Table 11

Contaminant	2	Mence Dos	Reference Dose / Concentration	ua	Target	Target Sites / Effects	, , , ,	S A	Uncertainty Factor	<b>3</b> 2
of Concern	RMO	R	Rmi	RfDd	Oral	Inhalation	Dermad	Oral	Inhaletion	Dermal
Acetone	1E-01 (1)	ž	Ā	8E-02 (2)	Liver, kidney	NA NA	_ ₹	1,000	¥	High
Aluminum	_	ž	¥	6E-01 (2)					ž	Eg.
Arsenic		ž	ž	6E-05 (2)	Skin, blood vessels	Y.	<u>₹</u>	က	ž	Ę
Berium · ·	7E-02 (1)			1E-02 (2)	Increased blood pressure	4Z	<u>_</u>	တ်	ž	Ę
Benzene	ž	SE-04 (5)	1.4E-04 (5)	¥ Z	<b>\</b>	<u>ල</u>	<u></u>	ල	ź	ź
Benzo(a)pyrene	ž		ž	¥ Y	<b>\</b>	<b>₹</b> Z	<u>_</u>	ž	ž	ź
Beryllium	_	Š	ž	1E-03 (2)	NOAEL	<b>4</b> 2	<u> </u>	5	ž	£
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	_	A Z	¥2		Liver	¥Z.	<u>≤</u>	<u>6</u>	ź	₽
Cadmium	5E-04 (1)	Š	¥ Z	1E-04 (2)	Proteinuria	<b>∀</b> Z	<b>≨</b>	2	ž	Ę
Chromium III	_	Š	5.7E-07 (4)		NOAEL.	₹Z.	<b>≨</b>	\$	ž	盲
Chromlum VI	_	¥ Z	Š	1E-03 (2)	NOAEL	¥Z.	<b>≨</b>	န္တ	¥:	Ē
Cobett (children)		¥Z	ž				<b>≨</b>		<b>₹</b>	Ē
Cobalt (adult)	_	¥ X	ž	4E-02 (2)			<b>≤</b>		ž:	ş
Copper	3.7E-02 (3)	¥	ž	7E-03 (2)			<b>≨</b> :		¥:	Ę
delta - BHC		¥ Ž	¥ Ž	¥	Y.	¥Z.	<u>₹</u>	۷ Z	¥ Z	≨ Ž
Cis - 1,2 - Dichloroethene	_	¥	ž	8E-03 (2)	Decreased hemacait	¥Z.	<u></u>	3,000	ž	Ę
Wans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ž	ž	2E-02 (2)	Serum alkaline phosphatase	¥Z.	<b>£</b>	<u>8</u>	¥	£
Wepatchlor Epoxide	1.35-05 (1)	Š	¥	7E-06 (2)	Liver	42	<u>₹</u>	8	ź:	£
peed	_	ž	ž		CNS effects, blood	CNS effects, blood	<b>≨</b>	≨	¥:	≨ ;
Manganese (water)	_	¥ X	ž		CNS effects	₹.	≨:	_	ž:	Ē
Manganese (food)		ş	≨¦	3E-02 (2)	NOAEL	¥.	≨:	- 8	¥:	Ę:
Mercury		3E-04 (4)	8.6E-05 (4)		Kaney	Nervous system		8	Ž	Ę.
Methylene Chicride	_	3E+00 (4)	5	5E-02 (2)			₹:	2 3	ž	Ē:
74-Methylphenol (pcresol)	5E-03 (4)	₹	¥		Decreased weight gain	YZ:	<u>~</u>	00.	ž	E .
Molybdenum	5E-03 (±)	<b>₹</b>	¥		Increased uric acid	¥Z.	<u></u>	8	 ≨	#g#
Nickel	_	₹ Ž	ž	_	Decreased body weight	¥Z.	<b>≤</b>	සි	<b>₹</b>	Ę
Tetrachloroethene "	1E-02 (1)	¥	ž		Liver	₹Z.	<u>~</u>	000	ź	E E
Toluene	_	4E-01	1.16-01 (4)	2E-01 (2)	Liver, kidney	Nervous system	<b>≨</b>	8 -	8	ş
Trichlaroethene		ž	¥ N	5E-03 (2)		<b>Y</b> Z			,	e E
Vanadium	7E-03 (4)	ž	¥		None observed	¥Z.	<u></u>	8	<b>≨</b> ∶	r g
Viryi Chlaride	<b>₹</b>	¥	ž	₹ Z	¥Z.	¥.	<b>≨</b>	<b>Ž</b>	¥	≨

(1) IRIS (EPA, 1993b)
(2) Absorption fectors: 20% – Inorganics, 50% – semivolatiles, 80% – volatiles
(3) EPA Region III
(4) HEAST (EPA, 1993c)
RNDo Reference Dose (orel), (mg/kg/day)
RRC Reference Concentration, (mg/cu m)
RNDi Reference Dose (inhalation), (mg/kg/day)

This assumes that the mixture of contaminants present at the site has neither synergetic nor antagonistic interaction, and that all of the contaminants have the same mechanism of action in the same target organ to produce the same toxic endpoint.

The use of the conservative assumptions and models and the conservatism built into the toxicity values are believed to result in an overestimate of risk. Therefore, actual risk may be much lower than the estimates presented in this report, but is not likely to be greater.

#### 5.0 RISK CHARACTERIZATION

In the final step of the baseline risk assessment, human intakes for each pathway of exposure are integrated with EPA reference toxicity values to characterize risk. Carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic effects are characterized separately.

To characterize the overall potential for noncarcinogenic effects associated with exposure to multiple chemicals, EPA has developed a Hazard Index (HI) approach. This approach assumes that simultaneous subthreshold chronic exposures to multiple chemicals that affect the same target organ are additive and could result in an adverse health effect. The HI is calculated as follows:

Hazard Index =  $ADD_1/RfD_1 + ADD_2/RfD_2 + ... ADD_1/RfD_1$ 

where: ADD; = Average Daily Dose (ADD) for the ith toxicant

RfD; = Reference Dose for the ith toxicant

The term ADD;/RfD; is referred to as the Hazard Quotient (HQ).

Calculation of a HI in excess of unity indicates the potential for adverse health effects. Indices greater than one will be generated anytime intake for any of the chemicals of concern exceeds its RfD. However, given a sufficient number of chemicals under consideration, it is also possible to generate a HI greater than one even if none of the individual chemical intakes exceeds its respective RfD.

Carcinogenic risk is expressed as a probability of developing cancer as a result of lifetime exposure. For a given chemical and route of exposure, excess lifetime cancer risk is calculated as follows:

Risk = Lifetime Average Daily Dose x Carcinogenic Slope Factor

These risks are probabilities that are generally expressed in scientific notation (i.e., 1 x 10<sup>-6</sup> or 1E-6). An excess lifetime cancer risk of 1 x 10<sup>-6</sup> indicates that, as a plausible upperbound, an individual has a one-in-one-million chance of developing cancer as a result of site-related exposure to a carcinogen over a 70-year lifetime under the specific exposure conditions at the site. For exposures to multiple carcinogens, EPA assumes that the risk associated with multiple exposures is equivalent to the sum of their individual risks.

#### 5.1 CURRENT USE

Currently complete exposure routes include inadvertent ingestion of leachate, dermal contact with leachate, inadvertent ingestion of soil, inhalation of dust, ingestion of water from private wells, inadvertent ingestion of surface water, dermal contact with surface water, inadvertent ingestion of sediment and dermal contact with sediment. Potential receptors are child trespassers, adult hunters, and residents who have private wells. Estimated risks associated with these exposure routes are summarized in Table 12 and discussed separately below. Spreadsheets showing the input parameters and example calculations are presented in Appendix B.

iable 12
Summary of Cancer and Noncance isks by Exposure Route
Current Use Scalario
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

On-site	Exposure	Child Trespasser	spasser	Adult Hunter	lunter
Exposure	Route	Cancer	Ŧ	Cancer	Ŧ
A	nadvertent Ingestion of Leachate	5E-06	2.2	8E-06	1.2
R	Dermal Absorption of Leachate	5E-06	0.3	2E-05	4.0
3	Inadvertent Ingestion of Soil	6E-07	0.1	SE-07	0.02
0	Inhalation of Dust	3E-09	1.00E-07	4E-09	1.00E-07
0	Inadvertent Ingestion of Surface Water	¥ Z	0.03	¥	0.02
1	Dermal Absorption of Surface Water	<b>A</b> Z	0.002	¥	0.002
7:5	Inadvertent Ingestion of Sediment	¥ Z	0.005	¥	0.001
- 5	Dermal Absorption of Sediment	₹ Z	0.001	¥	0.001
	Total Current Risk	1E-05	2.6	3E-05	1.6

Private	Exposure	Child Resident	sident	24-yr Adult Resident	t Resident	30-yr Adult Resident	t Resident	Lfetme Resident (6-yr + 24-yr)	tesident 24yr)
Well	Route	Cancer	Ī	Cancer	<b>.</b>	Cancer	H	Cancer	Ŧ
	Ingestion of Groundwater	NA	0.4		0.2	NA	0.2	NA	9.0
⋖	Dermal Absorption of Groundwater	¥	0.001		Y Z	Y Y	NA	¥	0.001
:	Total Current Risk	NA	0.4	AN	0.5	AN	0.2	NA	9.0
	Ingestion of Groundwater	1E-05	0.3	2E-05	0.1	3E-05	0.1	· 4E-05	0.4
ပ	Dermal Absorption of Groundwater	80-39	0.002	A A	AN	AN	NA	6E-08	0.00
	Total Current Risk	1E-05	6.0	2E-05	0.1	3E-05	0.1	4E-05	0.4
	Ingestion of Groundwater	2E~05	9.0	4E-05	0.5	-3E-	0.2	6E-05	8.0
۵	Dermal Absorption of Groundwater	SE~08	0.001	¥		NA	NA	2E-08	0.001
	Total Current Risk	2E-05	9.0	4E-05	0.5	20-3S	0.2	6E-05	0.8
	Ingestion of Groundwater	AN	0.7	¥	0.3	AN AN	0.3	NA	1.0
ഥ	Dermal Absorption of Groundwater	AN	0.001	AN	NA	AN	Y Z	NA	0.001
	Total Current Risk	NA	2.0	AN	0.3	AN	0.3	NA	1.0

HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable

#### 5.1.1 Leachate

#### 5.1.1.1 <u>Inadvertent Ingestion</u>

<u>Child Trespassers</u> - Noncancer effects are possible based on a HI of 2.2. Manganese, with an HQ of 2.1, is the main contributor to noncancer effects. No other COC has an HQ greater than one. The cancer risk estimate is 5 x 10<sup>-6</sup>. Methylene chloride, a probable human carcinogen, and vinyl chloride, a known human carcinogen, are the main contributors to excess cancer risk.

Adult Hunters - Noncancer effects are possible based on a measured HI of 1.2. Manganese, with an HQ of 1.1, is the main contributor to noncancer effects. No other COC has an HQ greater than one. The cancer risk estimate is 8 x 10<sup>-6</sup>. Methylene chloride and vinyl chloride are the main contributors to excess cancer risk.

#### 5.1.1.2 <u>Dermal Absorption</u>

<u>Child Trespassers</u> - Noncancer effects are not expected based on a measured HI of 0.3. The cancer risk estimate is 5 x 10<sup>-6</sup>. Methylene chloride and vinyl chloride are the main contributors to excess cancer risk.

Adult Hunters - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.4, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 2 x 10<sup>-5</sup>. Methylene chloride and vinyl chloride are the main contributors to excess cancer risk.

#### 1.2 Surface Soil

#### 5.1.2.1 <u>Inadvertent Ingestion</u>

<u>Child Trespassers</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.1, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 6 x 10<sup>7</sup>. Arsenic, a known human carcinogen via the oral route of exposure, is the main contributor to excess cancer risk.

Adult Hunters - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.02, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is  $5 \times 10^{-7}$ . Arsenic is the main contributor to excess cancer risk.

#### 5.1.2.2 <u>Inhalation of Dust</u>

Child Trespassers - Mercury is the only COC present in soil for which an EPA approved RfD via inhalation has been established. Based on an HI of 0.0000001, noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 3 x 10°9. Cadmium, a probable human carcinogen via the inhalation route of exposure, and chromium, a known human carcinogen via inhalation exposure, are the main contributors to excess cancer risk. Risks associated with chromium exposure may be overstated since it was conservatively assumed to be present in the hexavalent state, an assumption which may not be valid.

Adult Hunters - Based on a HI of 0.0000001, noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 4 x 10<sup>-9</sup>. Cadmium and chromium are the main contributors to excess cancer risk.

#### 5.1.3 Groundwater (Residential Wells)

#### 5.1.3.1 <u>Ingestion</u>

Resident C - Child - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.3, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 1 x 10<sup>-5</sup>. Arsenic, a known human carcinogen via the oral route of exposure, is the main contributor to excess cancer risk.

Resident C - 24-yr Adult - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.1, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 2 x 10<sup>-5</sup>. Arsenic is the main contributor to cancer risk.

Resident C - 30-yr Adult - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.1, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 3 x 10<sup>-5</sup>. Arsenic is the main contributor to cancer risk.

Resident D - Child - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.6, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk is 2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> based solely on the detected presence of arsenic, a known human carcinogen.

Resident D - 24-yr Adult - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.2, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk is 4 x 10<sup>-5</sup> based solely on the detected presence of arsenic.

Resident D - 30-yr Adult - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.2, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk is  $5 \times 10^5$  based solely on the detected presence of arsenic.

effects are not expected. No carcinogens were identified in private well F.

Resident F - 24-yr Adult - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.3, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were identified in private well F.

Resident F - 30-yr Adult - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.3, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were identified in private well F.

Resident A - Child - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.4, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were identified in private well F.

<u>Resident A - 24-yr Adult</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.2, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were identified in private well F.

Resident A - 30-yr Adult - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.2, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were identified in private well F.

#### 5.1.3.2 <u>Dermal Absorption</u>

Resident C - Child - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.002, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is  $6 \times 10^{-8}$ . Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, a probable human carcinogen via the oral route and by inference the dermal route, is the main contributor to excess cancer risk.

Resident D - Child - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.001, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk is estimated at  $5 \times 10^8$  based solely on the detected presence of arsenic. Arsenic is a known human carcinogen via the oral, and by inference, the dermal route of exposure.

Resident F - Child - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.001, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were detected in private well F.

Resident A - Child - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.001, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were detected in private well A.

#### 5.1.4 Surface Water

#### 5.1.4.1 <u>Inadvertent Ingestion</u>

<u>Child Trespassers</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.03, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were selected as COCs for surface water.

Adult Hunters - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.02, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were selected as COCs for surface water.

#### 5.1.4.2 <u>Dermal Absorption</u>

<u>Child Trespassers</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.002, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were selected as COCs for surface water.

Adult Hunters - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.002, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were selected as COCs for surface water.

#### 1.5 Sediment

#### 5.1.5.1 <u>Inadvertent Ingestion</u>

<u>Child Trespassers</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.005, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were selected as COCs for sediment.

Adult Hunters - The HI; a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.001, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were selected as COCs for sediment.

#### 5.1.5.2 Dermal Absorption

<u>Child Trespassers</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.001, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were selected as COCs for sediment.

Adult Hunters - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.001, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. No carcinogens were selected as COCs for sediment.

#### 5.1.6 Current Use Risk Summary

The cancer and noncancer risks for the current use scenario are summarized by exposure route in Table 12. As measured by HIs of 2.6, 1.6, and 1.0, noncancer effects are possible for a child trespasser, an adult hunter and a lifetime resident using water from private well F. For each receptor, manganese is the main contributor to possible noncancer health effects. Inadvertent ingestion of leachate containing high levels of manganese is the most important exposure route for the child trespasser and adult hunter. Ingestion of water containing high levels of manganese is the most significant exposure route for lifetime resident F. Noncancer effects are not expected for child or adult residents A, C or D or for lifetime residents A, C or D (child through adult).

Cancer risk estimates range from 1 x 10<sup>-5</sup> for a child trespasser to 6 x 10<sup>-5</sup> for lifetime resident D. Exposure to leachate containing high levels of methylene chloride and vinyl chloride via inadvertent ingestion and dermal absorption are the main exposure routes for the child trespasser. Ingestion of water containing quantities of arsenic and accounts for the excess cancer risk associated with Resident D. EPA's acceptable target range for carcinogenic risk at Superfund sites is one-in-ten-thousand (1 x 10<sup>-4</sup>) to one-in-one-million (1 x 10<sup>-6</sup>). The quantifiable excess carcinogenic risks for the current use scenario are within EPA's acceptable target range.

#### 5.2 FUTURE USE

Future risks posed by the site consider residential or commercial development of the site. Included in this scenario is the development of water supply wells for domestic or commercial use within the area of the identified contaminant plume. Potential receptors include child and adult residents as well as adult workers. The exposure routes are the same as those identified in the current use scenario with the addition of inhalation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) released from groundwater while showering. Risks attributable to each exposure route are summarized in Table 13. Spreadsheets showing the input parameters are presented in Appendix C.

#### 5.2.1 Leachate

#### 5.2.1.1 <u>Inadvertent Ingestion</u>

Child Residents - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 22.0, suggesting that noncancer effects are possible. Manganese, with an HQ of 20.5, is the main contributor to noncancer risk. The cancer risk estimate is  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  due mainly to the detected presence of methylene chloride, a probable human carcinogen.

Table 13
Summary of Cancer and Noncancer Risks by Exposure Route
Future Use Scenario
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Exposure	Child Resident	sident	24-yr Adult Resident	t Resident	30-yr Adult Resident	t Resident	Lifetime Resident (6-yr + 24-yr)	Resident 24-yr)	Adult	Adult Worker
Route	Cancer	Ξ	Cancer	至	Cancer	Ξ	Cancer	Ŧ	Cancer	Ξ
Inadvertent Ingestion of Leachate Dermal Absorption of Leachate	3E-05 1E-05	22.0	NA A A	N N N A	N NA	NA NA	3E-05 1E-05	22.0	N N A A	N N A A
Inadvertent Ingestion of Soil Inhalation of Dust	2E-05 5E-08	5.0	N N NA	NA	N NA	NA NA	2E-05 5E-08	5.0	4E-06 3E-08	0.000000005
Ingestion of Groundwater Dermal Absorption of Groundwater Inhalation of VOCs	4E-04 2E-06 NA	36.9 0.1 NA	7E-04 NA 3E-05	15.8 NA 0.3	9E-04 NA 3E-05	15.8 NA 0.3	1E-03 2E-06 3E-05	52.7 0.1 0.3	3E-04 NA 2E-05	5.6 NA 0.2
Inadvertent Ingestion of Surface Water Dermal Absorption of Surface Water	N NA	0.3	N N A	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	0.3	A N A	X X A A
Inadvertent Ingestion of Sediment Dermal Absorption of Sediment	A A	0.05	N N A A	N N N A	N N N	NA NA	N NA	0.05	NA NA	NN
Total Future Risk	5E-04	66.1	7E-04	16.1	9E-04	16.1	1E-03	82.2	4E04	6.0

HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable VOCs Volatile Organic Compounds

#### 5.2.1.2 <u>Dermal Absorption</u>

<u>Child Residents</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 1.7, suggesting that noncancer effects are possible. Manganese, with an HQ of 1.2, is the main contributor to noncancer risk. The cancer risk estimate is 1 x 10<sup>-5</sup> due mainly to the detected presence of methylene chloride.

#### 5.2.2 Surface Soil

#### 5.2.2.1 <u>Inadvertent Ingestion</u>

Child Residents - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 5.0, suggesting that noncancer effects are possible. Cadmium, with an HQ of 3.4, is the main contributor to noncancer risk. The cancer risk estimate is  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  due mainly to the detected presence of arsenic, a known human carcinogen via the oral route of exposure.

Adult Workers - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.2, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is  $4 \times 10^6$  due mainly to the detected presence of arsenic.

#### 5.2.2.2 Inhalation of Dust

Child Residents - Mercury is the only COC with an EPA approved RfD via the inhalation route of exposure. The calculated HI based on exposure to mercury via inhalation of dust is 0.000003, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 5 x 10<sup>-8</sup>. Chromium, conservatively assumed to be present in the hexavalent form which is a known human carcinogen, and cadmium, a probable human carcinogen via the inhalation route, are the main contributors to excess cancer risk.

Adult Workers - The calculated HI based on exposure to mercury via inhalation of dust is 0.0000005, suggesting that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 3 x 10<sup>-8</sup>. Chromium and cadmium are the main contributors to excess cancer risk.

#### 5.2.3 Groundwater (Monitoring Wells)

#### 5.2.3.1 <u>Ingestion</u>

<u>Child Residents</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 36.9, indicating that noncancer effects are possible. Manganese, with an HQ of 24.9, and arsenic, with an HQ of 6.7, are the main contributors to noncancer risk. The cancer risk estimate is  $4 \times 10^4$ . Arsenic is the main contributor to excess cancer risk.

4-yr Adult Residents - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 15.8, indicating that noncancer effects are possible. Manganese, with an HQ of 10.7, and arsenic, with an HQ of 2.9, are the main contributors to noncancer risk. The cancer risk estimate is 7 x 10<sup>4</sup>. Arsenic is the main contributor to cancer risk.

30-yr Adult Residents - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 15.8, indicating that noncancer effects are possible. Manganese and arsenic are the main contributors to noncancer risk. The cancer risk estimate is  $9 \times 10^4$ . Arsenic is the main contributor to cancer risk.

Adult Workers - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 5.6, indicating that noncancer effects are possible. Manganese and arsenic are the main contributors to noncancer risk. The cancer risk estimate is  $3 \times 10^4$ . Arsenic is the main contributor to cancer risk.

#### 5.2.3.2 Dermal Absorption

<u>Child Residents</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.1, indicating that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is 2 x 10<sup>6</sup>. Vinyl chloride is the main contributor to excess cancer risk.

#### 5.2.3.3 Inhalation of Vapors

<u>24-yr Adult Residents</u> - The HI is 0.3 indicating that noncancer effects are not expected. The excess cancer risk estimate is  $3 \times 10^{-5}$ . Vinyl chloride is the main contributor to excess cancer risk.

30-yr Adult Residents - The HI is 0.3 indicating that noncancer effects are not expected. The excess cancer risk estimate is  $3 \times 10^{-5}$ . Vinyl chloride is the main contributor to excess cancer risk.

Adult Workers - The HI is 0.2 indicating that noncancer effects are not expected. The cancer risk estimate is  $2 \times 10^{-5}$ . Vinyl chloride is the main contributor to cancer risk.

#### 5.2.4 Surface Water

#### 5.2.4.1 <u>Inadvertent Ingestion</u>

<u>Child Residents</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.3, indicating that noncancer effects are not expected. There were no carcinogenic COCs identified in surface water.

#### 5.2.4.2 <u>Dermal Absorption</u>

<u>Child Residents</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.01, indicating that noncancer effects are not expected. There were no carcinogenic COCs identified in surface water.



#### 5.2.5.1 Inadvertent Ingestion

<u>Child Residents</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.05, indicating that noncancer effects are not expected. There were no carcinogenic COCs identified in sediment.

#### 5.2.5.2 <u>Dermal Absorption</u>

<u>Child Residents</u> - The HI, a measure of noncancer risk, is 0.004, indicating that noncancer effects are not expected. There were no carcinogenic COCs identified in sediment.

#### 5.2.6 Exposure to Lead

lead concentrations have been accepted as the best measure of exposure. The EPA has developed a biokinetic/uptake model to assess chronic, noncancer exposures of children to lead (EPA 1991e). Version 0.50 of the model was used to evaluate exposures of child residents to lead.

The arithmetic mean of lead concentrations (using one-half the sample quantitation limit where no lead was detected) in surface soil and groundwater (MW-2, -3, -5, and -6) were used as the input for the model. Default values for lead in air and dietary ingestion were used. No contribution from paint ingestion was included. The results of the model are presented in Appendix D and summarized in Table 14. EPA uses a blood lead level of 10  $\mu$ g/dL as the benchmark to evaluate lead exposure. Based on the above assumptions, projected blood lead levels are below 10  $\mu$ g/dL for all age groups.

Table 14
Projected Blood Lead Levels in Children
Future Use Scenario
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Soil/Dust	Water	: ' : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		Blood L	Blood Lead Levels (ug/dL)	(ng/dL)		
Lead	Lead	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year
(mg/kg)	(ng/L)	0.5-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	2	2-9
644	19.4	5.47	5.66	5.80	5.93	6.16	6.22	6.25

Source: Uptake/Biokinetic Model, Version 0.5

Assumptions:

Air concentration: 0.200ug Pb/m3 (default)

Diet (default)

Paint intake: 0.00 ug Pb/day (default)

Maternal contribution: Infant model (default)

Water contribution: 19.4 ug/L (average concentration in monitoring wells)

Arithmetic average lead concentration in soil

#### Future Use Risk Summary

Future use risks are evaluated in this risk assessment since there is a possibility that groundwater of the quality represented by the center of the groundwater plume may migrate to areas where receptors may become exposed to it. The cancer and noncancer risks are summarized by exposure route in Table 13.

As measured by HIs of 66.1, 16.1, 16.1, 82.2 and 6.0, noncancer effects are possible for child residents, 24- and 30-yr adult residents, lifetime residents and adult workers. In each case, ingestion of groundwater from a well constructed in the contaminant plume containing high levels of manganese is the main contributor to noncancer risk.

Cancer risk estimates range from  $4 \times 10^4$  for an adult worker to  $1 \times 10^3$  for a lifetime resident. Ingestion of groundwater containing arsenic is the major contributor to increased cancer risk. EPA's acceptable target range for carcinogenic risk at Superfund sites is one-in-ten-thousand ( $1 \times 10^4$ ) to one-in-one-million ( $1 \times 10^6$ ). The quantifiable carcinogenic risks for the future use scenario are outside EPA's acceptable range.

Based on the Agency's uptake/biokinetic model, projected blood levels for children are below EPA's benchmark of 10  $\mu$ g/dL for all age groups.

#### REFERENCES

- Dawn A. Ioven, EPA Region III, 1993a. Letter to Susan Insetta, CDM Federal Programs Corp., July 5. Subject: Work Assignment CO3153; Toxicological Data Management.
- Dawn A. Ioven, EPA Region III, 1993b. Letter to Susan Insetta, CDM Federal Programs Corp., July 8. Subject: Work Assignment CO3153; Toxicological Data Management.
- Dawn A. Ioven, EPA Region III, 1993c. Letter to Susan Insetta, CDM Federal Programs Corp., July 26. Subject: Work Assignment CO3153; Toxicological Data Management.
- Dawn A. Ioven, EPA Region III, 1993d. Facsimile transmission to Susan Insetta, CDM Federal Programs Corp., July 29. Subject: Bell Landfill.
- Dawn A. Ioven, EPA Region III, 1993e. Memorandum to Reginald F. Harris, EPA Region III, November 1, 1993. Subject: Comments on BLRA, Bell Landfill.
- Environmental Resources Management, Inc. (ERM), 1992. "Bell Landfill Draft Remedial Investigation Report, Operable Unit One," December 18.
- Environmental Resources Management, Inc. (ERM), 1993. "Bell Landfill Draft Remedial Investigation Report, Operable Unit One, Addendum One," March 19.
- Eric W. Johnson, EPA Region III, letter to Susan M. Insetta, CDM Federal Programs Corp., May 21, 1993. Subject: Work Assignment CO3153; Tox Data Management.
- Foster, Sarah A. and Chrostowski, Paul C. "Inhalation Exposure to Volatile Organic Contaminants in the Shower," presented at the 80th Annual Meeting of APCA, New York, New York, June 21-26, 1987. ICF-Clement Associates, Inc., Washington D.C.
- Reginald F. Harris, EPA Region III, 1993a. Memorandum to Romuald Roman, EPA Region III, October 20, 1993. Subject: Bell Landfill Superfund Site Baseline Risk Assessment.
- Reginald F. Harris, EPA Region III, 1993b. Facsimile transmission to Susan Insetta, CDM Federal Programs Corp., November 19, 1993. Subject: Response to comments and questions submitted by CDM Federal regarding Bell Landfill Baseline Risk Assessment

- U.S. EPA, 1985. Development of Statistical Distributions or Ranges of Standard Factors Used in Exposure Assessments. Office of Health and Environmental Assessment. Washington, D.C., OHEA-E-161.
- U.S. EPA, 1988. Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organic and Inorganic Analyses, July.
- U.S. EPA, 1989a. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual. Interim Final. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, DC, EPA 540/1-89/002. December.
- U.S. EPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook. Exposure Assessment Group, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Washington, DC, EPA 600/8-89-043. July.
- U.S. EPA, 1991a. Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organic and Inorganic Analyses, June.
- U.S. EPA, 1991b. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual Supplemental Guidance, "Standard Default Exposure Factors." Interim Final. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, DC. OSWER Directive 9285.6-03. March 25.

- J.S. EPA, 1991c. Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part B: Development of Risk Based Preliminary Goals. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, DC. December.
  - U.S. EPA, 1991d. "Exposure Point Concentrations in Groundwater," Region III Technical Guidance Manual, Risk Assessment, November 1991.
  - U.S. EPA, 1991e. Users Guide for Lead: APC Software Application of the Uptake/Biokinetic Model: Version 0.50. Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, Cincinnati, Ohio.
  - U.S. EPA, 1992a. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications, Office of Research and Development. January 1992.
  - U.S. EPA, 1992b. Carcinogenicity of Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene.

    Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Center, Cincinnati, Ohio. November 23.
  - U.S. EPA, 1993a. "Risk-Based Concentration Table, Fourth Quarter 1993," Roy L. Smith Ph.D., EPA Region III Senior Toxicologist, October 15.
- U.S. EPA, 1993b. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). Online. Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Environmental Criteria & Assessment Office, Cincinnati, Ohio.
  - U.S. EPA, 1993c. Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST). Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

# Appendix A

Toxicological Profiles of Concern

#### APPENDIX A CONTENTS

Acetone	· A-1
Aluminum	A-2
Arsenic	A-3
Benzene Hexachloride (BHC)	A-4
Barium	A-5
Benzene	A-6
Beryllium	A-7
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate)	<b>A-8</b>
Cadmium	<b>A-9</b>
Chromium	A-10
Cobalt	A-11
Copper	A-12
1,2-Dichloroethene	A-13
Heptachlor and Heptachlor Epoxide	A-14
Lead	A-15
Manganese	A-16
Mercury	A-17
Methylene Chloride	A-18
2-, 3-, 4-Methylphenol (o-, p-, m-Cresol)	A-19
Molybdenum	A-20
Nickel	A-21
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)	A-22

# APPENDIX A CONTENTS (continued)

Tetrachloroethene	A-24
Trichloroethene	A-25
Vanadium	
Vinyl Chloride	A-27
REFERENCES	A-29

#### Acetone

Acetone is a highly volatile, highly water-soluble aliphatic ketone. Acetone is readily absorbed by the lungs and gastrointestinal (GI) tract, taken up by the blood, and widely distributed to organs and tissues of the body. Acetone can also be absorbed dermally.

Acetone is irritating to mucous membranes, and exposure to the vapors can irritate the respiratory system and eyes. Acetone has anesthetic properties and causes headaches, light-headedness, confusion, dizziness, and can lead to unconsciousness and coma in humans at high exposure levels. Neurobehavioral and hematological effects have been observed in humans exposed to acetone. Acute inhalation may shorten the menstrual cycle. Exposure to acetone vapor can also lead to GI irritation, nausea, vomiting, and hemorrhage. Ingestion of acetone can cause erosions in the mouth, coma, and diabetes-like symptoms. Acute dermal exposure to liquid acetone results in degenerative changes in the epidermis and contact dermatitis.

Because of its high water solubility, precipitation can remove acetone from the air to surface water and soil. In water and soil acetone undergoes microbial degradation but can also evaporate back to the atmosphere, depending on the moisture content of soil. Adsorption to soil is inconsequential. Acetone does not accumulate in fish or other aquatic or terrestrial organisms.

No studies were located regarding cancer in humans or animals after inhalation or oral exposure, or in humans after dermal exposure to acetone. EPA has classified acetone in Group D, not classifiable due to the lack of data concerning carcinogenicity in humans or animals (ATSDR, 1993).

#### Aluminum

Aluminum is not thought to be harmful to humans in the forms normally encountered (e.g., via cooking utensils, antacids, and antiperspirants). However, exposure to aluminum is not beneficial and excess exposure may be harmful to certain people. Sensitive subpopulations may include pregnant women and Alzheimer's patients. The potential health risks associated with exposure to aluminum include respiratory problems from breathing the dust, and possibly neurological, teratogenic, and skeletal problems from drinking water containing high levels of aluminum. Inhalation and dermal exposure of healthy subjects are not associated with adverse health risks.

Aluminum is not known to cause cancer in humans. Some workers in the aluminum industry have had a higher than expected incidence of cancer, but this is probably due to the other potent carcinogens to which they are exposed, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and tobacco smoke. The few animal studies that were available were designed to study noncancer endpoints, but they also do not indicate that aluminum is carcinogenic (ATSDR, 1991a).

### Arsenic

Arsenic is a potent toxicant that may exist in several valence states and in a number of inorganic and organic forms. Most cases of arsenic-induced toxicity in humans are due to exposure to inorganic arsenic, and there is an extensive database on the human health effects of the common arsenic oxides and oxyacids. Although there may be some differences in the potency of different chemical forms (e.g., arsenites tend to be somewhat more toxic than arsenates), these differences are usually minor.

Exposure to arsenic via inhalation is a great public health concern due to the increased risk of lung cancer, although respiratory irritation, nausea, and skin effects may also occur. Several studies have shown an increased risk of lung cancer in workers occupationally exposed. Based on the risk of lung cancer, EPA has assigned inorganic arsenic to Group A (known human carcinogen) via the inhalation route.

By the oral route, the effects most likely to be of human health concern are GI irritation, peripheral neuropathy, vascular lesions, anemia, and a group of skin diseases, including skin cancer. Based on epidemiological studies which have shown an increased risk of skin cancer in populations exposed to elevated levels of arsenic in drinking water, EPA has placed inorganic arsenic in Group A (known human carcinogen) by the oral route of exposure.

Relatively little information is available on effects due to direct dermal contact with inorganic arsenicals, but several studies indicate the chief effect is local irritation and dermatitis, with little risk of other adverse effects (ATSDR, 1992a).

# Benzene Hexachloride (BHC) - Alpha-, Beta-, and Gamma - (Lindane)

The primary health effects associated with exposure to BHC are hematological effects, hepatic effects, renal effects, immunological effects, neurological effects, and reproductive effects.

Hepatic effects, such as increased liver enzymes, have been reported in humans while increased microsomal activity, increased liver weight, hypertrophy, mild to moderate liver necrosis, and fatty degeneration have been reported in animals.

In humans, neurological effects from BHC exposure have resulted in paresthesia of the face and extremities, headaches, vertigo, abnormal EEG patterns, and seizures and convulsions. BHC has been known to cause spontaneous abortions and premature deliveries in women; alterations in reproductive hormones were detected in women.

Hepatocellular carcinoma is the most frequently reported tumor type found from BHC exposure studies in animals. A metabolite of gamma-BHC, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP), has been classified by EPA as a group B2 compound (ATSDR, 1989a).

### **Barium**

Humans exposed to acute levels of barium have shown respiratory, gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, renal, and neurological effects. Respiratory effects of benign pneumonoconiosis have been observed in workers exposed occupationally by inhalation to barium. Respiratory weakness and paralysis were seen in humans following ingestion of barium. Acute ingestion of barium has also lead to cardiovascular effects of increased blood pressure, changes in heart rhythm, myocardial damage, and changes in heart physiology and metabolism and gastrointestinal effects of hemorrhaging, pain, vomiting, and diarrhea. Renal effects of degeneration and failure and neurological effects of numbness and tingling of the mouth and neck, partial and complete paralysis, and brain congestion and edema were reported in the human case studies (ATSDR, 1991).

Barium has not been evaluated by EPA for human carcinogenic potential (ATSDR, 1991b).

#### Benzene

Benzene exposure affects the CNS, blood, and skin. Neurological effects from exposure are seen in symptoms of drowsiness, dizziness, headache, vertigo, tremor, delirium, and loss of consciousness. Abnormalities in motor conduction velocity are often seen in workers exposed to benzene.

The most noted systemic effect resulting from intermediate and chronic benzene exposure is hematotoxicity. A common clinical finding in benzene hematotoxicity is cytopenia, which is a decrease in various cellular elements of the circulating blood manifested as anemia, leukopenia, or thrombocytopenia in humans. Furthermore, a causal relationship exists between benzene exposure and aplastic anemia in humans. This disorder is characterized by reduction of all cellular elements in the peripheral blood and in bone marrow. Aplastic anemia that results from benzene exposure is also associated with an increased risk of developing acute nonlymphocytic leukemia.

Both gastrointestinal (i.e., toxic gastritis and pyloric stenosis) and dermal effects (i.e., swelling and edema) have been reported to occur in a human who swallowed benzene. A study conducted in rabbits lends support to the finding that benzene is irritating and damaging to the skin and also shows that it is irritating and damaging to the eyes following dermal/ocular application.

Several <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> studies conclusively demonstrated that benzene can be readily absorbed through human skin. Benzene defats the keratin layer; causes erythema and vesiculation; and dries the dermatitis producing scales.

Inhalation of high levels of benzene has produced various developmental effects in animals: decreased fetal weight, minor skeletal variants, and hematopoietic anomalies. Some effects on testes such as atrophy/degeneration, decrease in spermatozoa, and moderate increases in abnormal sperm forms have been noted in animals exposed by inhalation. Benzene also seems to be genotoxic to humans, causing primarily chromosomal aberrations. Peripheral lymphocytes and bone marrow cells appear to be the major targets.

Benzene is considered to be a human carcinogen by EPA, OSHA, the World Health Organization (WHO), and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). EPA has verified the weight-of-evidence classification for carcinogenicity of benzene as EPA Group A, based on a sufficient level of human evidence supported by a sufficient level of animal evidence. It is established that exposure to commercial benzene or benzene-containing mixtures can cause damage to the hematopoietic system including pancytopenia with subsequent manifestation of leukemia (ATSDR, 1992b).

# Beryllium

The respiratory tract in humans and animals is the primary target of inhalation exposure to beryllium and its compounds. Inhalation of some forms of beryllium can cause obstructive and restrictive diseases of the lung, known as chronic beryllium disease (berylliosis); inhalation of high concentrations can cause chemical pneumonitis. The heart is an indirect target organ for beryllium in humans, monkeys, and dogs, with effects probably secondary to the respiratory effects. Renal effects have been observed in animals inhaling low concentrations of beryllium oxide, as indicated by proteinuria. Hepatic effects were not observed in humans or animals, unless the concentrations were high enough to be lethal. Dermal exposure causes the formation of skin granulomas in the intact skin of sensitized individuals.

Epidemiology studies suggest an increased risk of lung cancer due to occupational exposure to beryllium. Increased incidences of lung cancer were observed among workers at beryllium extraction, processing, and fabrication facilities. Human and animal data suggest that beryllium is considered carcinogenic in animals and is a suspect or probable human carcinogen (ATSDR, 1992c).

# Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate)

There is currently no evidence of adverse health effects in humans, but animal data show that bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate DEHP) can have effects on the liver, testes, kidney, thyroid, and pancreas. Fertility of both males and females can be affected; gestational exposure to DEHP may cause birth defects.

It is possible that exposure to DEHP through dialysis has an adverse effect on the human kidney. An increase in polycystic kidney disease has been reported in long-term hemodialysis patients. Although it is not possible to confirm a causative role for DEHP in this effect, data from animal studies indicate that DEHP may be toxic to the kidneys. Acute exposures of rats to DEHP can cause an increase in kidney weight and enlarged lysosomes in the tubules.

No studies were located regarding developmental effects in humans after exposure to DEHP. Developmental toxicity did occur in both mice and rats orally exposed to DEHP throughout the gestation period. Neonatal weight and survival were reduced in exposed animals; fetal malformations (external, visceral, and skeletal defects) were present in both species but were only statistically significant for the rats. Malformations were present in the kidneys and heart.

No studies were located regarding reproductive effects in humans after exposure to DEHP. There are multiple studies in rats where DEHP increased the weights of the testes, prostate, seminal vesicles, and epididymis. Atrophy and degeneration of the testicular tubules occurred and the process of spermatogenesis was altered.

No studies were located regarding cancer in humans after exposure to DEHP. However, long-term exposure of rodents to DEHP causes cancer of the liver in both rats and mice. There is also a proliferation of preneoplastic nodules in the liver of rats even when no carcinomas are present. As a result of these studies, DEHP has been classified in EPA Group B2, probable human carcinogen (ATSDR, 1992f).

#### **Cadmium**

The target organs for cadmium exposure are the gastrointestinal tract and the kidneys. Gastrointestinal effects after ingestion of cadmium are nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain. An interconnection exists between renal effects and musculoskeletal effects when examining cadmium exposure to humans. Evidence from both human and animal studies suggests that lower level chronic exposure to cadmium causes alterations in renal metabolism of vitamin D, which then may cause mild bone effects. Cadmium exposure may also lead to respiratory effects resulting in the destruction of lung epithelial cells, pulmonary edema, tracheobronchitis, and pneumonitis, and hematological effects causing anemia.

The evidence that cadmium inhalation can cause lung cancer in humans is weak, but strong evidence exists that cadmium inhalation can cause lung cancer in rats. Animal studies have also shown that injection of cadmium into the skin or muscle causes tumors in rats, primarily at the site of injection and in the testes. EPA has classified cadmium as a probable human carcinogen by inhalation (Group B1), based on the positive responses in humans and in rats (ATSDR, 1992d).

#### Chromium

Most of the toxic effects associated with chromium compounds are attributed to the more highly soluble, irritating hexavalent form of chromium. Trivalent chromium is considered one of the least toxic of the trace metals. Inhalation exposures to hexavalent chromium compounds have been associated with nasal damage, such as perforated septa, nosebleeds, and inflamed mucosa. Skin contact with high levels of chromium compounds has been reported to produce an eczema-like condition.

Hexavalent chromium is suspected of being responsible for mutagenic and cell transforming effects of chromates in various test systems. These adverse effects appear to be prevented in the presence of liver enzymes or gastric juice, but are unaffected by lung enzymes.

Hexavalent chromium is classified as a Group A human carcinogen by inhalation, based on sufficient evidence of human carcinogenicity. Results of epidemiologic studies are consistent across investigators and locations. Studies of chromate production facilities in the U.S., Great Britain, Japan, and Germany have established an association between chromium exposure and lung cancer. Three studies of the chrome pigment industry in Norway, England, and the Netherlands found an association between occupational chromium exposure and lung cancer (ATSDR, 1992e).

#### Cohalt

Stable cobalt has been found to produce adverse effects by the inhalation, oral, and dermal routes. Effects in humans following inhalation exposure to cobalt included lung effects (respiratory irritation, fibrosis, asthma, pneumonia, wheezing), cardiovascular effects (cardiomyopathy), liver and kidney congestion, ocular effects (congestion of the conjunctiva), and weight loss. Cobalt dermatitis and sensitization were well documented as a result of dermal exposure to cobalt.

Cobalt has not been shown to cause cancer in humans by any exposure route. An occupational study reported an increased incidence of death from lung cancer in workers occupationally exposed to cobalt but the difference was not statistically significant. The induction of tumors following intramuscular injection into rats and subcutaneous injection into mice was shown; however, the significance of these results to humans is not clear because these are not relevant routes of exposure and no tumors were found in humans with metal-alloy prostheses (ATSDR, 1991c).

### Copper

Noncarcinogenic effects observed in humans are gastrointestinal hepatic, renal, dermal, neurological, and possibly developmental effects. Ingestion of high levels of copper has produced gastrointestinal effects of vomiting, nausea, diarrhea, and anoxemia. Centrilobular necrosis of the liver and necrosis and sloughing of tubular cells in the kidney have been observed in individuals dying from copper poisoning. Dermal exposure to copper results in contact allergic dermatitis in some individuals (ATSDR, 1990a).

Copper toxicity in humans can also lead to Wilson's disease, an autosomal recessive disorder that affects normal copper homeostasis. The systemic manifestation of Wilson's disease are hepatic and renal lesions and hemolytic anemia. The disease also debilitates the central nervous system causing poor coordination, psychological impairment, tremor, disturbed gait, and rigidity (ATSDR, 1990a).

Although developmental effects have not been reported in humans, there is a possibility that there may be an increased incidence of spontaneous abortion and miscarriage in women exposed to high levels of copper.

An elevated incidence of cancer has not been observed in humans or animals exposed to copper via inhalation, oral, or dermal routes of exposure (ATSDR, 1990a).

# 1.2-Dichloroethene

Clinical symptoms that have been reported in humans exposed to 1,2-dichloroethene (DCE) in air include nausea, drowsiness, fatigue, intracranial pressure and ocular irritation. One fatality has been reported. No information is available on the toxicity of ingested DCE in humans. No information is available on the relative toxicities of the cis- and trans- isomers of DCE in humans. Symptoms described in animals exposed to DCE include pathological lesions in the heart, liver, and lung. However, evidence for serious adverse effects in these organs consists of only one study, seriously constraining any conclusions that can be drawn about the relevance of these effects to humans. Ataxia and respiratory depression occur in the terminal stages prior to death in animals. Since these symptoms have not been observed in humans, their relevance to public health is not known.

To date, cancer effects of cis- and trans-1,2-dichloroethene have not been studied in humans or animals (ATSDR, 1990b).

# Heptachlor and Heptachlor Epoxide

Although little quantitative data on exposures and measurable adverse health effects exist for humans, there is evidence that heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide can cause adverse effects if exposure is sufficient in duration and/or dose. Heptachlor is one of the cyclodiene pesticides designed to act as a neurotoxicant in insects. It is not surprising, therefore, that the central nervous system can be identified as one of the target systems of this compound in humans and animals. The liver is also a target organ for heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide. The findings of change in liver enzymes and histopathology in several animal species indicate that the liver would be a target for humans also.

Existing epidemiological studies on heptachlor are considered inadequate to establish a clear qualitative or quantitative assessment of heptachlor exposure and human risk of developing cancer. The large occupational cohort mortality studies conducted on workers engaged in the manufacture of heptachlor have not identified a statistically significant increase in cancer death. Chronic oral exposure to heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide increased the incidence of liver carcinomas in rats and mice. Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide are classified as possible human carcinogens, Group B2, under EPA's guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment based on the positive cancer findings in animals studies (ATSDR, 1992g).

## Lead

At high exposure levels, lead produces encephalopathy, gastrointestinal effects, anemia, nephropathy, and electrocardiographic abnormalities. These effects are primarily seen in children or from occupational exposure. Lower level exposure to lead in all humans can affect the synthesis of heme, which in turn affects metabolic processes and decreases vitamin D circulating in the body which reduces calcium stability in the body.

Effects of great concern from low-level lead exposure are neurobehavioral effects and growth retardation in infants exposed prenatally and children exposed postnatally. Increased blood pressure from low-level lead exposure in middle-aged men has also been observed. Based on blood lead concentrations, no clear threshold of effect has been shown from low-level lead exposures resulting in blood lead levels < 10 ug/dl.

Lead has also been shown in a number of DNA structure and function assays to affect the molecular processes associated with the regulation of gene expression, and under certain conditions, may induce chromosomal aberrations in vivo and in tissue cultures. No reproductive effects from human oral exposure to lead have been reported; however, occupational inhalation exposures have been linked to altered testicular function, increases in spontaneous abortion, premature delivery, and early membrane rupture.

The EPA has classified lead as a Group B2 carcinogen. Data concerning the arcinogenicity of lead in humans are inconclusive. There is no evidence that oral exposure produces a tumor response. Although studies of occupational inhalation exposure have produced negative results, increases in cancer of the digestive organs and respiratory system have been reported (ATSDR, 1992h).

### Manganese

Most studies in humans and animals indicate that manganese exposure does not cause significant injury to the heart, stomach, blood, muscle, bone, liver, kidney, skin, or eyes However, if manganese is in the Mn (+7) valence state (as in potassium permanganate), then ingestion or dermal contact may lead to severe corrosion at the point of contact.

Inhalation exposure to manganese dusts often leads to an inflammatory response in lung in both humans and animals. This generally leads to increased incidence of cough and bronchitis, and can lead to mild to moderate injury to lung tissue, along with minor decreases in lung function. In addition, susceptibility to infectious lung disease may be increased, leading to increased prevalence of pneumonia.

Information on the carcinogenic potential of manganese is limited, and the results are difficult to interpret with certainty. Inhalation exposure of humans to manganese dusts has not been identified as a risk factor for lung cancer, although intraperitoneal injection of mice with manganese sulfate led to an increased incidence of lung tumors. Preliminary data indicate that chronic oral exposure of rats to manganese sulfate may lead to increased incidence of pancreatic tumors (adenomas plus carcinomas). These data are not adequate to reach a firm conclusion regarding the carcinogenicity of manganese, but suggest that the potential for carcinogenic effects in humans is small (ATSDR, 1991e).

## Mercury

Mercury and all compounds of mercury affect the central nervous system (CNS) and kidneys. Exposure to low levels over prolonged periods produces symptoms that can vary widely from individual to individual. These can include weakness, loss of appetite, weight loss, insomnia, indigestion, diarrhea, metallic taste in the mouth, increased salivation, mouth or throat soreness, inflammation of the gums, black line on the gums, loosening of teeth, irritability, loss of memory, and tremor in fingers, eyelids, lips, or tongue. Extensive exposure produces behavioral changes such as irritability, excitability, anxiety, delirium with hallucinations, melancholy, or manic depressive psychosis. This is known as erethism or Mad Hatter syndrome.

The target tissue for organic mercury effects is the central nervous system, especially the brain. Severe poisoning may produce irreversible brain damage resulting in loss of higher functions. The effects of chronic poisoning with alkyl mercury compounds are progressive. Initially there are fine tremors of the hands, and in some cases, of the face and arms. Tremors become coarse and convulsive, speech becomes moderately slurred and difficulty with pronunciation may occur with continued exposure. Development of an unsteady gait, can progress to severe ataxia of the arms and legs. Common sensory disturbances include tunnel vision, blindness, and deafness. A symptom that occurs later in exposure is the constriction of the visual field, which is rarely reversible and may be associated with loss of understanding and reason which makes the person completely out of touch with their environment.

There are no reliable human or animal studies which indicate that inhalation or oral exposure to mercury causes cancer (ATSDR, 1989b).

# Methylene Chloride

Methylene chloride has been widely used in industrial process, food preparation, agriculture, and consumer products; consequently, there have been numerous studies describing its effects in a variety of animal species. Humans have not been as extensively studied. Although its uses in agricultural goods and consumer products have declined in recent years, there is still potential public health concern due to its continued use in industrial processes and there have been releases to the environment.

The central nervous system (CNS) is a potential target in humans and animals at exposure levels of 800 ppm or higher. Effects have also been reported on the liver and kidney at concentrations of 25 ppm or greater and on the cardiovascular system, but at extremely high exposures. Methylene chloride (500 ppm or greater) increased tumors in some animals, but there were no teratogenic or reproductive effects. Since inhalation is the principal route of exposure to methylene chloride, most of these effects have been tested for or observed by this route. Data on effects observed after oral and dermal exposure are more limited.

Studies in animals exposed via inhalation have demonstrated that methylene chloride is probably carcinogenic. Concentrations of 500 ppm or greater increased the incidence of benign mammary gland tumors in female and male rats. The incidence of liver tumors increased over control levels in male mice and female rats administered methylene chloride (50 to 250 mg/kg/day) in drinking water; however, the incidence of lesions in treated groups were within the historical range of control values and showed no dose response relationship. Based on these findings, the EPA has ranked methylene chloride as a Group B2 carcinogen (probable human carcinogen) (ATSDR, 1992i).

# 2-, 3-, 4-Methylphenol (o-, p-, m-Cresol)

Effects associated with exposure to cresol in humans include irritation and burning of the skin, eyes, mouth, and throat, abdominal pain and vomiting, hemolytic anemia, kidney damage, facial paralysis, coma, and death. Studies in animals have documented the irritative and neurological effects of cresols, and provided some evidence for target organ effects on the kidney and the blood. Other effects seen in animals, but not observed in humans, include slightly reduced body weight gain, mild hepatotoxicity, mild developmental effects, and tumor promotion.

There are no data available regarding the carcinogenicity of exogenous cresols in humans. No cancer bioassays have been conducted in animals, but the results of a promotion study in mice suggested that cresols can be cancer promoters. The ability of cresols to interact with mammalian DNA in vitro suggests they may have carcinogenic potential, but it is impossible to assess the potential hazard to humans without more information (ATSDR, 1991d).

# Molybdenum

Molybdenum is an essential dietary nutrient which is a constituent of several mammalian enzymes including xanthine oxidase, sulfite oxidase and aldehyde oxidase. The Food and Nutrition Board of the Subcommittee on the Tenth Edition of the RDAs has established Estimated Safe and Adequate Daily Intake (ESAADI) values of 15-40  $\mu$ g/day for infants, 25-150  $\mu$ g/day for children, and 75-250  $\mu$ g/day for adolescents and adults.

High levels of ingested molybdenum may be associated with mineral imbalance. Excretion of sufficient quantities of molybdenum may put individuals at risk for the hypochromic microcytic anemia associated with a dietary copper deficiency. Animal studies demonstrate that the effects of molybdenum on growth and melanin synthesis are more pronounced under situations where dietary copper intake is low. For this reason, the RfD was derived with the ESAADI in mind.

The RfD for molybdenum is based on the results of a study that examined blood chemistry parameters normally associated with gout. An exhaustive analysis of blood chemistry and individual dietary habits was not done. Therefore, the results are clearly generalized for a large population. Studies in humans and animals suggest that molybdenum has an adverse effect on copper homeostasis, making the changes in serum ceruloplasmin a matter of possible concern. The proposed RfD satisfies molybdenum nutrient requirements for all healthy members of the population, based on a comparison with the ESAADI. Dietary studies indicate that people in the U.S. are receiving between 76 and 240  $\mu$ g/day (1.1-3.4  $\mu$ g/kg-day, based on a 70 kg adult) in their diets.

There is no information that indicates that molybdenum is carcinogenic in humans (EPA, 1993).

#### **Nickel**

In humans, acute exposure to nickel commonly results in contact dermatitis, atrophic dermatitis, and allergenic sensitization. Other signs and symptoms of exposure to nickel include nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, central nervous system depression, coughing, shortness of breath, chest pain, fever and weakness. Chronic occupational inhalation exposures may result in respiratory effects such as asthma and chronic respiratory tract infections. Nickel has not definitely been shown to be genotoxic or mutagenic. Studies have shown nickel to induce chromosomal aberrations in cultured mammalian cells and sister chromatid exchange in both mammalian cells and human lymphocytes, as well as the induction of morphological cell transformation in vitro. Ingested nickel may cause reproductive and developmental toxicity in animals. Reproductive effects in male rats include degenerative changes in the testes, epididymis and spermatozoa. Studies in female rats and hamsters suggest an effect on embryo viability and the implantation process. Animals exposed to nickel before implantation have shown delayed embryonic development and increased resorptions, although there are problems associated with the interpretation of these studies. Nickel may also be teratogenic.

Nickel is classified as a human carcinogen, in EPA Group A. Inhalation of nickel has been associated with lung and nasal cavity tumors in humans exposed to nickel refinery dusts. Evidence of carcinogenicity via inhalation is consistent in several epidemiologic studies from various countries. The findings are characterized by lung and nasal cancers, high relative risks, and a dose-response relationship by length of exposure. The nickel compounds which have been most strongly implicated as carcinogens are metallic nickel, nickel subsulfide, and nickel carbonyl (ATSDR, 1992j).

# Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) are generally categorized into two groups: carcinogens and noncarcinogens. Those that have been shown to be carcinogenic to animals by the oral route are: benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, and dibenzo(a,h)anthracene. Benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, chrysene, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene have been shown to be carcinogenic by the dermal route. For many of the carcinogenic PAHs, it would appear that the site of tumor induction is generally the point of first contact, i.e., stomach tumors are observed following ingestion, and skin tumors following dermal exposure.

Evidence exists to indicate that certain PAHs are carcinogenic in humans. PAHs express their carcinogenic activity through biotransformation to chemically reactive intermediates which then covalently bind to cellular macromolecules (i.e., DNA) leading to mutation and tumor initiation. The evidence of carcinogenicity in humans comes primarily from occupational studies where workers involved in such processes as coke production, roofing, oil refining or coal gasification are exposed to mixtures containing PAHs (e.g., coal tar, roofing tar, soot, coke oven emissions, soot, and crude oil). PAHs have not been clearly identified as the causative agent, however. Cancer associated with exposure to PAH-containing mixtures in humans occurs predominantly in the lung and skin following inhalation and dermal exposure, respectively. Some ingestion of PAHs is likely due to swallowing of particles containing PAHs subsequent to mucociliary clearance of these particulates from the lung.

Noncancer adverse health effects associated with noncarcinogenic PAHs (acenaphthene, acenanaphthylene, anthracene, fluoranthene, fluorene, phenanthrene, and pyrene) exposure have been observed in animals, but (with the exception of adverse hematological and dermal effects) generally not in humans. Animals studies demonstrate that PAHs tend to affect proliferating tissues such as bone marrow, lymphoid organs, gonads and intestinal epithelium. Thus, although PAHs are distributed extensively throughout the body, their major target organs appear to be the hematopoietic and lymphoid systems in animals.

The lymphoid system, because of its rapidly proliferating tissues, is susceptible to PAH-induced toxicity. The mechanism of action for this effect is most likely inhibition of DNA synthesis. No adverse effects on this system associated with PAH exposure have been reported in humans, but several accounts of lymphoid toxicity in animals were observed. Lymphoid effects in animals from PAH exposure include an increase in reticulum cells, accumulation of iron, reduced lymphoid cells, and dilated lymph sinuses.

The skin is susceptible to PAH-induced toxicity in humans. Regressive verrucae were reported following subchronic application of benzo(a)pyrene to human skin. Although reversible and apparently benign, these changes were seen to represent neoplastic

proliferation. Benzo(a)pyrene application also apparently exacerbated skin lesions in atients with pre-existing skin conditions (pemphigus vulgaris and xeroderma pigmentosum). Workers exposed to substances that contain PAHs (e.g., coal tar) experienced chronic dermatitis and hyperkeratosis.

Anthracene has been associated with gastrointestinal toxicity in humans. Humans that consumed laxatives that contained anthracene (anthracene concentration not specified) for prolonged periods were found to have an increased incidence (73.4%) of melanosis of the colon and rectum as compared to those who did not consume anthracene-containing laxatives (36.5%).

The developmental effects of PAHs, especially benzo(a) pyrene, have been investigated in animals using the parenteral route of administration. Injections of benzo(a)pyrene to pregnant mice produced stillbirths, resorptions, and malformations, testicular changes including atrophy of seminiferous tubules with lack of spermatoids and spermatozoa in males; immunosuppression; and tumor induction (ATSDR, 1990c).

#### Tetrachloroethene

The major routes of exposure to tetrachloroethylene (perchloroethylene, PCE) are the inhalation and oral routes. The brain, liver, and kidney have been identified as target organs for adverse effects of PCE exposure. In addition, there is a suggestion that reproductive effects may also be induced in women. Humans exposed acutely to high concentrations of PCE had headache, dizziness, and drowsiness; nonspecific hepatotoxicity; reversible kidney damage; and upper respiratory tract irritation.

Some epidemiological studies of dry cleaning workers suggest a possible association between PCE exposure and increased cancer risk. However, the results of these studies are inconclusive because of the likelihood of concomitant exposure to other petroleum solvents, the effects of other confounding factors such as smoking, and the study methodology.

The carcinogenicity of PCE has been documented in animals exposed by inhalation or oral routes. Despite some indication of human risk of leukemia from solvent exposure, the relevance to human health of elevated incidences of cancer in laboratory animals is unclear. As of November 1992, EPA had not taken a final position on the weight-of-evidence classification for PCE (EPA, 1992). It is proposed for consideration as a Group B2 (probable human carcinogen) based on evidence of cancer in animals and equivocal evidence in humans (ATSDR, 1992k).

#### Trichloroethene

The central nervous system (CNS) is the principal target for trichloroethene (TCE) toxicity in humans. Human experimental studies revealed mild effects on motor coordination, visual perception, and cognition. Nonspecific neurological effects from TCE exposure in the workplace are dizziness and drowsiness. Acute and chronic inhalation exposure, as well as chronic oral exposure have lead to dysfunction of cranial nerves V and VII. The available evidence suggests that humans may be at risk for neurological effects from exposure to TCE in the air and water, however, there is no information for the levels at which these effects might occur.

Workers who have been exposed to TCE in the workplace show no higher incidences of cancer than controls. This has been shown in numerous historical prospective studies. The few studies that did show some association were complicated by exposures to known human carcinogens.

Animal studies have shown increases in cancers of various types following inhalation or oral exposure to TCE. Due to various flaws in the study designs, the significance of these studies for humans cannot be determined. The EPA withdrew the IRIS carcinogenicity file for TCE in July 1989 and as of November 1992 has not adopted a current position on the weight-of-evidence classification (ATSDR, 19921; EPA, 1992).

#### Vanadium

The only significant, clearly documented, effect of vanadium exposure in humans is mild to moderate respiratory distress and mucosal irritation from exposure to vanadium dusts. Vanadium workers may have coughs, chest pain, sore throats, or eye irritation, which can last for several days after exposure. These effects are common to many kinds of dust exposures. The effects are no more severe than those experienced during a routine upper respiratory tract infection and can sometimes be delayed for several hours after exposure. Chronic effects are not reported with regularity. Chest x-rays and urine and blood analyses in these people are normal. These workers often develop a green color on their tongues from direct accumulation of vanadium.

Studies in animals support the findings that vanadium primarily affects the respiratory system. The respiratory system responds to the particulate matter by increasing the number of leukocytes which are used to clear away the foreign matter.

A few animal studies have shown renal effects from parenteral injection of vanadium. These include increased lipid peroxidation and decreased tubular reabsorption. It is difficult to determine the potential for renal toxicity in humans exposed by normal exposure routes. Renal effects have not been observed upon urinanalysis in occupationally exposed workers.

Workers who have been exposed to vanadium dust did not show any large increases in cancer deaths, although detailed studies were not performed. Studies designed to test effects other than cancer in animals have not noted any increases in tumors resulting from inhalation or oral exposure to vanadium. To date, studies are inadequate to perform an acceptable assessment of the carcinogenic potential of vanadium. Vanadium has not been assigned a weight of evidence class for human carcinogenicity (ATSDR, 1991f).

## Vinyl Chloride

The effects that have been reported in humans in response to vinyl chloride exposure come almost exclusively from studies of workers exposed by inhalation in the workplace. Because women have not been traditionally employed in PVC-manufacturing positions in North America and Western Europe, most of the data on humans from these areas concerns effects in males. Also, virtually all of the epidemiological studies are limited by the absence of data on the actual levels to which workers were exposed. However, studies in animals by the inhalation and oral routes provide an indication of the doses of vinyl chloride that may be associated with these effects.

Acute high-level exposure of humans to vinyl chloride is associated with the development of signs of intoxication such as dizziness, drowsiness, and/or headache. Reports from vinyl chloride workers and studies in animals indicate that a loss of consciousness may also be associated with exposure to very high levels. Two deaths connected with occupational exposure to vinyl chloride have been reported. Autopsy results from these men as well as autopsy results from animals dying from extremely high-level exposures indicate that levels of vinyl chloride producing death may cause lung and kidney irritation and inhibition of blood clotting. Cardiac arrhythmias and liver degeneration have also been reported in animals as a result of acute exposure to very high levels of vinyl chloride.

Longer term exposure of humans in occupational settings has been associated with the development of a number of other toxic effects. However, exposure levels in these studies are generally not quantified, and thresholds for the effects have not been quantified. Histopathological changes characteristic of vinyl chloride exposure have been reported to take place in the liver. These changes include extensive fibrosis and hypertrophy and hyperplasia of both hepatocytes and sinusoidal cells. These changes in liver structure develop in the absence of overt symptoms of liver toxicity, and standard biochemical measures of liver function have been of little value in detecting them. Reports also indicate that peripheral neuropathy may also develop in some workers occupationally exposed to vinyl chloride. Also, toxic effects on male reproductive function may occur. Studies in animals indicate that vinyl chloride may cause fetal resorptions, delayed development, and an increased incidence of the soft tissue anomaly, dilated ureter. When animals were exposed in utero, some changes in liver function were observed during adolescence.

Studies in both humans and animals indicate that vinyl chloride is carcinogenic. Hepatic angiosarcoma has been identified in workers exposed to vinyl chloride by the inhalation route. Also, there are some studies that indicate that cancers of the central nervous system, respiratory tract, lymphatic and hematopoietic systems may occur in humans following inhalation exposure. Studies in a variety of animal species exposed by both inhalation and oral routes show an increased incidence of hepatic angiosarcoma. Based on these findings, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has

concluded that sufficient evidence for carcinogenicity in humans and animals exists and has placed vinyl chloride in category 1, carcinogenic to humans. EPA has concluded that sufficient evidence for carcinogenicity exists in humans and animals and has classified vinyl chloride according to its classification scheme as a Group A carcinogen, human carcinogen (ATSDR, 1992m).

#### REFERENCES

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1989a. Toxicological Profile for Alpha-, Beta, Gamma- and Delta Hexachlorocyclohexane. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1989b. Toxicological Profile for Mercury. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1990a. *Toxicological Profile for Copper*. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1990b. *Toxicological Profile for 1,2-Dichloroethene*. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1990c. Toxicological Profile for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs). U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1991a Toxicological Profile for Aluminum. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1991b. *Toxicological Profile for Barium*. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1991c. Toxicological Profile for Cobalt. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1991d. Toxicological Profile for Cresols. U.S. Public Health Service Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1991e. Toxicological Profile for Manganese. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1991f. Toxicological Profile for Vanadium. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992a. Toxicological Profile for Arsenic. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992b. *Toxicological Profile for Benzene*. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.

# REFERENCES (continued)

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992c. Toxicological Profile for Beryllium. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992d. Toxicological Profile for Cadmium. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992e. Toxicological Profile for Chromium. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992f. Toxicological Profile for Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992g. *Toxicological Profile for Heptachlor/Heptachlor Epoxide*. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992h. *Toxicological Profile for Lead*. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992i. Toxicological Profile for Methylene Chloride. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992j. Toxicological Profile for Nickel. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992k. *Toxicological Profile for Tetrachloroethylene*. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 19921. Toxicological Profile for Trichloroethylene. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992m. Toxicological Profile for Vinyl Chloride. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1993. Toxicological Profile for Acetone. U.S. Public Health Service. Draft for Public Comment.

# **REFERENCES** (continued)

- U.S. EPA, 1992. Carcinogenicity of Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene.

  Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Center, Cincinnati, Ohio. November 23.
- U.S. EPA, 1993. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS).

### Appendix B

Risk Calculations for Current Use Scenario

# Table B1 Equations and Example Calculations for Ingestion Exposure to Leachate Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

#### Equation Definition:

ADD = C x CR x ET x EF x ED / BW X AT

#### Parameter Definition

ADD

average daily dose

LADD

lifetime average daily dose

C

chemical concentration in water (mg/L)

CR

contact rate (L/hr)

ET

exposure time (hr/event)

EF ED exposure frequency (events/yr)

BW

exposure duration (yr)

AT

body weight (kg) averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk; 10 yr for child trespasser, 30 yr for adult hunter for noncancer risk)

#### Example Calculations (child trespasser exposed to arsenic)

#### Noncancer Risk

ADD = 0.0231 (mg/L) x 0.025 (L/hr) x 2 (hr/day) x 26 (d/yr) x 10 (yr) / 37 (kg) x 10 (yr) x 365 (d/yr)

ADD = 2.2E - 6 (mg/kg/d)

#### Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70 (yr)$ 

 $LADD = 2.2E-6 (mg/kg/d) \times 10 (yr) / 70 (yr)$ 

LADD = 3.2E - 7 (mg/kg/d)

#### Source:

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A) December 1989.

Table B2
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Leachate
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/L)	5 E	ET EF (hr/d) (d/yr)	(d/yr)	<b>⋳</b> ፪	Kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	CSFo [(mg/kg/day)1]	RIDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	오
Arsenic	0.0231	0.025	2	82	2	37	3650	2.2E-06	3.2E-07	1.75E+00	3E-04	6E-07	0.01
Cadmium	0.166	0.025	CV	56	9	37	3650	1.6E-05	2.3E-06	¥Z	5E-04	₹ Z	0.03
Chromium	0.227	0.025	8	56	10	37	3650	2.2E-05	3.1E-06	¥	5E-03	¥ Z	0.004
Cobalt	1.44	0.025	8	26	2	37	3650	1.4E-04	2.0E-05	₹ Z	6E-02	¥Z	0.002
Manganese	108	0.025	N	92	9	37	3650	1.0E-02	1.5E-03	AZ Z	5E-03	¥ Z	2.1
Molybdenum	1.8	0.025	Ø	56	9	37	3650	1.7E-04	2.5E-05	AZ AZ	5E-03	¥ Z	0.03
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.59	0.025	Ø	56	9	37	3650	5.7E-05	8.1E-06	AZ AZ	1E-02	₹ Z	0.01
Acetone	5.4	0.025	Ø	56	9	37	3650	5.2E-04	7.4E-05	AZ AZ	16-01	¥Z	0.005
Benzene	0.013	0.025	Q	56	9	37	3650	1.3E-06	1.8E-07	2.9E-02	AZ AZ	5E-09	Υ Z
Methylene Chloride	28	0.025	8	92	9	37	3650	2.7E-03	3.9E-04	7.5E-03	6E-02	3E-06	0.04
Toluene	0.92	0.025	N	56	10	37	3650	8.9E-05	1.3E-05	AZ AZ	2E-01	¥ Z	0.0004
Trichloroethene	0.35	0.025	8	92	10	37	3650	3.4E-05	4.8E-06	1.1E-02	6E-03	5E-08	0.01
Vinyl Chloride	0.044	0.025	8	56	10	37	3650	4.2E-06	6.1E-07	1.9E+00	¥Z	1E-06	¥ Z
Heptachlor epoxide	0.000021	0.025	8	56	10	37	3650	2.0E-09	2.9E-10	9.1E+00	1.3E-05	3E-09	0.0002
4-Methylphenol	3.8	0.025	Ø	56	10	37	3650	3.7E-04	5.2E-05	AN AN	5E-02	¥ Z	0.01
delta-BHC	0.0047	0.025	8	56	10	37	3650	4.5E-07	6.5E-08	1.8E+00	AN	1E-07	Y Y

Total Route Specific Risk	
RiDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index y Dose ND Not Detected (oral) NA Not Applicable	
BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose ND Not Detected CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) NA Not Applicable	
RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure CR Contact Rate ET Exposure Time EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	

2.2

5E-06

Ī

Cancer

Table B3
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Leachate
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant													
	¥.	<u>Б</u>	ы	出	<u>a</u>	8	ΑT	ADD	LADD	CSFo	PED:	Cancer	모
of Concern	(Mg/L)	(L/hr) (hrs/de(days)	hrs/de(	days//	(S)	( <del>K</del> g	(days)	(mg/kg/day) (	(mg/kg/day)	[(mg/kg/day)-1]	(mg/kg/day)	Alsk	
Arsenic	0.0231	0.025	8	56	30	22	10950	1.2E-06	5.0E-07	1.75E+00	3E-04	9E-07	0.004
Cadmium	0.166	0.025	CV	56	8	2	10950	8.4E-06	3.6E-06	V	5E-04	¥	0.05
Chromium	0.227	0.025	O	92	8	2	10950	1.2E-05	4.9E-06	NA	5E-03	₹ Z	0.005
Cobalt	1.44	0.025	N	92	ဓ	2	10950	7.3E-05	3.1E-05	AZ.	6E-02	¥ Z	0.001
Manganese	108	0.025	N	56	30	2	10950	5.5E-03	2.4E-03	NA	5E-03	¥ Z	1.1
Molybdenum	1.8	0.025	Ø	56	30	2	10950	9.2E-05	3.9E-05	NA NA	5E-03	Š	0.05
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.59	0.025	N	56	30	2	10950	3.0E-05	1.3E-05	NA.	1E-02	¥ Z	0.003
Acetone	5.4	0.025	N	56	30	2	10950	2.7E-04	1.2E-04	NA NA	1E-01	Ϋ́Z	0.003
Benzene	0.013	0.025	O	56	30	2	10950	6.6E-07	2.8E-07	2.9E-02	AZ.	8E-09	¥Z
Methylene Chloride	28	0.025	N	56	30	2	10950	1.4E-03	6.1E04	7.5E-03	6E-02	5E-06	0.02
Toluene	0.92	0.025	N	56	90	2	10950	4.7E-05	2.0E-05	AZ AZ	2E-01	¥ Z	0.0002
Trichloroethene	0.35	0.025	N	56	9	2	10950	1.8E-05	7.6E-06	1.1E-02	6E-03	8E-08	0.003
Vinyl Chloride	0.044	0.025	Ø	56	8	2	10950	2.2E-06	9.6E-07	1.9E+00	Ϋ́	2E-06	Y Y
Heptachlor epoxide	0.000021	0.025	Ø	56	30	20	10950	1.16-09	4.6E-10	9.1E+00	1.3E-05	4E-09	0.0001
4-Methylphenol	3.8	0.025	CV	92	90	20	10950	1.9E-04	8.3E-05	¥	5E-02	₹Z	0.004
delta-BHC	0.0047	0.025	N	56	8	2	10950	2.4E-07	1.0E-07	1.8E+00	AN	2E-07	NA
RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure	l	BW Body Weight	/ Weig	Ħ			3fDo Re	RfDo Reference Dose (oral)		Total Route Specific Risk	ffic Risk	Cancer	王

RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure	BW Body Weight	RfDo Reference Dose (oral)	Total Route Specifi
CR Contact Rate	AT Averaging Time	HQ Hazard Quotient	
ET Exposure Time	ADD Average Daily Dose	HI Hazard Index	
EF Exposure Frequency	LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose ND Not Detected	ND Not Detected	
ED Exposure Duration	CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) NA Not Applicable	NA Not Applicable	

8E-06

### Table B4 Equations and Example Calculations for Dermal Exposure to Leachate Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Equation Definition:

ADD = C x SA x PC x ET x EF x ED x CF / BW x AT

Parameter Definition

ADD

average daily dose

LADD

lifetime average daily dose

С

chemical concentration in water (mg/L)

SA

skin surface area available for contact (cm2)

PC

dermal permeability constant (cm/hr)

ET

exposure time (hr/d)

EF

exposure frequency (d/yr) exposure duration (yr)

ED CF

volumetric conversion factor for water (1 L/1000 cm3)

BW

body weight (kg)

AT

averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk; 10 yr for child trespasser, 30 yr adult hunter for noncancer risk)

Example Calculations (child trespasser exposed to arsenic)

Noncancer Risk

ADD = 0.0231 (mg/L) x 3560 (cm2) x 1.6E-4 (cm/hr) x 2 (hr/d) x 26 (d/yr) x 10 (yr) x 0.001 (L/cm3) /

37 (kg) x 10 (yr) x 365 (days/yr)

ADD = 5.1E - 8 (mg/kg/d)

Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70(yr)$ 

 $LADD = 5.1E-8 (mg/kg/d) \times 10 (yr) / 70 (yr)$ 

LADD = 7.2E - 9 (mg/kg/d)

Toxicity values were adjusted from administered to absorbed dose as follows:

Examples: RfD(oral) for beryllium x 0.20 (default) = RfD(absorbed)

 $5E-3 (mg/kg/day) \times 0.20 = 1E-3 (mg/kg/day)$ 

CSF(oral) for beryllium / 0.20 (default) = CSF(absorbed)

4.3E+0 [(mg/kg/day)]-1 / 0.20 = 2.1E+1 [(mg/kg/day)]-1

#### Source:

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A), December 1989.

Table B5
Dermal Exposure Doses for Child Trespasser Exposed to Leachate
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant				<del>` ,      </del>		:			;						
	RME	& S	3	tu	E	<u></u>	<u>გ</u>	B.	AT	ADD	CAOD	CSFd	PGH	Cancer	운
of Concern	7		(cm/fri) (hr/d)	(p)	) (k/p)	(Suza)	(Cuo)	(kg)	(days)	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)	) E	(mg/kg/day)	Risk	.tes 1
Arsenic	0.0231	3560	1.6E-04	2	56	9	0.001	37	3650	5.1E-08	7.2E-09	8.8E+00	6E-05	6E-08	0.001
Cadmium	0.166	3560	1.6E-04	N	56	9	0.001	37	3650	3.6E-07	5.2E-08	¥Z	1E-04	NA.	0.004
Chromium	0.227	3560	1.6E-04	8	92	9	0.001	37	3650	5.0E-07	_	¥		NA NA	0.0005
Cobalt	1.44	3560	1.6E-04	8	56	9	0.00	37	3650	3.2E-06	4.5E-07	ž			0.0003
Manganese	108	3560	1.6E-04	N	56	9	0.001	37	3650	2.4E-04		¥	1E-03		0.2
Molybdenum	£.	3560	1.6E-04	N	56	9	0.00	37	3650	3.9E-06		¥.			0.004
1,2 - Dichloroethene (total)	0.59	3560	1.0E-02	N	56	9	0.001	37	3650	8.1E-05					0.0
Acetone	4.0	3560	1.1E-03	N	56	0	0.00	37	3650	8.1E-05		,	8E-02	¥Z	0.00
Benzene	0.013	3560	2.1E-02	N	56	0	0.001	37	3650	3.7E-06	_	3.6E 02	¥ Z	2E-08	Ž
Methylene Chloride	28		4.5E-03	N	56	0	0.00	37	3650	1.7E-03		9.4E-03	_		Ö
Toluene	0.92	3560	4.5E-02	N	56	0	0,00	37	3650	5.7E-04		¥	2E-01	¥Z	0.003
Trichloroethene	0.35	3560	1.6E-02	N	56	0	0.001	37	3650	7.7E-05	1.1E05	1.4E-02			0.02
Vinyl Chloride	0.044	3560	7:3E-03	N	56	9	0.00	37	3650	4.4E-06	6.3E-07	2.4E+00	¥	1E-06	Ž
Heptachlor epoxide	0.000021	3560	1.1E-02	Ø	56	9	0.00	37	3650	3.2E-09	4.5E-10	1.8E+01	7E-06		0.0005
4 - Methylphenol	3.8	3560	1.0E-02	7	56	9	0.00	37	3650	5.2E-04	7.4E-05	ž	3E-02		0.05
delta-BHC	0.0047	3560	1.4E-02	2	26	9	0.001	37	3650	9.0E-07	1.3E07	3.6E+00		5E-07	NA
RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure	l	P N	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor	version	Factor		RfDd Reference Dose (dermal)	rence	p) esoq	ermal)	Total Route Specific Risk	ecific Risk		Cancer	
SA Skin Surface Area		BW Bo	BW Body Weight				HQ Hazard Quotien	id Quo	llent	•	•				
PC Dermal Permeability Constant ET Exposure Time		AT AWA	AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose	Dose		ΙZ	HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected	4 Index etected						5E-06	0.3
		PDD	ADD Lifetime Average Dally	rage D	illy Dose		NA Not Applicable	pplicat	<b>8</b>				•		
ED Exposure Duration	•	CSFd	CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (d	• Facto	r (dermal)	<u></u>									

Note: (1) Permeability Constant for water used as conservative estimate for inorganics

Table B6
Dermal Exposure Doses for Adult Hunter Exposed to Leachate
Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Conteminant			t						<u></u>						
	RME	ΑS	<u>S</u>	ᆸ	EF		ب ال	BW	AT	ADD	CADO	CSFd	HDd	Cancer	오
of Concern	(mg/L)	(cm2)		(hr/d) (d/yr	_	(Sux)	(L/cm3)	<u>£</u>	(days)	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)	[(mg/kg/day) - 1]	(mg/kg/day)	E E	
Arsenic	0.0231	8620	1.6E-04	2	56	30	0.001	2	10950	6.5E-08	2.8E-08	8.8E+00	6E-05	2E-07	0.001
Cadmium	0.166		1.6E-04	~	92	30	0.00	20	10950	4.7E-07	2.0E-07	AN	1E-04		0.005
Chromium	0.227		1.6E-04	~	56	9	0.001	2	10950	6.4E-07	2.7E-07	NA			0.001
Cobalt	1.44		1.6E-04	8	92	9	0.001	2	10950	4.0E-06	1.7E-06	AN.			0.0004
Manganese .	108	8620	1.6E-04	8	56	9	0.001	2	10950	3.0E-04	1.3E-04	NA NA		¥	0.3
Molybdenum	1.8	8620	1.6E-04	2	92	90	0.001	2	10950	5.1E-06	2.2E-06	A N			0.005
1.2 - Dichloroethene (total)	0.59		1.0E-02	~	56	90	0.00	2	10950	1.0E-04	4.4E-05	A.			0.01
Acetone	5.4		1.1E-03	0	56	8	0.001	2	10950	1.0E-04	4.5E-05	AN N	8E-02		0.00
Benzene	0.013		2.1E-02	8	56	9	0.00	20	10950	4.8E-06	2.1E-06	3.6E-02	¥		¥Z
Methylene Chloride	28		4.5E-03	Q	26	30	0.00	2	10950	2.2E-03	9.5E-04	9.4E03	5E-02	9E-06	0.05
Toluene	0.92		4.5E-02	N	56	90	0.00	2	10950	7.3E-04	3.1E-04	AN	2E-01		0.00
Trichloroethene	0.35		1.6E-02	8	56	30	0.00	2	10950	9.8E-05	4.2E-05	1.4E-02	5E-03		0.02
Vinyl Chloride	0.044		7.3E-03	C1	56	9	0.001	20	10950	5.6E-06	2.4E-06	2.4E+00	Ž	90-39	¥
Heptachlor epoxide	0.000021	8620	1.1E-02	~	58	30	0.001	2	10950	4.1E-09	1.7E-09	1.8E+01	7E-06	3E-08	0.001
4 - Methylphenol	3.8	8620	1.0E-02	CV	56	90	0.001	2	10950	6.7E-04	2.9E-04	Ž	3E-02	ž	0.03
delta-BHC	0.0047 8620	8620	1.4E-02	2	92	30	0.001	2	10950	1.2E-06	4.9E-07	3.6E+00	NA	2E-06	¥
RME Ressonable Maximum Exposure		CF Vol	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor	Werslon	Facto		RfDd Reference Dose (dermal)	#BDC®	Dose (de		Total Route Specific Risk	ecific Risk		Cancer	Ī
SA Skin Surface Area PC Dermal Permeability Constant		BW BC	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time	6			HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NO Not Detected	Hazard Quot Hazard Index	lient '					2E-05	0.4
El Exposure fine EF Exposure Frequency		LADDA	LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSEd Center Stone Factor (derma)	rage De	ally Dose	-		Not Applicable	· ā						
Exposure Caracon		3	de se con												

Note: (1) Permeability Constant for water used as conservative estimate for inorganics

#### Table B7

### Equations and Example Calculations for Ingestion Exposure to Soil or Sediment Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

#### **Equation Definition:**

ADD = C x iR x CF x FI x EF x ED / BW x AT

Parameter Definition

ADD average daily dose

LADD lifetime average daily dose

C chemical concentration in soil (mg/kg)

IR ingestion rate (mg soil per day)

CF conversion factor (kg/mg)

FI fraction ingested from contaminated source (unitless)

EF exposure frequency (days/year)

ED exposure duration (years)

BW body weight (kg)

AT averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk; 10 yr for child trespasser, 30 yr for adult hunter,

6 yr for child resident, and 25 yr for adult worker for noncancer risk)

Example Calculations (child trespasser exposed to arsenic)

Noncancer Risk

ADD = 11.4 (mg/kg) x 100 (mg/day) x 1E-6 (kg/mg) x 1.0 x 26 (days/yr) x 10 (yrs) /

37 (kg) x 10 (yrs) x 365 (days/yr)

ADD = 2.2E-6 (mg/kg/day)

Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70 (yr)$ 

 $LADD = 2.2E-6 (mg/kg/d) \times 10 (yr) / 70 (yr)$ 

LADD = 3.1E-7 (mg/kg/d)

#### Source:

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A), December 1989.

Table B8
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Soil
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/kg)	IR (mg/d)	CF (kg/mg)	Ē	EF (d/yr)	ED (yrs)	8W (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	CSFo [(mg/kg/d)]-1	RfDo (mg/kg/d)	Cancer Risk	g
Arsenic	11.4	100	1E-06	-	26	9	37	3650	2.2E-06	3.1E-07	1.75E+00	3E 04	SE-07	0.01
Barium Bervilium	354	\$ \$	16-06 16-06		% %	<del>5</del> <del>5</del>	37	3650	6.8E-05 1.1E-07	9.7E-06 1.5E-08	NA 4.3E+00	7E-02 5E-03	NA 7E-08	0.0001
Cadmium	134		1E-06	-	26	9	37	3650	2.6E-05	3.7E-06	A S		¥ :	0.05
Chromium Lead	3166	8 8	16-06 16-06		8 8	2 2	37	3650	5.8h - 06 6.1h - 04	8.3E-07 8.7E-05	K K	SE-03 NA	d d Z Z	5 <del>X</del>
Manganese	10100	\$ 8	1E-06		26 26	5 5	37	3650	1.9E-03	2.8E-04 2.8E-08	A A	1E-01 3E-04	4 4 Z Z	0.00
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.12		1E-06	-	26	10	37	3650	2.3E-08	3.3E-09	7.3E+00	NA	2E-08	NA
RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor FI Fraction Ingested EF Exposure Frequency	laximum Exp lor I ency		ED Exposure Duration BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose	ure Dur Weight Ing Tirr Ige Dai	ration ne lly Dose erage De	e Jaily Do		CSFo Cancer Slope RfDo Reference Do HQ Hazard Quotler HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	r (oral) l)	Total Route – Specific Risk	ecific Risk	Cancer 6E-07	五 6.0

Table B9 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Soil Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

	RME (mg/kg)	IR CF (mg/d) (kg/mg)	CF (kg/mg)	ш Ш	EF (d/yr) ()	ED (yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	CSFo [(mg/kg/d)] - 1	RfDo (mg/kg/d)	Cancer Risk	면
Arsenic	11.4	50	1E-06	-	26	30	20	10950	5.8E~07	2.5E-07	1.75E+00		4E-07	0.002
Barium	354	22	1E-06	-	56	30	70	10950	1.8E-05	7.7E-06	¥Z	7E-02	¥ Z	0.0003
Beryllium	0.55	20	1E-06	-	56	9	20	10950	2.8E-08	1.2E-08	4.3E+00		5E-08	0.00001
Cadmium	134	20	1E-06	_	56	30	20	10950	6.8E~06	2.9E-06	¥Z		¥Z	0.01
Chromium	30	20	1E-06	<b>*</b> -	56	30	20	10950	1.5E~06	6.5E-07	¥Z	5E-03	ž	0.0003
Lead	3166	20	1E-06	_	56	80	20	10950	1.6E-04	6.9E-05	¥Z		¥	Ϋ́
Manganese	10100	20	1E-06	<del>-</del>	56	30	20	10950	5.1E~04	2.2E-04	Ä	1E-01	¥	0.004
Mercury	*	20	1E-06	_	56	30	20	10950	5.1E08	2.2E-08	¥ Z	3E-04	YZ	0.0002
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.12	20	1E06	<del></del>	56	30	2	10950	6.1E-09	2.6E-09	7.3E+00	X X	2E-08	X X
RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor Fi Fraction Ingested EF Exposure Frequency	ximum Exp or or		ED Exposure Duration BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Do LADD Lifetime Average	re Dura Veight ng Time ge Dail)	tion e y Dose rage Da	in Nose Je Daily Dose	1	CSFo Cancer SI RfDo Reference HQ Hazard Quo HI Hazard Index NA Not Applica	CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	(oral)	Total Route – Specific Risk	oecific Risk	Cancer 1	0.02

# Table B10 Equations and Example Calculations for Inhalation Exposure to Particulates Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

#### Equation Definition:

 $ADD = C \times ED \times EF \times IR \times (1/PEF) / BW \times AT$ 

#### Parameter Definition

ADD average daily dose

LADD lifetime average daily dose

C chemical concentration in soil (mg/kg)

ED exposure duration (yr)
EF exposure frequency (d/yr)
IR inhalation rate (m3/d)

PEF particulate emissions factor (m3/kg)

BW body weight (kg)

AT averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk; 10 yr for child trespasser, 30 yr for adult hunter,

6 yr for child resident, 25 yr for adult worker for noncancer risk)

Example Calculations (child trespasser exposed to arsenic)

#### Noncancer Risk

ADD = 11.4 (mg/kg) x 10 (yr) x 26 (d/yr) x 20 (m3/d) x (1/4.63E+9 m3/kg) / 37 (kg) x 10 (yr) x 365 (d/yr) ADD = 9.5E-11 (mg/kg/d)

#### Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70 (yr)$ 

 $LADD = 9.5E-11 (mg/kg/d) \times 10 (yr) / 70 (yr)$ 

LADD = 1.4E - 11 (mg/kg/d)

#### Source:

Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part B, Development of Risk-based Preliminary Remediation Goals, December 1991.

Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Soil Bell Landfill Site Table B11

Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/kg)		ED EF (yrs) (d/yr)	IR (m3/d)	PEF (m3/kg)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	ĊSF! [(mg/kg/d)]-1	F#Di (mg/kg/d)	Cancer	Ξ
Arsenic	11.4	10	26	20	4.63E+09	37	3650	9.5E-11	1.4E-11	1.5E+01	AN	2E-10	Z
Barium	354	10	26	20	4.63E+09	37	3650	2.9E-09	4.2E-10	¥ X	A Z	YZ YZ	AZ AZ
Beryllium	0.55	10	26	20	4.63E+09	37	3650	4.6E-12	6.6E-13	8.4E+00	Y Z	6E-12	₹Z
Cadmium	134	10	26		4.63E+09	37	3650	1.1E-09	1.6E-10	6.3E+00	¥ Z	1E-09	
Chromium	30	10	26	20	4.63E+09	37	3650	2.5E-10	3.6E-11	4.2E+01	AN.	1E-09	
Lead	3166	10	26	20	4.63E+09	37	3650	2.6E-08	3.8E-09	A'N	AZ Z	A N	
Manganese	10100	10	26	20	4.63E+09	37	3650	8.4E-08	1.2E-08	AN N	Y Z	AN AN	
Mercury	-	-	26	20	4.63E+09	37	3650	8.3E-12	1.2E-12	AZ AZ	8.6E-05	AZ AZ	0.0000
Benzo(a) pyrene	0.12	9	56	20	4.63E+09	37	3650	1.0E-12	1.4E-13	¥Z	X A	A Z	Ϋ́
RME Reasonable Max Exposure	x Exposure	BW BC	BW Body Weight	iaht		RfDi Re	ference	RfDi Reference Dose (inhalation)	(uo	Total Route – Specific Risk	ecific Risk	Cancer	Ī
ED Exposure Duration	lon	Averag	Averaging Time	je c		HQ Ha:	HQ Hazard Quotient	tient	•	•			
EF Exposure Frequency	ncy .	ADD A	Werage	ADD Average Daily Dose		HI Haz	HI Hazard Index					3E-09	3E-09 0.0000001

LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose NA Not Applicable PEF Particulate Emissions Factor CSFi Cancer Slope Factor (inhalation) ED Exposure Duration
EF Exposure Frequency
IR Inhalation Rate

Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Soil Towanda, Pennsylvania **Bell Landfill Site** Table B12

							-						
Contaminant	R	<u> </u>	ᇤ	<u> </u>	TII	Ma	AT	ADD	LADD	CSFI	ē	Cancer	오
of Concern	(mg/kg)	(yra)	(yrs) (d/yr)	(m3/d)	(m3/kg)	(kg)	(days)	(mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	[(mg/kg/d)] 1	(mg/kg/d)		n Slower H
Arsenic	4.11	30	26	. 50	4.63E+09	70	10950	5.0E-11	2.1E-11	1.5E+01	N A	3E-10	Y Z
Barium	354		26	20	4.63E+09	20	10920	1.6E-09	6.7E-10	AN	¥Z	Y Z	AZ
Bervllium	0.55	30	56	20	4.63E+09	20	10920	2.4E-12	1.0E-12	8.4E+00	¥ X	9E-12	YZ Y
Cadmium	134	30	26	20	4.63E+09	20	10950	5.9E-10	2.5E-10	6.3E+00	¥ X	2E-09	¥Z
Chromium	8	90		20	4.63E+09	2	10950	1.3E-10	5.7E-11	4.2E+01	A N	2E-09	AZ AZ
080	3166			20	4.63E+09	20	10950	1.4E-08	6.0E-09	¥	¥	¥	¥Z
Manganese	10100			20	4.63E+09	20	10950	4.4E-08	1.9E-08	AZ AZ	¥Z	&Z	¥ X
Mercury	-		26	20	4.63E+09	20	10950	4.4E-12	1.9E-12	AN AN	8.6E-05	¥ X	0.0000001
Benzo(a) pyrene	0.12	8		20	4.63E+09	20	10950	5.3E-13	2.3E-13	YZ Y	¥ Z	Y Z	¥ Z
RME Reasonable Max Exposure BW Body Weight ED Exposure Duration Averaging Time EF Exposure Frequency ADD Average Daily Dose IR Inhalation Rate LADD Lifetime Average Daily PEF Particulate Emissions Factor CSFI Cancer Slope Factor	lax Exposure tion ency ssions Factor	BW Br Averag ADD # LADD I	BW Body Weight Averaging Time ADD Average Dai LADD Lifetime Ave	BW Body Weight Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Dai CSFi Cancer Slope Factor	ily Dose (inhalation	REDI Re HQ Haza HQ Haza HI Haza	RfDi Reference Dose HQ Hazard Quotien! HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	RfDi Reference Dose (inhalation) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable		Total Route – Specific Risk	ecific Risk	Cancer 4E-09	Cancer HI 4E-09 0.0000001

# Table B13 Equations and Example Calculations for Ingestion Exposure to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

**Equation Definition:** 

 $ADD = C \times IR \times EF \times ED \times CF / BW \times AT$ 

Parameter Definition

ADD

average daily dose

LADD

lifetime average daily dose

С

chemical concentration in water (ug/L)

IR

ingestion rate (L/d)

EF

exposure frequency (d/yr)

ED

exposure duration (yr)

BW

body weight (kg)

AT

averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk; 6 yr for child resident, 24 or 30 yr adult resident,

25 yr adult worker for noncancer risk)

CF

conversion factor (mg/ug)

Example Calculations (child resident "C" exposed to arsenic)

Noncancer Risk

ADD = 1.2 (ug/L) x 1 (L/d) x 0.001 (mg/ug) x 350 (d/yr) x 6 (yr) / 70 (kg) x 6 (yr) x 365 (d/yr)

ADD = 7.7E - 5 (mg/kg/d)

Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70(yr)$ 

 $LADD = 7.7E-5 (mg/kg/d) \times 6 (yr) / 70(yr)$ 

LADD = 6.6E - 6 (mg/kg/d)

#### Source:

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Pan A), December 1989.

Table B14
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident C Child Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME IR CF EF ED (Ug/L) (Vrs)	<b>E</b> Ŝ	CF (mg/ug)	EF (d/yr)		BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	ADD LADD CSFo (mg/kg/day)(mg/kg/day) 1	RIDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	БH
Arsenic Bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.2	~ -	0.001	350 350	<b>6</b> 0	<del>2</del>	2190	7.7E-05 1.3E-03	6.6E-06 1.2E-04	1.75E+00 1.4E-02	3.0E-04 2.0E-02	1E-05 2E-06	0.3
RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (ora	/eight ng Time ye Daily rage D≀ er Slop	a y Dose ally Dose	_	HO Haz	RiDo Reference Do HQ Hazard Quotie HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	RiDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	oral)	•	Total Route Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer 1E-05	E:.0

Table B15
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident C 24–yrAdult Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvańia

Contaminant of Concern	RME IR OF EF ED (Ugil.) (Vrs)	IR (L/day	CF (mg/ug)	(d/y)	(Yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days) (	ADD mg/kg/day	LADD (mg/kg/day)	AT ADD LADD CSFo RiDo (days) (mg/kg/day)(mg/kg/day)1 (mg/kg/day)	RfDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	ğ
Arsenic Bis(2—Ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.2	0 0	0.001	350	24	70	8760 8760	3.3E-05 5.8E-04	3.3E-05 1.1E-05 5.8E-04 2.0E-04	1.75E+00 1.4E-02	3.0E-04 2.0E-02	2E-05 3E-06	0.03
RME Reasonable Max Exp IB Indestion Rate	BW Body Weight AT Averacing Time	/eight	a		A OCH	Reference	RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HO Hazard Quotient	oral)	•	Total Route-Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer	로
CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral	ge Daily rage D	y Dose aily Dose se Factor (o	_	L Haz	HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	dex ted sable					2E-05	0.1

Table B16 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident C 30—yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RIME IR CF EF ED (Ug/US) (Ug/Us) (Vrs)	IR (L/day)	CF (mg/ug)	EF (d/yr)	ED (yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD mg/kg/day/	LADD mg/kg/day	AT ADD LADD CSFo (days) (mg/kg/day) -1	-1 (mg/kg/day)	ancer Risk	<b>9</b>
Arsenic Bis/2 - Ethvlhexvi) phthalate	1.2		0.001	350 350	88	·	70 10950 70 10950	3.3E-05 1.4E-05 5.8E-04 2.5E-04	1.4E-05 2.5E-04	1.75E+00 1.4E-02	3.0E-04 2.0E-02	2E-05 3E-06	0.03
					3	Joforon	Personal Dose (oral)	orall		Total Route-Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer	五
RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)	Veight ng Time ge Dail) srage D	y Dose aily Dose oe Factor (6		H Haz ND No NA No	HID herefelled by HQ Hazard Quotie HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	HID hereford Door HQ Hazard Duotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable			•		3E-05	0.1

Table B17 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident D Child Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant	RME IR CF E	L'day	IR CF (L'day) (mg/ug)	(d/yr)	ED (yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)(	LADD mg/kg/day	EF ED BW AT ADD LADD CSFo RfDo (d/yr) (yrs) (kg) (days) (mg/kg/day)(mg/kg/day) (mg/kg/day)	PIDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	Đ
Arsenic	2.6	-	0.001	350	ω	15	2190	15 2190 1.7E-04 1.4E-05	1.4E-05	1.75E+00	3.0E-04	2E-05	0.6
						Octorer	Old Deference Dose (oral)	(oral)		Total Route – Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer	王
RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)	eight ig Time je Dally rage Di	y Dose aily Dose se Factor (c		HO HO NO	HID herefered SHOTE AND HID Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	HIDD Reference Cooking HQ Hazard Cootient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable		·	·		2E-05	9.0

Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident D 24-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater Towanda, Pennsylvania Bell Landfill Site Table B18

	-		. 1
70 8760 7.1E-05 2.4E-05	4	1 350 24 7	24

AT Averaging Time **BW Body Weight** RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration

HQ Hazard Quotient CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) Lifetime Average Daily Dose ADD Average Daily Dose

HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable

RfDo Reference Dose (oral)

Total Route-Specific Risk

4E-05

0.2

团

Cancer

AR300245

Table B19 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident D 30-yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	, (ug/L) (L/day) (mg/ug)	(L/day	OF (mg/ug)	EF (d/yr)	ED (yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	AT ADD LADD CSFo RIDo (days) (mg/kg/day/(mg/kg/day)1 (mg/kg/day)	RfDo Cancer (mg/kg/day) Risk	Cancer Risk	<b>Q</b> H
Arsenic	5.6	23	0.001	350	30	02	10950	7.1E-05	30 70 10950 7.1E-05 3.1E-05	1.75E+00	3.0E-04	5E-05	0.2
RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	BW Body Weight : AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)	Veight ng Time ge Daily srage D	) / Dose aily Dose		HO HE HE NO	RfDo Reference Do HQ Hazard Quotle HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotlent HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	oral)	•	Total Route – Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer 5E-05	五 6.0

Table B20 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident F Child Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant	RIME IR CF EF	<b>E</b> 2	CF (ma/ua)	H (M)	ED (yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD mg/kg/day	AT ADD LADD CSFo RfDo (days) (mg/kg/day) -1 (mg/kg/day)	RIDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer	<b>9</b>
			ò		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		*						
	54	-	0.001	350	ဖ	15	2190	2190 3.5E-03 3.0E-04	3.0E-04	¥ Z	5E-03	N	0.7
													5
		4			Ç	Referen	AfDo Reference Dose (oral)	(oral)	•	Total Route-Specific Risk	ecific Risk	Cancer	Ē
RME Reasonable Max Exp EIR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	BW Body weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)	eignt g Time e Daily age Da	Dose tily Dose e Factor (c		HON HEZ	HO Hazard Quotien HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	HQ Hazard Quotlent HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable					₹ Z	0.7

Table B21 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident F 24–yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

	NA 0.3	cer HI
Cancer	. •	Cancer
RfDo (mg/kg/day)	5E-03	cific Risk
BW AT ADD LADD CSFo RfDo (kg) (days) (mg/kg/day)(mg/kg/day) (mg/kg/day)	N A	Total Route – Specific Risk
LADD (mg/kg/day)	5.1E-04	
ADD (mg/kg/day)	70 8760 1.5E-03 5.1E-04	(oral)
AT (days)	8760	RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable
BW (kg)	20	Reference izard Card In Card I
ED (yrs)	24	RiDo Reference Do HO Hazard Quotie HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable
T X	350	
CF (mg/ug)	0.001	e y Dose ally Dose
IR (Uday	2	/eight ng Tim ge Dail rrage D
RME IR CF E (uġrl.) (L'day (mg/ug) (d/	54	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)
Contaminant of Concern	Manganese	RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration

Table B22 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident F 30—yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (ug/L)	IR (L/day	HME IR CF E (ug/L) (L/day (mg/ug) (d/	EF (d/yr)	ED (yrs)	EF ED BW d/yr) (yrs) (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)(	LADD mg/kg/day	AT ADD LADD CSFo RIDo Cancer (days) (mg/kg/day) Risk	RIDo (mg/kg/day)		<b>9</b>
Manganese	54	~ ~	0.001	350	30	20	10950	30 70 10950 1.5E-03 6.3E-04	6.3E-04	AN	5E-03	NA	0.3
	N Apod Ma	Veight			₩ og	leferen.	RfDo Reference Dose (oral)	(oral)		Total Route – Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer	豆
HME Heasonable max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)	ng Time ge Dail) srage D	e y Dose aily Dose se Factor (c		HO Haz	HQ Hazard Quotie HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable					Y Z	0.3

Table B23 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident A Child Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Η 'n	Cancer	offic Risk	Total Route – Specific Risk		oral)	RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected	Referentzard Grand Inc	RfDo Reference Do HQ Hazard Quotie HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected		3W Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose	eight ng Tim ye Dail rage D	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose	RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency
0.7	NA	5E-03	NA	1.7E-04	2190 2.0E-03 1.7E-04	2190	15	ဖ	350	0.001	<b></b>	31.2	Manganese
유	Cancer Risk	RfDo (mg/kg/day)	AT ADD LADD CSFo RfDo (days) (mg/kg/day) (mg/kg/day)	LADD mg/kg/day	ADD mg/kg/day)(	AT (days) (	(kg)	(yrs)	(d/yr)	NME IR CF ug/L) (L/day) (mg/ug)	IR (L/day)	(ug/L)	Contaminant , of Concern

Table B24
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident A 24--yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (ug/L)	IR (L/day)	RME IR CF EF ED BW (ugil.) (L/day (mg/ug) (d/yr) (yrs) (kg)	EF (d/yr)	ED (yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days) (	ADD mg/kg/day)(	LADD mg/kg/day	AT ADD LADD CSFo RiDo (days) (mg/kg/day) (mg/kg/day) 1 (mg/kg/day)	RfDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer	Ğ.
Manganese	31.2	8	0.001	350	24	70		8760 8.5E-04 2.9E-04	2.9E-04	NA	5E-03	N	0.2
RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)	Veight ng Time ge Daily nage D.	) / Dose ally Dose e Factor (c		RÍDO F HQ Ha HI Haz ND Noi	RiDo Reference Do HQ Hazard Quotie HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Defected NA Not Applicable	(oral)	•	Total Route—Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer	H 2.

Table B25 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident A 30—yr Adult Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant	RME (ug/L)	L/day	RME IR CF EF ED BW AT (ug/L) (L/day) (mg/Lg) (d/yr) (yrs) (kg) (days) (t	(d/yr)	ED (yrs)	BW (Kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD mg/kg/day/	ADD LADD CSFo RIDo (mg/kg/day)1 (mg/kg/day)	RIDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	9
Mendende	31.2	31.2	0.001	320	30	2	10950	30 70 10950 8.5E-04 3.7E-04	3.7E-04	AN	5E-03	NA	0.2
								Corall		Total Route - Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer	王
RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (ora	/eight ng Time ge Daily nage Da	/ Dose aily Dose	<u>~</u>	H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	HIDO neterence Do HQ Hazard Quotle HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	MDO neterence Dose (cra) HQ Hazard Quotlent HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable			•		Z Z	0.2

# Table B26 Equations and Example Calculations for Dermal Exposure to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Equation Definition:

ADD = C x SA x PC x ET x EF x ED x CF / BW x AT

Parameter Definition

ADD average daily dose

LADD lifetime average daily dose

C chemical concentration in water (mg/L)
SA skin surface area available for contact (cm2)
PC dermal permeability constant (cm/hr)

ET exposure time (hr/d)

EF exposure time (nr/d)
EF exposure frequency (d/yr)
ED exposure duration (yr)

CF volumetric conversion factor for water (1 L/1000 cm3)

BW body weight (kg)

AT averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk; 6 yr for child resident for noncancer risk)

Example Calculations (child resident "C" exposed to arsenic)

Noncancer Risk

ADD = 0.0012 (mg/L) x 7200 (cm2) x 1.6E-4 (cm/hr) x 2 (hr/d) x 350 (d/yr) x 6 (yr) x 0.001 (L/cm3) / 15 (kg) x 6 (yr) x 365 (days/yr)

ADD = 2.9E - 8 (mg/kg/d)

Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70(yr)$ 

 $LADD = 2.9E-8 (mg/kg/d) \times 6 (yr) / 70 (yr)$ 

LADD = 2.5E - 9 (mg/kg/d)

Toxicity values were adjusted from an administered to an absorbed dose as follows:

Examples: RfD(oral) for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate x 0.50 (default) = RfD(absorbed)  $2E-2 (mg/kg/day) \times 0.20 = 1E-2 (mg/kg/day)$ 

CSF(oral) for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate / 0.50 (default) = CSF(absorbed) 1.4E-2 [(mg/kg/day)]-1 / 0.50 = 2.8E-2 [(mg/kg/day)]-1

#### Source:

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A), December 1989.

Table B27
Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident C Child Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/L)	S (Sm2)	PC (1) (cm/hr)	(hr/d)	(d/yr)	(%)	CF (6m3)	BW (kg) (c	AT days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	No.   No.	RiDd (mg/kg/day)	Cencer Risk	유
Arsenic	0.0012	7200	0.0012 7200 1.6E-04 0.33 0.021 7200 4.8E-03 0.33	0.33	350	99	0.001 15 2190 0.001 15 2190	2 2	2190	2.9E-08 1.5E-05	2.5E-09 1.3E-06	8.8E+00 2.8E-02	6.0E-05 1.0E-02	2E-08 4E-08	0.0005
RME Reasonable MaximumExposure SA Skin Surface Area PC Dermal Permeability Constant ET Exposure Time EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	Exposure netant	CF Vo BW Bv AT Av ADD 4 LADD CSFd	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dos CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (derm	nversion o iy Dose erage D	n Factor Dally Dose tor (derma	. 6	Rfbd Reference Dose (dermal) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	rd Quot il Index letected pplicab	Dose (d	fermal)		Total Routs - Specific Risk	fic Alsk	Cancer 6E-08	0.002

Note: (1) PC for water used as conservative estimate for arsenic. PC for diethyl phthalate used for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate.

Table B28

Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident D Child Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site ,
Towanda, Pennsylvania

	•	,													
Contaminant of Concern	RME SA PC (1) ET EF (mg/L) (cm2) (am/hr) (hr/d) (d/yr)	SA (cm2)	PC (1) (am/hr)	Ert (hr/d)	d(yr)	(yra)	ED CF BW AT (yrs) (L/cm3) (kg) (days)	BW (kg) (	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)	LADD CSFd RfDd (mg/kg/day) -1] (mg/kg/day)	RfDd (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	무
Arsenic	0.0026	7200	0.0026 7200 1.6E-04 0.33 350	0.33	350	9	0.001	15	15 2190	6.3E-08	5.4E-09	8.8E+00		6.0E-05 4.8E-08	0,001
RME Reasonable MaximumExposure SA Skin Surface Area PC Dermal Permeability Constant ET Exposure Time EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	•	CF Vol BW Bo AT Ave ADD A LADD CSF4	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFd Caricer Slope Factor (derme	werstor / Dose rage Di	Facto ally Do or (derr	- F	RfDd Reference Dose (dermal) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	rence d Quot Index stected	Dose (di	ermal)	•	Total Route - Specific Risk	ific Risk	Cancer 4.8E – 08	0.001

Note: (1) PC for water used as conservative estimate for areenic...

Table B29
Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident F Child Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site

beli Landilli Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Ī	, accept	ifo Diek	Total Doute - Specific Diek		(ome)	7, 2000		(learned) and Date of the Marine							
0.001	NA	NA 1.0E-03		1.1E-07	1.3E-06	2190	15	0.001 15 2190	မ	350	0.33	0.0540 7200 1.6E-04 0.33 350	7200	0.0540	Manganese
								*\ 							
2	Cancer Risk	RfDd (mg/kg/day)	ED         CF         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd         RfDd           (yrs)         (L/cm3)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/day)         (mg/kg/day)         [(mg/kg/day)         (img/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	AT (days)	BW (kg)	CF BW (L/cm3) (kg)		EF (d/yr)	ET (hr/d)	PC (1) (cm/hr)	SA (cm2)	RME SA PC (1) ET EF (mg/hr) (hr/d) (d/yr)	Contaminant gf Concam

RME Reesonable MaximumExposure
SA Skin Surface Area
PC Dermal Permeability Constant
PC Dermal Permeability Constant
ET Exposure Frequency
EF Exposure Frequency
ED Exposure Duration

CSF4 Columetric Conversion Factor (dermal)

HQ Hazard Quotient
HI Hazard Index
ND Not Detected
NA Not Applicable

0.001

¥

Note: (1) PC for water used as conservative estimate for manganese.

Table B30

Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Resident A Child Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

									· ·		•				<u> </u>
Contaminant	RME (mg/L)	SA (cm2)	RME SA PC (1) (mg/L) (cm/ht) (0	[3, E]	EF (d/yr)	(Vrs.)	CF (L/cm3)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ET EF ED CF BW AT ADD (hi/d) (d/yr) (yrs) (L/cm3) (kg) (daye) (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day) [(mg/kg/day)-1] (mg/kg/day) Risk	RIDd (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	2, 1,790
						1	15 2180	,	2190	7.6E-07	6.5E-08		NA 1.0E-03	A N	
Manganese	0.0312	7200	0.0312 7200 1.6E-04	 	0.33		20.0	2							╝
					1		Billy Beference Dose (dermal)		Dose (c	Jermal)		Total Route Specific Risk	lfic Risk	Cancer	
RME Reasonable MaximumExposure SA Skin Surface Area	•	CF Vo BW BΩ	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor BW Body Weight		1 Tac		HO Hazard Quotient	rd Ouc	otlent	•	•			Ä	
PC Dermal Permeability Constant		AT AV	AT Averaging Time ADD Average Dally	o V Dose			ND Not Detected	)etecte	, <u>p</u>			,			
ET Exposure Time			LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose	rage D	aily Do		NA Not A	\pplica	• <u>q</u>						
ED Exposure Duration		CSFd	CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (dermal)	se Fact	or (der	(leu.									

0.001

0.001

모

Note: (1) PC for water used as conservative estimate for manganese.

# Table B31 Equations and Example Calculations for Ingestion Exposure to Surface Water Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Equation Definition:

ADD = C x CR x ET x EF x ED / BW X AT

Parameter Definition

ADD

average daily dose

LADD

lifetime average daily dose

С

chemical concentration in water (mg/L)

CR

contact rate (L/hr)

ET

exposure time (hr/event)

EF

exposure frequency (events/yr)

ED

exposure duration (yr) body weight (kg)

BW AT

averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk; 10 yr for child trespasser, 30 yr for adult hunter,

6 yr for child resident for noncncer risk)

Example Calculations (child trespasser exposed to manganese)

Noncancer Risk

ADD = 0.796 (mg/L)  $\times$  0.050 (L/hr)  $\times$  2 (hr/day)  $\times$  26 (d/yr)  $\times$  10 (yr) / 37 (kg)  $\times$  10 (yr)  $\times$  365 (d/yr)

ADD = 1.5E-4 (mg/kg/d)

Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70 (yr)$ 

 $LADD = 1.5E-4 (mg/kg/d) \times 10 (yr) / 70 (yr)$ 

LADD = 2.2E - 5 (mg/kg/d)

#### Source:

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A) December 1989.

Table B32 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Surface Water Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

											,		
Contaminant	RME CR ET EF ED BW	85 E	ET (a)	( <del>4</del> )	ED (STS)	(kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD CSFo RfDo (mg/kg/day) [(mg/kg/day)	RfDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	웃
5	i n												0
Management	0.796	0.796 0.05		26	10	37	3650	1.5E-04	2.2E-05	<b>₹</b>	5E-03	₹	0.03
Wat igo and													=
		3	)W	<del>1</del>				RfDo Reference		Total Route-Specific Risk	fic Risk	Cancer	Ē
RME Reasonable Max Exp CR Contact Rate ET Exposure Time EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration		AT AV BY	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (	d Time e Daily he Aver	Dose age Da e Facto	uily Dose ır (oral)	ø	HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	vtent < id id ble	·		2	0.03

Table B33
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Surface Water
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME CR ET EF ED BW (mg/L) (L/hr) (hr/d) (d/yr) (yrs) (kg)	유년)	ET (hr/d)	EF (dfyr)	G (Sr.)		AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD CSFo RfDo (mg/kg/day) -1] (mg/kg/day)	RiDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	ğ
Manganese	0.796	0.796 0.05	CI		26 30		70 10950	8.1E-05	3.5E-05	NA.	5E-03	¥	0.05
RME Reasonable Max Exp CR Contact Rate ET Exposure Time EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration		BW By AT AV ADD /	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Tim ADD Average Dail Lifetime Av	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Da	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose	ily Dos	ō.	RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	se (oral) nt	Total Route-Specific Risk	lfo Risk	Cancer	D.02

#### Table B34 **Equations and Example Calculations for Dermal Exposure to Surface Water Bell Landfill Site** Towanda, Pennsylvania

**Equation Definition:** 

 $ADD = C \times SA \times PC \times ET \times EF \times ED \times CF / BW \times AT$ 

Parameter Definition

ADD average daily dose

LADD lifetime average daily dose

chemical concentration in water (mg/L) C SA skin surface area available for contact (cm2)

PC dermal permeability constant (cm/hr)

ET exposure time (hr/d) EF exposure frequency (d/yr) exposure duration (yr) ED

CF volumetric conversion factor for water (1 L/1000 cm3)

BW body weight (kg)

AT averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk; 10 yr for child trespasser, 30 yr adult hunter,

6 yr for child resident for noncancer risk)

Example Calculations (child trespasser exposed to manganese)

Noncancer Risk

ADD = 0.796 (mg/L) x 3560 (cm2) x 1.6E-4 (cm/hr) x 2 (hr/d) x 26 (d/yr) x 10 (yr) x 0.001 (L/cm3) / 37 (kg) x 10 (yr) x 365 (days/yr)

ADD = 1.7E - 6 (mg/kg/d)

Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70(yr)$ 

 $LADD = 1.7E-6 (mg/kg/d) \times 10 (yr) / 70 (yr)$ 

LADD = 2.5E - 7 (mg/kg/d)

Toxicity values were adjusted from an administered to an absorbed dose as follows:

Examples: RfD(oral) for beryllium x 0.20 (default) = RfD(absorbed)

 $5E-3 (mg/kg/day) \times 0.20 = 1E-3 (mg/kg/day)$ 

CSF(oral) for beryllium / 0.20 (default) = CSF(absorbed) 4.3E+0 [(mg/kg/day)]-1 / 0.20 = 2.1E+1 [(mg/kg/day)]-1

#### Source:

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A), December 1989.

Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Surface Water Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania Table B35

RME   SA   PC (1)   ET   EF   ED   CF   BW   AT   ADD   LADD   CSFd   RfDd   Cancer   HO   Cmg/hg/L)   (cmg/hg   (cm/hr)   (hr/d)   (hr/d)   (yrs)   (L/cm3)   (kg)   (days)   (mg/kg/day)   (mg/kg/day)   (mg/kg/day)   (mg/kg/day)   (mg/kg/day)   Riek   NA   1E-03   NA   0.0	0.00 2002	. (g		Secind Hisk	Total Route - Specific Hisk		Dose (c	ference ard Que	RIDd Reference Dose (dermal) HQ Hazard Quotient		on Fact	onversi	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor BW Body Weight	BW B		RME Reasonable Max Exposure
Minent   RME   SA   PC (1)   ET   EF   ED   CF   BW   AT   ADD   LADD   CSFd   RfDd   Cancer   Foncern   (mg/L)   (cm/2)   (cm/hr)   (hr/d)   (d/yr)   (yrs)   (L/cm3)   (kg)   (days)   (mg/kg/day)   (mg/kg/day)   (mg/kg/day) -1]   (mg/kg/day) -1]   (mg/kg/day)   Risk   RfDd   Cancer   Foncern   RfDd   Cancer   Foncern   RfDd   Cancer   Foncern   RfDd   Rf	<u> </u>															
RME SA PC (1) ET EF ED CF BW AT ADD LADD CSFd RfDd Cancer (mg/L) (cm/hr) (hr/d) (d/yr) (yrs) (L/cm/s) (kg) (days) (mg/kg/day) (mg/kg/day) [(mg/kg/day) -1] (mg/kg/day) Risk	0.002	₹				1.7E-06	3650	37	0.001	<b>i</b>	26	N	1.6E-04	3560	0.796	ľ
RME SA PC (1) ET EF ED CF BW AT ADD LADD CSFd RfDd Cancer (mg/kg/day) (cmg/kg/day) (hg/kg/day) (mg/kg/day) (mg/kg/day) -1] (mg/kg/day) Risk															9	n. n.
	윰	Cancer Risk	RfDd (mg/kg/day)	CSFd [(mg/kg/day) -1]	LADD (mg/kg/day)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	AT (days)	(kg) (kg)	CF (L/cm3)	(ya) O	(d/yr)	(F/d)	PC (1)	SA (cm2)	RME	
	: :															

Note: (1) Permeability Constant for water used as conservative estimate for inorganics

SA Skin Surface Area
PC Dermal Permeability Constant RME Reasonable Max Exposure

AT Averaging Time
ADD Average Daily Dose
LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose
CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (dermal)

ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable HI Hazard Index

ž

0.002

CF Volumetric Conversion Factor BW Body Weight

**ED Exposure Duration** EF Exposure Frequency ET Exposure Time

Table B36
Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Surface Water Bell Landiili Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

0.002	Z.	1E-03	N.	. 8.0E-07	2.2€-06	9125	70	0.00	<u></u>	N N	0.798 8620 1.6E-04 2 28 30 0.001 70 9125	8620	0.793	Manganese
							$\dagger$							
	1388	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day) ((mg/kg/day)-1) (mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)	hr) $(hr/d)$ $(d/yr)$ $(yrs)$ $(L/cm3)$ $(kg)$ $(days)$ $(mg/kg/day)$	(days)	(kg)	(L/cm3	(yre)	/d) (d/yr	(cm/hr) (h	(cm2)	(mg/L) (cm2)	of Concern
<b>5</b>	Cancer	REG.	CSFd	LADD	ADD	<b>≥</b>	B ¥	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>		} 			Contaminant

EF Exposure Frequency
ED Exposure Duration PC Dermal Permeability Constant SA Skin Surface Area RME Reasonable Max Exposure ET Exposure Time CF Volumetric Conversion Factor BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose

Note: (1) Permeability Constant for water used as conservative estimate for inorganics

CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (dermal) LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose NA Not Applicable

RIDd Reference Dose (dermal) **HQ Hazard Quotient** ND Not Detected HI Hazard Index

Total Route-Specific Risk

Cancer

. N 0.002

Ξ

AR300263

Table B37
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Sediment
Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

<b>∡</b>	
Manganese	Contaminant of Concern
3620	RME (mg/kg)
100	IR CF (mg/d) (kg/mg)
100 1E-06	
	IJ
26	EF (d/yr)
10	ED (yrs)
37	(kg)
1 26 10 37 3650	AT (days)
7.0E-04	EF ED BW AT ADD (d/yr) (yrs) (kg) (days) (mg/kg/d)
1.0E~04	LADD (mg/kg/d)
NA	CSF6 [(mg/kg/d)]-1
NA 1.4E-01	RfDo (mg/kg/d)
NA	Cancer Risk
0.005	ΗΩ

AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose ED Exposure Duration
BW Body Weight

HI Hazard index NA Not Applicable

CF Conversion Factor FI Fraction Ingested IR Ingestion Rate

RME Reasonable Max Exp

EF Exposure Frequency

RfDo Reference Dose (oral) **HQ Hazard Quotient** CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)

Total Route-Specific Risk

Cancer

Ξ

0.005

Ž

AR300264

Table B38 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Sediment Bell Landfill Site

RME Reasonable Max Exp	Manganese			of Concern	Contaminant		
Max Exp		3620		(mg/kg)	AME		
				(mg/u) (ng/ms/	Ħ		
ED Exposure Duration		50 1E-06		(1,0)	CF CF		
ure Du Weigh					IJ		
ration		26		,	(A)		
		9	3		(Vrs)		_
			3		(Kg)		OWano
CSFo Ca RfDo Ref			70 10950		(d/yi) (yrs) (kg) (days)		lowanua, remojern
CSFo Cancer Slope racion RfDo Reference Dose (oral)			1.8E-04		(mg/kg/d)	.	9
al)	) r (oral)		7.9E-05		(mg/kg/d)	5	
	Total Route - Specific Risk				) [(mg/kg/d)] - 1 [(mg/kg/d)	CSFo	
	ecific Risk		NA 1.4E - 01	i i	(mg/kg/d)	700	
N ·	Cancer			Z	Hisk		
0.001	<b>=</b>	=		0.001		큠	

CF Conversion Factor IR Ingestion Rate

AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose

HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable

0.001

BW Body Weight ED Exposure Duration

EF Exposure Frequency FI Fraction Ingested RME Reasonable Max Exp

## Table B39 Equations and Example Calculations for Dermal Exposure to Sediment Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

### **Equation Definition:**

 $ADD = C \times CF \times SA \times AF \times ABS \times EF \times ED / BW \times AT$ 

#### Parameter Definition

average daily dose ADD

LADD lifetime average daily dose

С chemical concentration in soil (mg/kg)

CF conversion factor (kg/mg)

SA skin surface area available for contact (cm2/day)

ΑF soil to skin adherence factor (mg/cm2)

ABS absorption factor (1.0% for organics, 0.1% for inorganics)

EF exposure frequency (d/yr) ED exposure duration (yr) BW

body weight (kg)

AT averaging time (70 yr for cancer risk, ED (10 yr child trespasser, 30 yr adult hunter noncancer risk)

Example Calculations (child trespasser exposed to manganese)

#### Noncancer Risk

ADD = 3,620 (mg/kg) x 1E-6 (kg/mg) x 7,200 (cm2/day) x 1.0 (mg/cm2) x 0.001 x 26 (d/yr) x 10 (yr) / 37 (kg) x 10 (yr) x 365 (d/yr) ADD = 5.0E - 5 (mg/kg/d)

## Cancer Risk

 $LADD = ADD \times ED / 70 (yr)$ 

 $LADD = 5.0E-5 (mg/kg/d) \times 10 (yr) / 70 (yr)$ 

LADD = 7.2E - 6 (mg/kg/d)

Toxicity values were adjusted from an administered to an absorbed dose according to the method described in EPA, 1989.

Examples: RfD(oral) for manganese x = 0.20 = RfD(absorbed) $5.0E-3 \text{ (mg/kg/day)} \times 0.20 = 1E-3 \text{ (mg/kg/day)}$ 

### Sources:

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I, Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A), December 1989. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications, January 1992.

Table B40
Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Trespasser Exposed to Sediment
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

NA 0.00	N A	3.0E-02	N	3.5E-06	2.5E~05	3650	37	10	26	0.001	<del></del>	3560	1E-06	3620	Manganese
	Ť	(mg/kg/d)	[(mg/kg/d)]-1 (	_	(mg/kg/d)	(days)	(kg	) <b>(</b>	(d/yr)	Ž	(cm2) (mg/cm2)	(cm2)	(kg/mg)	(mg/kg)	Of Concern
Ğ		2	1 0 0	( Ann	, and	TA	7710	S	n n	. Đ	<u>l</u>	· •	į	į	Contaminant

CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (dermal) RfDd Reference Dose (dermal) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable AF Adherence Factor ADD Average Daily Dose ABS Dermal Absorption Factor LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration BW Body Weight RME Reasonable Max Exp CF Conversion Factor

Total Route -- Specific Risk

NA 0.001

AR300267

Table B41
Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Hunter Exposed to Sediment
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

_															•
Conteminent Of Concern	RME (mg/kg)	CF SA AF (kg/mg) (cm2) (mg/cm2)	SA (cm2)	AF (mg/cm2)	ABS	EF (d/yr)	ED (yrs)	(kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	CSF4 ((mg/kg/d))-1	RIDd (mg/kg/d)	Cancer Risk	Ğ
	3620	3620 1E-06 8620	8620	-	0.001	26	30	20	10950	3.2E-05	1.4E-05	N	3.0E-02	NA	NA 0.001
						-	-	<del></del>							

Total Route - Specific Risk	•
CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (dermal) RfDd Reference Dose (dermal) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	
ED Exposure Duration BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Bose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose	
RME Reasonable Max Exp ED Exposure Du CF Conversion Factor AT Averaging Tit SA Surface Area ADD Average De ABS Dermal Absorption Factor LADD Lifetime A	EF Exposure Frequency

NA 0.001

## Appendix C

Risk Calculations for Future Use Scenario

Table C1
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Leachate Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/L)	(년)	ET (hrs/d&	CR ET EF ED (L/hr) (hrs/de(days/) (yrs)	a ŝ	(kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	CSFo [(mg/kg/day)=1]	RfDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	ā
								The state of the s					
Arsenic	0.0231	0.025	~	104	9	13	2190	2.2E-05	1.9E-06	1.75E+00	3E-04	3E-06	0.1
Cadmium	0.166	0.025	N	104	9	t	2190	1.6E-04	1.4E-05	₹Z	5E-04	¥	0.3
Chromium	0.227		8	104	9	र्	2190	2.2E-04	1.8E-05	¥	5E-03	¥	0.04
Cobalt	1.44	0.025	N	104	9	ट	2190	1.4E-03	1.2E-04	¥Z	6E-02	¥	0.02
Manganese	108	0.025	8	104	9	5	2190	1.0E-01	8.8E-03	¥Z	5E-03	¥	20.5
Molybdenum	1.8	0.025	8	104	9	15	2190	1.7E-03	1.5E-04	¥	5E-03	¥Z	0.3
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.59	0.025	8	104	9	5	2190	5.6E-04	4.8E-05	AZ V	1E-02	¥	0.1
Acetone	5.4		8	104	9	ਨ	2190	5.1E-03	4.4E-04	AN	1E-01	¥	0.1
Benzene	0.013		8	104	9	15	2190	1.2E-05	1.1E-06	2.9E02	¥	3E-08	₹ Z
Methylene Chloride	28	0.025	8	104	9	5	2190	2.7E-02	2.3E-03	7.5E-03	6E-02	2E-05	4.0
Toluene	0.92	0.025	8	104	9	क	2190	8.7E-04	7.5E-05	ž	2E-01	¥ Z	0.004
Trichloroethene	0.35	0.025	8	104	9	ठ	2190	3.3E-04	2.8E-05	1.1E-02	6E-03	3E-07	0.1
Vinyl Chloride	0.044	0.025	8	104	9	15	2190	4.2E-05	3.6E-06	1.9E+00	¥	7E-06	₹
Heptachlor epoxide	0.000021	0.025	8	104	9	5	2190	2.0E-08	1.7E-09	9.1E+00	1.3E-05	2E-08	0.002
4-Methylphenol	3.8	0.025	S	104	9	5	2190	3.6E-03	3.1E-04	Ž	5E-02	¥ Z	0.1
delta-BHC	0.0047	0.025	0	104	9	15	2190	4.5E-06	3.8E-07	1.8E+00	NA	7E-07	¥
BME Beaconable Maximum Exposure	Evnociire	BW Body Weight	1v Weig	į			Afflo Re	BfDo Beference Dose (oral)		Total Boute - Specific Bisk	fic Risk	Cancer	
CR Contact Rate	• 15.5.24	AT Averaging Time	raging	rime Time		. —	10 Haz	HQ Hazard Quotient					:
ET Exposure Time	•	ADD Average Daily Dose	rerage (	Daily Do	986	-		rd Index				3E05	22.0
_		LADD Lifetime Average	ifetime	Averag	e Daily	æ		Not Detected					
ED Exposure Duration		CSFO Cancer Slope Fac	ancer	Siope	actor (oral)		NA NOT	Not Applicable					

Table C2
Dermal Exposure Doses for Child Resident Exposed to Leachate
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Conteminant	aMa	4	(t) Ud	ti	ii.	6	ű	3	. t	ADD	LADD	CSFd	PGN	0	ह
of Concern		(cm2)	(cm/hr)	(hı/d) (d/yı)			ଚ		(days)	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)	[(mg/kg/day)-1]	(mg/kg/day)	¥ 36	
Arenic	0.0231	1860	1.6F-04	~	104	8	0.001	15	2190	2.6E-07	2.2E-08	8.8E+00	6E-05	2E-07	0.004
Cadmin	0.166	1860	1.6E-04	~	104	9	0.001	12	2190	1.9E-06	1.6E-07	A.	1E-04	ž	0.02
Chromium	0.227	1860	1.6E-04	8	104	9	0.00	5	2190	2.6E-06	2.2E-07	NA	1E-03	¥ Z	0.003
Cobalt	1.44		1,6E-04	N	104	9	0.00	12	2190	1.6E-05	1.4E-06	Y.	1E-02	Ž	0.002
Mondonese	108		1.6E-04	8	104	9	0.00	5	2190	1.2E-03	1.0E-04	Y.	1E-03	¥Z	1.2
Molyhdenim	8.		1.6E-04	~	104	φ	0.00	15	2190	2.0E-05	1.7E-08	¥Z	1E-03	¥Z	0.05
1.2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.59		1.0E-02	N	104	9	0.001	15	2190	4.2E-04	3.6E-05	Ž	8E-03	¥Z	0.05
Acetone	5.4		1.1E-03	8	104	9	0.00	15	2190	4.2E-04	3.6E-05	¥	8E-02	ž	0.005
Benzene	0.013	-	2.1E-02	~	104	9	0.00	15	2190	1.9E-05	1.7E-06	3.6E-02	¥	6E-08	Y Z
Methylene Chloride	28		4.5E-03	N	40	9	0.00	15	2190	8.9E-03	7.6E-04	9.4E-03	5E-02	7E-06	0.2
Toluene	0.92		4.5E-02	.0	104	9	0.001	13	2180	2.9E-03	2.5E-04	¥.	2E-01	₹ Z	0.01
Trichloroethene	0,35		1.6E-02	8	104	9	0.001	15	2190	4.0E-04	3.4E-05	1.4E-02	5E-03	5E-07	0.1
Viny Chloride	0.044		7.3E-03	8	104	9	0.001	13	2190	2.3E-05	1.9E-06	2.4E+00	¥Z	5E-06	¥Z
Hentachlor enoxide	0.000021	1860	1.1E-02	8	104	9	0.001	15	2190	1.6E-08	1.4E-09	1.8E+01	7E-08	3E-08	0.003
4-Methylphenol	8.6		1.0E-02	~	104	9	0.001	15	2180	2.7E-03	2.3E-04	¥.	3E-02	¥	0.1
delta-BHC	0.0047	1860	1.4E-02	2	5	9	0.001	15	2190	4.6E-06	4.0E-07	3.6E+00	NA	1E-08	WA
BME Besconable Maximum Exposure		CF Vo	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor	wersion	) Facto		RfDd Reference Dose (dermal)	rence	Dose (de		Total Route Specific Risk	selfic Risk		Cancer	Ξ
SA Skin Surface Area		BW Bo	BW Body Welaht				HQ Hazard Quotient	d Quot	lent					٠	
PC Dermal Permeability Constant		AT Ave	AT Averaging Time	•		I	HI Hazard Index	Index						1E-05	1.7
ET Exposure Time		ADD A	ADD Average Daily Dose	y Dose	20,71		NO NOT DE	Not Detected	و						
En Exposure Frequency		SFG	CADD Lifewills Average Daily Do	Factor	any Do	mall in		apolitica to	2				,		
		5				,									

Note: (1) Permeability Constant for water used as conservative estimate for inorganics

Table C3
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Soil
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RIME (mg/kg)	IR (mg/d)	CF (kg/mg)	Œ	(d/yr)	(yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	CSF6 ((mg/kg/d)]—1	BfDo (mg/kg/d)	Cancer Risk	Q
Arsenic	11.4	200	1E-06	*	350	9	15	2190	1.5E-04	1.2E-05	1.75E+00		2E-05	0.5
Barium	354	200	1E-06	_	320	9	15	2190	4.5E-03	3.9E-04	AN AN		A N	0.1
Beryllium	0.55		1E-06	-	320	9	15	2190	7.1E-06	6.1E-07	4.3E+00		3E-06	0.001
Cadmium	134	200	1E-06	<b>*</b> -	320	9	15	2190	1.7E-03	1.5E-04	¥		₹ Z	4.6
Chromium.	30	200	_	*-	350	9	15	2190	3.8E-04	3.3E-05	N N	- <u>i</u>		0.1
Lead	3166	200	1E-06	~	350	9	<del>1</del> 5	2190	4.0E-02	3.5E-03	ž	¥	Y X	¥Z
Manganese	10100	·	1E-06	-	350	9	15	2190	1.3E-01	1.1E-02	¥.	15-01	¥ Z	6.0
Mercury	<b>V</b>	200	1E-06	-	350	9	15	2190	1.3E-05	1.1E-06	¥	3E-04	Y Z	0.04
Benzo(a) pyrene	0.12	200	1E-06	-	320	ဖ	5	2190	1.5E-06	1.3E-07	7.3E+00	¥ X	1E-06	¥N ,
RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor FI Fraction Ingested EF Exposure Frequency	Aaximum Exp tor i ency		ÉD Exposure Duration BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose	ire Dur Neight Ing Tim ige Dail	ation e ly Dose srage D	aily Do		CSFo Cancer SI RfDo Reference HQ Hazard Quo HI Hazard Index NA Not Applical	CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	(oral)	Total Route – Specific Risk	ecific Risk	Cancer 2E-05	T 0:5

Table C4 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Worker Exposed to Soil Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/kg)	IR (mg/d)	CF (kg/mg)	Œ	EF (d/yr)	(yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	CSFo ((mg/kg/d)]-1	RfDo (mg/kg/d)	Caricer Risk	9
Arsenic	11.4	20	1E-06	_	250	25	2	9125	5.6E-06	2.0E-06	1.75E+00	3E - 04	3F - 06	000
Barium	354	20	1E-06	_	250	25	2	9125	1.7E-04	6.2E-05	₹ Z	7E-02	¥ Z	0000
Beryllium	0.55	20	1E-06	-	250	22	20	9125	2.7E-07	9,7E-08	4.3E+00		4E-07	0.0001
Cadmium	134	20		-	250	25	2	9125	6.6E-05	2.3E-05			₹ Z	0.1
Chromium	စ္တ	20		-	250	25	2	9125	1.5E-05	5.2E-06	¥.		Z	0.003
Lead	3166	20		~	250	22	2	9125	1.5E-03	5.5E-04	¥ Z		Ž	A
Manganese	10100	20		-	250	22	2	9125	4.9E-03	1.8E-03	¥ Z		Y X	0.04
Mercury	-	20	1E-06	_	250	52	2	9125	4.9E-07	1.7E-07	¥	3E-04	Ž	0000
Benzo(a) pyrene	0.12	20		_	250	25	2	9125	5.96-08	2.1E-08	7.3E+00		2E-07	Z
RME Reasonable Maximum Exposure IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor FI Fraction Ingested EF Exposure Frequency	aximum Expo or nncy	İ	ED Exposure Duratio BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily D LADD Lifetime Averag	Weight Weight ing Tim ige Dai	ation le ly Dose srage Da	on Dose ige Daily Dose	]	CSFo Cancer Slope RIDo Reference Do HQ Hazard Quotier HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) RiDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	(oral)	Total Route Specific Risk	ecific Risk	Cancer 4E-06	□ E 6.

Table C5 Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Soil Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/kg)	ED (yrs)	EF (d/yr	IR (m3/d)	PEF (m3/kg)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	LADB (mg/kg/d)	CSFI ((mg/kg/d)) —1	PfDi (mg/kg/d)	Cancer	호
Arsenic	11.4	9	350	50	4.63E+09	70	2190	3.1E-09	2.7E-10	1.5E+01	¥ N	4E-09	Z
Barium	354	9	350	20	4.63E+09	7	2190	9.8E-08	8.4E-09	AN	¥	¥ X	AZ
Beryllium	0.55	9	350	20	4.63E+09	15	2190	1.5E-10	1.3E-11	8.4E+00		1E-10	A N
Cadmium	134	9	350	20	4.63E+09	15	2190	3.7E-08				2E-08	AN
Chromium	ဓ	9	350	50	4.63E+09	15	2190	8.3E09	7.1E-10	4.2E+01	₹Z	3E-08	Y Z
Lead	3166	9	350	20	4.63E+09	15	2190	8.7E-07				¥ Z	Y X
Manganese	10100	9	350	50	4.63E+09	70	2190	2.8E-06	2.4E-07			₹ Z	Y Z
Mercury	_	9	350	50	4.63E+09	15	2190	2.8E-10	2.4E-11			ž	0.000003
Benzo(a) pyrene	0.12	9	320	20	4.63E+09	15	2190	3.3E-11	2.8E-12	¥ Z		A Z	¥

Total Route-Specific Risk RfDi Reference Dose (inhalation) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index Averaging Time
ADD Average Daily Dose
LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose
NA Not Applicable RME Reasonable Max Exposure BW Body Weight

ED Exposure Duration

Averaging Time

HC

ADD Average Daily Dose

HI

IR Inhalation Rate

LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose NA

PEF Particulate Emissions Factor CSFi Cancer Slope Factor (inhalation)

I

Cancer

0.000003

5E-08

Inhalation Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Worker Exposed to Soil Towanda, Pennsylvania **Bell Landfill Site** Table C6

Contaminant of Concern	RIME (mg/kg)	G (8)	(d/yr)	IH (m3/d)	PEF (m3/kg)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	CSFI [(mg/kg/d)] = 1	RMDI (mg/kg/d)	Cancer	운
Arsenic Barium Beryllium Cadmium Chromium Lead Manganese Mercury Benzo(a) pyrene	11.4 354 0.55 134 30 3166 10100	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	250 250 250 250 250 250 250	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	4.63E+09 4.63E+09 4.63E+09 4.63E+09 4.63E+09 4.63E+09 4.63E+09 4.63E+09	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	9125 9125 9125 9125 9125 9125 9125	4.8E-10 1.5E-08 2.3E-11 5.7E-09 1.3E-09 4.3E-07 4.2E-11 5.1E-12	1.7E-10 5.3E-09 8.4E-12 2.0E-09 4.5E-10 4.8E-08 1.5E-07 1.5E-11	1.5E+01 8.4E+00 6.3E+00 4.2E+01 NA NA	8.6E-05	3E-09 NA 7E-11 1E-08 2E-08 NA NA	NA N

3E-08 0,0000005 Cancer Total Route-Specific Risk RME Reasonable Max Exposure BW Body Weight RfDi Reference Dose (inhalation)

ED Exposure Duration Averaging Time HQ Hazard Quotient

EF Exposure Frequency ADD Average Daily Dose HI Hazard Index

IR Inhalation Rate LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose NA Not Applicable

PEF Particulate Emissions Factor CSFI Cancer Slope Factor (inhalation) **BW Body Weight** RME Reasonable Max Exposure

Ξ

Table C7
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant		<u> </u>	유	Ш	<u></u>	BW	ΑŢ	ADO	CQV CQV	CSF6	RDo	Cancer	우
of Concern	(ng/L)	( <u>R</u>	(mg/ug) (d/yr) (	(d/yr)	(yrs)	(kg)	(days)	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day) 1	(mg/kg/day)	Risk	
Aluminum	32800	-	0.001	350	ဖ	15	2190	2.1E+00	1.8E-01	N N	2.9E+00	¥ Z	0.7
Arsenic	31.3	_	0.001	350	9	15	2190	2.0E-03	1.7E-04	1.75E+00			6.7
Barium	906	-	0.001	350	9	15	2190	5.8E-02	5.0E-03	¥	7E-02	ž	0.8
Beryllium	2.2	_	0.00	350	9	15	2190	1.4E-04	1.2E-05	4.3E+00			0.03
Chromium	161	-	0.00	350	9	15	2190	1.0E-02	8.8E-04	¥			2.1
Copper	241	-	0.00	350	9	15	2190	1.5E-02	1.3E-03	NA NA			4.0
Lead	50.6	_	0.001	350	9	15	2190	3.2E-03	2.8E-04	Ž	¥.		X X
Manganese	1950	-	0.001	320	9	15	2190	1.2E-01	1.1E-02	Z			24.9
Nickel	140	-	0.001	350	9	15	2190	8.9E-03	7.7E-04	¥			4.0
Vanadium	45.8	_	0.00	320	9	15	2190	2.9E-03	2.5E-04	¥			4.0
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	13	-	0.001	350	9	15	2190	8.3E-04	7.1E-05	¥.	1E-02		90.0
Benzene	_	-	0.001	320	9	15	2190	6.4E-05	5.5E-06	2.9E-02			Ž
Tetrachloroethene	5.8	-	0.001	350	9	15	2190	3.7E-04	3.2E-05	5.2E-02	1E-02	2E-06	0.04
Trichloroethene	22	_	0.001	350	ဖ	15	2190	1.6E-03	1.4E-04	1.1E-02	6E-03	2E-06	0.3
Vinyl Chloride	Ŝ	-	0.001	350	9	5	2190	3.2E-04	2.7E-05	1.9E+00	AN	SE-05	¥.

Cancer		4E-04		
Total Route - Specific Risk	:			
RfDo Reference Dose (oral)	HQ Hazard Quotient	Hi Hazard Index	ND Not Detected	tor (oral) NA Not Applicable
BW Body Weight	AT Averaging Time	ADD Average Daily Dose	Lifetime Average Daily Dose	CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)
RME Reasonable Max Exp	IR Ingestion Rate	CF Conversion Factor	EF Exposure Frequency	ED Exposure Duration

36.9

Table C8
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for 24-yr Adult Resident Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant	RME (LICAL)	E 5	IR CF	G EF	G S	BW (kg)	AT (davs)	ADD (mo/ka/dav)	(ma/ka/dav)	CSFo (ma/ka/day) = 1	RfDo (ma/ka/dav)	Cancer	9
			(6 - /6)			ñ		Ď				515/11/1	
Aluminum	32800	N	0.001	350	24	2	8760	9.0E01	3.1E-01	AN	2.9E+00	X A	0.3
Arsenic	31,3	N	0.00	350	24	2	8760	8.6E-04	2.9E-04	1.75E+00			2.9
Barium	806	N	0.001	320	24	2	8760	2,5E-02	8.5E-03	AN			0.4
Beryllium	2.2	8	0.001	350	24	2	8760	6.0E-05	2.1E-05	4.3E+00	5E-03		0.01
Chromium	161	N	0.00	320	24	2	8760	4.4E-03	1.5E-03	AN AN			6.0
Copper	241	N	0.00	320	24	2	8760	6.6E-03	2.3E-03	AN			0.2
Lead	50.6	CI	0.00	350	24	20	8760	1.4E-03	4.8E-04	¥Z		¥	ž
Manganese	1950		0.00	350	24	2	8760	5.3E-02	1.8E-02	AN AN			10.7
Nickel	140		0.001	350	24	2	8760	3.8E-03	1.3E-03	AZ AZ			0.2
Vanadium	45.8	O	0.00	350	24	2	8760	1.3E-03	4.3E~04	AN AN	7E-03		0.2
1,2-Dichbroethene (total)	13	N	0,00	350	24	2	8760	3.6E-04	1.2E-04	AN N			0.04
Benzene	<b>~</b>	N	0.00	350	24	2	8760	2.7E-05	9.4E-06	2.9E-02			Ž
Tetrachloroethene	5.8	N	0.001	350	24	2	8760	1.6E-04	5.4E-05	5.2E-02	1E-02		0.02
Trichbroethene	25	8	0.001	350	24	2	8760	6.8E-04	2.3E-04	1.1E-02	6E-03		0.1
Vinyl Chloride	ß	N	0.001	350	24	20	8760	1,4E-04	4.7E-05	1.9E+00	A A	9E-05	Ž

RME Reasonable Max Exp BW Body Weight RiDo Reference Dose (oral) IR Ingestion Rate A AT Averaging Time HQ Hazard Quotient CF Conversion Factor ADD Average Daily Dose HI Hazard Index EF Exposure Frequency Lifetime Average Daily Dose ND Not Detected CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) NA Not Applicable

15.8

Ξ

Cancer 7E-04

Total Route-Specific Risk

AR300277

Table C9 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for 30 – yr Adult Resident Exposed to Groundwater Relillandfill Site

Dell Landilli Site	Towanda, Pennsylvania	
	Towanda	

Contaminant of Concern	RME (ug/L)	IB (6/J)	(M/p) (Gn/Gw)	(4/y)	(y.g)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	CSFo (mg/kg/day)1	RfDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	멸
Aluminum	32800	8	0.001	350	99	2	10950	9.0E-01	3.9E-01	AN	2.9E+00	AN AN	0.3
Arsenic	31.3	7	0.001	350	စ္တ	2	10950	8.6E-04	3.7E-04	1.75E+00	3E-04	6E-04	2.9
Barium	806	N	0.001	320	ဓ္ဓ	2	10950	2.5E-02	1.1E-02	¥	7E-02	Š	0.4
Beryllium	2.2	N	0.001	350	30	2	10950	6.0E-05	2.6E-05	4.3E+00	5E-03	1E-04	0.0
Chromium	. 161	N	0.001	350	90	20	10950	4.4E-03	1.9E-03	AN N		Ž	6.0
Copper	241	8	0.00	320	90	2	10950	6.6E-03	2.8E-03	¥	3.7E-02	¥.	0.2
Lead	50.6	Ø	0.001	350	90	2	10950		5.9E-04	¥.	ž	¥ Z	Ä
Manganese	1950	2	0.001	320	30	20	10950	•	2.3E-02	AZ AZ		¥.	10.7
Nickel	140	Ø	0.001	350	30	2	10950	3.8E-03	1.6E-03	¥.	2E-02	¥ Z	0.2
Vanadium	45.8	N	0.001	320	စ္တ	2	10950	1.3E-03	5.4E-04	A N		¥ Z	0.2
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	13	8	0.00	320	90	2	10950	3.6E-04	1.5E-04	NA.		¥	0.0
Benzene	<b>T</b>	N	0.001	350	8	2	10950	2.7E-05	1.2E-05	2.9E-02	¥	3E-07	¥
Tetrachloroethene	5.8	2	0.001	350	ဓ္ဌ	2	10950	1.6E-04	6.8E-05	5.2E-02	1E-02	4E-06	0.05
Trichloroethene	25	8	0.001	320	30	2	10950	6.8E-04	2.9E-04	1.1E-02	6E-03	3E-06	0.1
Vinyl Chloride	Ŋ	8	0.001	320	ဓ	2	10950	1.4E-04	5.9E05	1.9E+00	₹ Z	1E-04	X X
RME Reasonable Max Exp	BW Body Weight	/eight		_	PEO B	eferen	RfDo Reference Dose (oral)	(oral)		Total Route Specific Risk	cific Risk	Cancer	Ξ
IR Ingestion Rate	AT Averaging Time	ng Time	e (		HQ Hazard Quotient	zard O	uotient						!
CF Conversion Factor	ADD Average Daily Dose	ge Daily	y Dose	_ <	HI Hazard Index	ard Ind	<u>~</u>					9E-04	15.8
En Exposure Priequency  En Exposure Duration	CSEO Cancer Slone Factor (oral)		ally Dose		ND Not Applicable	Application	ahle						
	23000	֡֝֝֝֞֝֝֞֝֝֓֓֝֝֞֝֓֓֝֝֞֝֓֓֝֞֝֓֞֝֝֓֞֝֞֝֓֓֞֝֞֜֜֝֞֡֝֓֡֝֞֜֜֝֡֓֜֝	2325			֡֝֝֝֝֟֝֝֟֝֝֟֝֝֞֝֞֝֝֞֝֞֝֞֝֞֝֞֝֞֝֞֝֞֝֞֝֞֝	5					•	

Table C10
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Adult Worker Exposed to Groundwater
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant	HA FI	<u> </u>	<u>۾</u>	Ш	8	B Ma	¥	ADD	CONT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	HD0	Cancer	모
of Concern	(ng/L)	(L/d)	(mg/ng)	(d/yr)	(yrs)	(kg)	(days)	(mg/kg/day)	(mg/kg/day)	1	(mg/kg/day)	Risk	
Aluminum	32800	-	0.001	250	25	20	9125	3.2E-01	1.1E-01		2.9E+00	A Z	0.1
Arsenic	31.3	-	0.001	250	52	20	9125	3.1E-04	1.1E-04	1.75E+00	3E04	2E-04	1.0
Barium	806	-	0.001	250	52	2	9125	8.9E-03	3.2E-03	¥	7E-02	¥ Z	
Beryllium	2,5	_	0.001	250	22	2	9125	2.2E-05	7.7E-06	4.3E+00	5E-03	3E-05	
Chromium	161	_	0.001	250	22	2	9125	1.6E-03	5.6E-04		5E-03	¥ Z	
Copper	241	-	0.001	250	52	2	9125	2.4E-03	8.4E-04	Š	3.7E-02	¥ Ž	
Lead	50.6	-	0.001	250	25	2	9125	5.0E-04	1.8E-04	¥	¥Z	ž	
Mandanese	1950	-	0.001	250	52	2	9125	1.9E-02	6.8E-03	¥2	5E-03	ž	
Nickel	140	<b>*</b> -	0.00	250	22	2	9125	1.4E-03	4.9E-04	AN	2E-02	₹ Z	
Vanadíum	45.8	-	0.001	250	25	2	9125	4.5E-04	1.6E-04	&X	7E-03	₹ Z	0.1
1.2-Dichloroethene (total)	13	_	0.001	250	25	2	9125	1.3E-04	4.5E-05	¥.	1E-02	¥	
Benzene	_	-	0.001	250	52	2	9125	9.8E-06	3.5E-06	2.9E-02	¥Z	1E-07	
Tetrachloroethene	5.8	~	0.001	250	25	2	9125	5.7E-05	2.0E-05	5.2E-02	1E-02	1E-06	
Trichloroethene	25	_	0.001	250	22	2	9125	2.4E-04	8.7E-05	1.1E-02	6E-03	1E-06	
Vinyl Chloride	ιΩ	-	0.001	250	22	2	9125	4.9E-05	1.7E-05	1.9E+00	¥ Z	3E-05	

Total Route Specific Risk	
-	
RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient Hi Hazard Index	ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable
BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time	Lifetime Average Daily Dose ND Not Detected CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) NA Not Applicable
RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate	<b>₹</b>

5,6

3E-04

Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Groundwater Bell Landfill Site Table C11

Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant Of Concern (n	HME SA (mg/L) (cm2)	SA (cm2)	PC (1) ET EF (cm/hr) (hr/d) (d/yr)	ू जु	H (%)	(yrs)	CF (Lem3)	(kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	(Mg/kg/day)	LADD CSFd (mg/kg/day) [(mg/kg/day)=1]	RfDd (mg/kg/day)	Cerrcer Risk	면
Aluminum	32.8	7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.001	<del>+</del> 5	2190	8.0E-04	6.8E-05	AN	6E-01	¥	0.001
Arsenic	0.0313	7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.001	<del>1</del>	2190	7.6E-07	6.5E-08	8.8E+00		6E-07	0.01
Barium		7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.001	15	2190	2.2E-05	1.9E-06	¥	1E-02	<b>₹</b>	0.002
Beryllum	0.0022	7200	1,6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.001	15	2190	5.3E-08	4.6E-09	2.2E+01		1E-07	0.0001
Chromium	0.161	7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.001	15	2190	3.9E-06	3.4E-07	AN		₹ X	0.004
Copper	0.241	7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.001	15	2190	5.9E-06	5.0E-07		7E-03	₹ Z	0.001
Lead	0.0506	7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.001	5	2190	1.2E-06	1.1E-07		ž	¥Z	¥ X
Manganese	1.95	7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.00	5	2190	4.7E-05	4.1E-06		1E-03	¥Z	0.0
Nickel	0.14	7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.001	5	2190	3.4E-06	2.9E-07	¥ Z	4E-03	₹ Z	0.001
Vanadlum	0.0458	7200	1.6E-04	0.33	350	9	0.00	15	2190	1.1E-06	9.5E-08	¥	1E-03	¥Z	0.001
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.013	7200	1.0E-02	0.33	350	9	0.001	15	2190	2.0E-05	1.7E-06	AN		¥ Z	0.005
Benzene	0.00	7200	2.1E-02	0.33	350	9	0.001	12	2190	3.2E-06	2.7E-07	3.6E-02		1E-08	¥
Tetrachloroethene	0.0058	7200	4.8E-02	0.33	320	9	0.00	15	2190	4.2E-05	3.6E-06	6.5E-02		2E-07	0.01
Trichloroethene	0.025	7200	1.6E-02	0.33	350	9	0.001	12	2190	6.1E-05	5.2E-06	1.46-02	5E-03	7E-08	0.01
Vinyl Chloride	0.005	7200	7.3E-03	0.33	320	9	0.001	10	2180	5.5E-06	4.8E-07	2.4E+00	ž	1E-06	Z
RME Reasonable Max Exposure		F Volu	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor	version	Factor	<b>1</b>	RfDd Reference Dose (dermal)	rence	Dose (d	lermal)		Total Route - Specific Risk	ific Risk	Cancer	표
SA Skin Surface Area PC Dermal Permeability Constant ET Exposure Time FF Exposure Fedinancy		3W Boc	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose I ADD Lifetime Average Daily	Dose	S C VI		HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	rd Quoi 1 Index etected	tient					2E-06	0.1
ED Exposure Duration		SFd	CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (d	e Facto	r (dermal)	_			<u>!</u>			,			

Note: (1) Permeability Constant for water used as conservative estimate for inorganics

Table C12
24—yr Adult resident showering exposure concentrations.
Beil Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

L-phase t.c. CO2 G-phase t.c. H2O Water visc. at 2OC Water visc. at 45C Shower temp Droplet diameter Drop time Shower flow rate Shower stall volume Shower stall volume Shower duration Air exchange rate	cmth cp cp c	20 3000 1.002 0.596 318 1 20 29 12 12 0.016667 (RANGE: Mol. Wt. Constan g/mol atm-m3/ 7.81E+01 5.50E-	RANGE: Herry* Constantim – m3/	.5 TO 1.5 PER HOUR) s nt Kl mol cm/n c	UR) Kg cm/h		Temp-adj. Trans. Coeff. KaL cm/h	Conc. leaving H2O Cwd mg/l	VOC Gener. Rate s s mg/m3-min 3.26E-03	Air Conc. at Shower End mg/m3	Avg. Ar Conc. in Shower mg/m3 1.83E-02
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Tetachloroethene Trickforoethene	1.30E - 02 6.80E - 03 2.50E - 02	9.70E+01 1.66E+02 1.31E+02	2.08E	10+ m 10+ m 10+ m 10+ m	1292.32 9.88E+02 1.11E+03	1.27E+01 1.02E+01 1.14E+01	1.70E+01 1.36E+01 1.53E+01	5.62E-03 2.12E-03 9.99E-03	3.88E-02 1.46E-02 6.89E-02	4.22E-01 1.59E-01 7.50E-01	2.18E-01 8.20E-02 3.87E-01
Mryl Chloride	5.00E-03	6,25E+01	1.20E+00	2E+01	1.61E+03	1.68E+01	2.25E+01	264E-03	1.82E-02	1.98E-01	1.02E-01

## Table C12 —yr Adult resident showering inhalation. Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Inhalation rate	m3/min	0.0138889
Exposure frequency	d/y	350
Exposure duration	y	24
Body weight	kg	70
Averaging time carc.	d	25550
Averaging time ncarc.	ď	8760
Shower duration	min/d	12

Contaminant	RME Conc. mg/m3	Lifetime Average Daily Dose mg/kg/d	Chronic Daily Dose mg/kg/d	Lifetime Cancer Risk	Systemic Hazard Quotient
<b>C</b> enzene	1.83E-02	1.44E-05	4.19E-05	4E-07	0.3
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2.18E-01	1.71E-04	4.98E-04		
Tetrachloroethene	8.20E-02	6.42E-05	1.87E-04	1E-07	
Trichloroethene	3.87E-01	3.03E-04	8.84E-04	2E-06	-
Vinyl Chloride	1.02E-01	8.00E-05	2.33E-04	2E-05	
	Total Route - S	Specific Risk		3E-05	0.3

Table C13
30-yr Adult resident showering exposure concentrations.
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

L-phase tc. CO2	cm/h	ଷ									
G-phase t.c. H20	CH/H2	3000						٠			
Water visc. at 20C	용	1.002									
Watervisc, at 45C	g	0.596									
Shower temp	<b>'</b>	318									
Droplet diameter		-									
Drop time	••	8									·
Shower flow rate		8									
Shower stall volume	E SE	29									
Shower duration	ᄪ	12									
Air exchange rate	mh-1	0.0166667 (RANGE:		.5 TO 1.5 PER HOUR)	£5						
•			•		•	Overall	Temp-adj.	Conc.		Ą	
						Trans.	Trans.	teaving	Gener.	Conc.	Avg. At
	RME		Henry's			Coeff.	Coeff.	H20		at Shower	Conc.
	Conc.	Mol. WL	Constant	₹	χ	궣	흌	Cwd	Ø	End	In Shower
Contaminant	TOP.	om/g	atm-m3/mol	cm/h	cm/h	Cm/h	cm⁄h	2	mg/m3-min	mg/m3	mg/m3
Berzene	1.00E-03	7.81E+01	5.50E-03	2E+01	1440	1.44E+01	1.92E+01	4.73E-04	3.26E-03	3.55E-02	1.83E-02
cls-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.30E-02	9.70E+01	4.08E-03	1E+01	1292.32	1.27E+01	1.70E+01	5.62E-03	3.88E-02	4.22E-01	2.185-01
Tetrachloroethene	5.80E-03	1.66E+02		16+01	9.88E+02	1.02E+01	1.36E+01	2.12E-03	1.46E-02	1.59E-01	8.20E-02
Trichloroethene	250E-02	1.31E+02		1E+01	1.11E+03	1.14E+01	1.53E+01	9.99E-03	6.89E-02	7.50E-01	3.87E-01
Mryl Chloride	5.00E-03	6.25E+01	1.20E+00	2E+01	1.61E+03	1.68E+01	2.25E+01	2.64E-03	1.82E-02	1.98E-01	1.02E-01

# Table C13 O-yr Adult resident showering inhalation. Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Inhalation rate	m3/min	0.0138889
Exposure frequency	d/y	350
Exposure duration	у	30
Body weight	kg	70
Averaging time carc.	d	25550
Averaging time ncarc.	ď	10950
Shower duration	min/d	12

Contaminant	RME Conc. mg/m3	Lifetime Average Daily Dose mg/kg/d	Chronic Daily Dose mg/kg/d	Lifetime Cancer Risk	Systemic Hazard Quotient
Benzene	1.83E-02	1.79E-05	4.19E-05	5E-07	0.3
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2.18E-01	2.13E-04	4.98E-04		
Tetrachloroethene	8.20E-02	8.02E-05	1.87E-04	2E-07	
Trichloroethene	3.87E-01	3.79E - 04	8.84E-04	2E-06	
Vinyl Chloride	1.02E-01	1.00E-04	2.33E-04	3E-05	
	Total Route - S	Specific Risk		3E-05	0.3

Table C14
Adult worker showering exposure concentrations.
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvaria

c. Avg. Alf wer Conc. 1 in Shower	-02 1.83E-02 -01 2.18E-01 +00 8.20E-01 -01 3.67E-01 -01 1.02E-01
	03 3.55E-02 02 4.22E-01 01 1.59E+00 02 7.50E-01 02 1.98E-01
VOC Gener. Rate S mg/m3-n	3.26E-03 3.38E-02 1.46E-01 6.89E-02
Conc. Heaving H20 Cwd	4.73E-04 5.62E-03 2.12E-02 9.99E-03 2.64E-03
Temp-adj. Trans. Coeff. KaL Cm/h	1.92E+01 1.70E+01 1.36E+01 1.53E+01 2.25E+01
Overall Trans. Coeff. KL	1.44E+01 1.27E+01 1.02E+01 1.14E+01
Kg cmA	1440.2 1292.3 988.5 1110.3
Z É	2E + 01 1E + 01 1E + 01 2E + 01
Herry's Constant atm-m3/mol	5.50E-03 4.08E-03 1.80E-02 2.00E-02 1.20E+00
Mol. Wt. g/mol	7.81E+01 9.70E+01 1.66E+02 1.31E+02 6.25E+01
RME Conc.	1.00E-03 1.30E-02 5.80E-02 2.50E-02 5.00E-03
Contaminant	Berzene cis1,2-Dichloroethene Tetrachloroethene Trichtoroethene
	PAME Mol. Wt. Constant KI Kg Kt. Kat. Cwd S End mg/m3-min mg/m3-min mg/m3

## Table C14 Cult worker showering inhalation. Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Inhalation rate	m3/min	0.0138889
Exposure frequency	d/y	250
Exposure duration	у	25
Body weight	kg	70
Averaging time carc.	d	25550
Averaging time ncarc.	d	9125
Shower duration	min/d	12

Contaminant	RME Conc. mg/m3	Lifetime Average Daily Dose mg/kg/d	Chronic Daily Dose mg/kg/d	Lifetime Cancer Risk	Systemic Hazard Quotient
enzene	1.83E-02	1.07E-05	2.99E-05	3E-07	0.2
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2.18E-01	1.27E-04	3.55E-04		
Tetrachloroethene	8.20E-01	4.77E-04	1.34E-03	1E-06	
Trichloroethene	3.87E-01	2.26E-04	6.32E-04	1E-06	
Vinyl Chloride	1.02E-01	5.95E-05	1.67E-04	2E-05	
	Total Route -	Specific Risk		2E-05	0.2

Table C15
Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Surface Water
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME CR ET (mg/L) (L/m) (m/d)	5§		监賌	<b>a</b> (\$)	(kg) (kg)	AT (days)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	ADD CSFo RfDo (mg/kg/day) [(mg/kg/day)—1] (mg/kg/day)	RfDo (mg/kg/day)	Cancer Risk	무
Manganese	0.796	0.796 0.05	Ø	104	9	ठ	15 2190	1.5E-03	1.3E-04	¥	5E-03	\$	0.3
RME Reasonable Max Exp CR Contact Rate ET Exposure Time EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration	,	BW BC AT AVC ADD A LADD CSFo	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average D	Ight J Time Daily I e Aver?	BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral)	ly Dose (oral)		RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	se (oral) nt	Total Route-Specific Risk	fic Risk	Cancer	五 . 6.0

Table C16
Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Surface Water
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/L)	SA (cm2)	PC (1) (cm/hr)	(hr/d)	d/yr) (	ED yrs)	CF (L/cm3)	BW (kg)	AT (deys)	ADD (mg/kg/day)	LADD (mg/kg/day)	RME         SA         PC (1)         ET         EF         ED         CF         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd         RIDd         Cancer           (mg/L)         (cm²/l)         (hr/d)         (yrs)         (L/cm3)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/day)         [(mg/kg/day)         [(mg/kg/day)         Risk	RIDd Cancer (mg/kg/day) Pisk	Campar	유
Manganese	0.796	1860	0.796 1860 1.6E-04	8	104	9	0.001 15 2190	15	2190	9.0E-06	7.7E-07	NA	1E-03	A N	
RME Reasonable Max Exposure SA Skin Surface Area PC Dermal Permeability Constant ET Exposure Time EF Exposure Frequency ED Exposure Duration		CF Vol BW Bo AT Ave ADD Av LADD 1	CF Volumetric Conversion Factor BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Daily Dose LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (dermal)	wersion r Dose rage Du	Factor Ily Dose	_	RfDd Reference Dose (dermal) HQ Hazard Quotlent HI Hazard Index ND Not Detected NA Not Applicable	ference ard Quo d Index detected splicat	Dose (d	-	Total Route Specific Risk	ecific Pusk		Cancer	

0.01

Ī

0.01

Note: (1) Permeability Constant for water used as conservative estimate for inorganics

Table C17 Ingestion Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Sediment Bell Landfill Site Towanda, Pennsylvania

Contaminant of Concern	RME (mg/kg)	IR CF (mg/d) (kg/mg)	CF (kg/mg)		EF (d/yr)	ED (yrs)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	AT ADD (days) (mg/kg/d)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	CSF0 RIDo ((mg/kg/d)] 1 (mg/kg/d)	RiDo (mg/kg/d)	Cancer Risk	ğ
Manganese	3620	100	100 1E-06	-	104	9	15	2190	6.9E-03	5.9E-04	NA	NA 1.4E-01	N	0.05
RME Reasonable Max Exp IR Ingestion Rate CF Conversion Factor FI Fraction Ingested EF Exposure Frequency	ax Exp tor l ency		ED Exposure Duration BW Body Weight AT Averaging Time ADD Average Dally Dose LADD Lifetime Average Dally Dose	ure Dur Weight ing Tir age Dai	ation ne Ily Dos erage [	Baily Do		CSFo Cancer Slope RfDo Reference Do HQ Hazard Quotien HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable	CSFo Cancer Slope Factor (oral) RfDo Reference Dose (oral) HQ Hazard Quotient HI Hazard Index NA Not Applicable		Total Route-Specific Risk	ecific Risk	Cancer	H 0.05

Table C18
Dermal Exposure Doses and Risks for Child Resident Exposed to Sediment
Bell Landfill Site
Towanda, Pennsylvania

48S EF ED BW AT ADD CSFG FRIDG Centeer (d/yr) (yre) (kg) (days) (mg/kg/d) (mg/kg/d) [(mg/kg/d)]=1 (mg/kg/d) Ptsk 0.0001 104 6 15 2190 1.3E-04 1.1E-05 NA 3.0E-02 NA
EF:         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd         PHDd           (d/yri)         (yris)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         (mg/kg/d)         (mg/kg/d)         (mg/kg/d)           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05         NA         3.0E-02
EF:         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd         PHDd           (d/yri)         (yris)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         (mg/kg/d)         (mg/kg/d)         (mg/kg/d)           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05         NA         3.0E-02
EF         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd           (d/yr)         (yra)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         [(mg/kg/d)]=1         (m           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05         NA
EF         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd           (d/yr)         (yra)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         [(mg/kg/d)]=1         (m           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05         NA
EF         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd           (d/yr)         (yra)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         [(mg/kg/d)]=1         (m           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05         NA
EF         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd           (d/yr)         (yra)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         [(mg/kg/d)]=1         (m           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05         NA
EF         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd           (d/yr)         (yra)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         [(mg/kg/d)]=1         (m           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05         NA
EF         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd           (d/yri)         (yris)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         ((mg/kg/d))         ((mg/kg/d))           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05
EF         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd           (d/yri)         (yris)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         ((mg/kg/d))         ((mg/kg/d))           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05
EF         ED         BW         AT         ADD         LADD         CSFd           (d/yri)         (yris)         (kg)         (days)         (mg/kg/d)         ((mg/kg/d))         ((mg/kg/d))           104         6         15         2190         1.3E-04         1.1E-05
(d/yri) (yre) (kg) (days) (mg/kg/d)
(d/yri) (yre) (kg) (days) (mg/kg/d)
(d/yri) (yre) (kg) (days) (mg/kg/d)
(d/yri) (yre) (kg) (days) (mg/kg/d)
(d/yr) (yra) (kg) (xg) 15
(d/yr) (yre) (kg)
(d/yr) (yre) (kg)
(d/yi) (yra)
(d/yi) (yra)
(d/yi) (yra)
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
SS 100:
නි <u>.</u>
္ကာ ေ
A STATE OF THE STA
-
2
¥ 5
2
n2) 1860
S 8
<u> </u>
CF //mg) 1E – 06
5 등 기 뿌
THE STATE OF THE S
Kg) 3620
# <b>#</b>   #
<b>₹</b>
aria di Ariang
ž į
2 7
E 6 8
·포aragan
S 2 E

0.004

NA 0.004

Total Route-Specific Risk

RME Reasonable Max Exp ED Exposure Duration	ED Exposure Duration	CSFd Cancer Slope Factor (dermal)
CF Conversion Factor	BW Body Weight	RtDd Reference Dose (dermal)
SA Surface Area	AT Averaging Time	HQ Hazard Quotient
AF Adherence Factor	ADD Average Daily Dose	Hi Hazard Index
ABS Dermal Absorption Factor	ABS Dermal Absorption Factor LADD Lifetime Average Daily Dose	NA Not Applicable
FF Exposure Fractions	•	

## Appendix D

Uptake/Biokinetic Model Results

<b>5</b>
Active-Passi
Non-Linear Ac
ORPLION NETHODOLOGY:
ABSORPTION

÷	Lung Abs. (%	377	00 00	999
AIR CONCENTRATION: 0.200 ug Pb/m3 DEFAULT Indoor AIR Pb Conc: 30.0 percent of outdoor.	Vent. Rate (m3/day) .IM	00	00.0	7.0
(t 0.200 ug 1 Sonat 30.0 pe	Outdoors (hr)	00	00	00
Indoor AIR Pb (	Age Time	1000 11000 10000	78	

DIET: DEFAULT

DAINCENG WATER CONG: 19.40 ug Pb//

Soll & DUST: Soll: constant Dust: constant Additional Dust Sources: None DEFAULT PAINT Intaks: 0.00 ug Pb/day DEFAULT

MATERIAL CONTRIBUTION: Infant Model Maternal Blood Cono: 7.50 ug Pb/d

CALCULATED BLOOD Pb and Pb UPTAKES:

Soil+Dust Uptal (ug/day) 11.99 11.99 11.99 11.99	
Total Uptake (ug/day) 16.92 19.87 20.55 20.55	
Blood Level (ug/dL) 5.47 5.66 5.93 6.16	
0.5-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	

·.	Air Uptake (ug/day)	0.00	0.0		
11.99	Paint Uptake (ug/day)	000	000		
21.18	Water Uptake (ug/day)	1.94	10°10		, C. C.
6.22 ·	Diet Uptake (ug/day)	2.94	2000		
	TEAR			ē,	